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## UNDERGRADUATE TEACHING FACULTY: THE 2010-2011 HERI FACULTY SURVEY

This report summarizes the highlights of a national survey of college and university faculty conducted by the Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP) at the Higher Education Research Institute (HERI) in the 2010-2011 academic year. Although HERI has been surveying faculty in higher education since 1978, this report is the eighth in a series of faculty surveys administered on a triennial basis. We encourage institutions to collect data on all their faculty, but historically we have chosen to focus on full-time undergraduate (FTUG) teaching faculty for our triennial reports. Institutions receive reports for faculty respondents with teaching, research, and administrative
 institutions, particularly in introductory courses (Schuster \& Finkelstein, 2006). Because of the important role that part-time faculty play in teaching and learning, in 2007-2008, we developed a separate series of questions for campuses to use with part-time faculty. This year's report highlights specific items for part-time faculty and full-time faculty separately, thereby retaining trends on the full-time teaching workforce as well as providing new insights on the part-time academic workforce.

Given current national interest in increasing the number of degree holders in science (President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology, 2012), we also compare faculty in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) with faculty in the humanities, social sciences, and professional fields (or "all other fields"). This is the first report on a national survey of undergraduate faculty at fouryear institutions that offers a comparison across general disciplines, although campuses that receive HERI Faculty Survey data can always conduct these analyses independently. These comparisons are critical given that the federal government has not collected data on faculty since the 2004 administration of the National Study of Postsecondary Faculty (NSOPF).

The results reported here are based on the responses from 23,824 full-time faculty members at 417 four-year colleges and universities. We separately report results from analyses of 3,547 part-time faculty members who were working at 266 four-year colleges and universities. For this report, "faculty member" is defined as any employee of an accredited four-year college or university who spends at least part of his or her time teaching undergraduates. ${ }^{1}$ Data for full-time faculty are weighted to provide a normative national profile of full-time faculty at four-year colleges and universities; Appendix A contains details about methodological considerations, including how these weights were calculated. Complete results of the survey presented for full-time faculty are reported separately for male and female faculty in each of eight different normative groups: all institutions, public universities, private universities, public

[^0]four-year colleges, and private four-year colleges (combined and broken down by three subgroupings: nonsectarian, Roman Catholic and other religious). Survey data by academic rank are also reported in additional tables.

## The Survey Questionnaire

The 2010-2011 questionnaire was based largely on items used in the seven previous faculty surveys, which were revised following the suggestions of HERI-affiliated researchers who are actively studying faculty concerns and topics related to teaching and learning. In addition to collecting demographic information, the web-based questionnaire focuses on topics such as how faculty spend their time, how they interact with students, their preferred methods of teaching, their perceptions of institutional climate, their primary sources of stress and satisfaction, and their personal and professional goals. In addition, we report on specific questions we introduced in 2007-2008 that pertain to the experiences of part-time faculty. The questionnaire also includes a section that allows individual institutions to ask their faculty up to 20 locally designed questions, though these campus-specific questions are not reported here.

## An Overview of the 2010-2011 Faculty Survey Norms

In the following sections, we highlight results that pertain to the following topics for full-time faculty: top sources of stress among faculty, focusing on institution type, gender, and race differences; faculty's use of student-centered pedagogical techniques in undergraduate classrooms, with a focus on gender differences within STEM and within all other fields; trends in
faculty time spent teaching and preparing for teaching; and survey results that address the training of the next generation of faculty and potential for revitalization. A special focus on part-time faculty addresses the following topics: reasons why part-time faculty teach part-time at their current institution; how colleges and universities support part-time faculty; and key differences between "voluntary" and "involuntary" part-time faculty with regard to perceptions of institutional support. Voluntary part-time faculty are defined as faculty who have no interest in full-time academic positions in colleges and universities, whereas involuntary part-time faculty are individuals currently teaching part-time but who prefer to teach full-time, or who recently have sought, or are seeking, full-time academic positions.

Top Sources of Stress among Faculty: Institutional, Gender, and Racial Differences

Researchers at HERI have been assessing different dimensions of faculty stress since 1989, and the Faculty Survey has been a source of information on stress source differences by gender, race/ethnicity, and tenure status (Dey, 1994; Thompson \& Dey, 1998).
stable for faculty across institutional types; however, this year, we find that these sources of stress are rivaled by economic hardships affecting the conditions of work in public institutions. Institutional budget cuts were indicated as the top source of stress among faculty at public universities and at public four-year colleges, as $86.1 \%$ of full-time faculty at public universities and $83.4 \%$ of full-time faculty at public fouryear colleges reported institutional budget cuts as causing "some" or "extensive" stress (see Figure 1). By contrast, less than half of full-time faculty at private universities (47.2\%) and just $62.5 \%$ of faculty at private four-year colleges rated institutional budget cuts as a source of stress in the last two years.

Table 1 shows variation across institutional types with regard to faculty's perspectives on institutional procedures and "red tape," as a greater percentage of faculty at public institutions than at private institutions (three-quarters vs. twothirds) rate institutional procedures and red tape as a source of stress. Although more than half of faculty at private universities (58.1\%) report working with underprepared students as a source of stress, it is greatest among faculty at public four-year colleges, at $83.5 \%$, followed by more Self-imposed high expectations and lack of personal time traditionally have been rated the most pervasive sources of stress among all faculty over the years. The 2010-2011 data show that $84.8 \%$ of fulltime undergraduate faculty report that self-imposed high expectations cause "some" or "extensive" stress, and $82.2 \%$ of faculty report the same for lack of personal time. These areas of stress are relatively

Figure 1. Source of Stress: Institutional Budget Cuts, by Institutional Type
(\% of Faculty Reporting "Some" or "Extensive")


Table 1. Most Common Sources of Faculty Work-Life Stress, by Institutional Type

|  |  | Four-Year <br> Colleges |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage reporting "some" or "extensive" | All | Public | Private | Public | Private |
| Self-imposed high expectations | 84.8 | 85.1 | 86.1 | 83.5 | 84.2 |
| Lack of personal time | 82.2 | 81.5 | 83.1 | 82.4 | 82.8 |
| Working with underprepared students | 75.3 | 78.1 | 58.1 | 83.5 | 77.1 |
| Managing household responsibilities | 74.7 | 74.4 | 72.7 | 75.1 | 77.3 |
| Institutional budget cuts | 74.2 | 86.1 | 47.2 | 83.4 | 62.5 |
| Institutional procedures and "red tape" | 71.3 | 75.5 | 66.8 | 73.6 | 63.5 |
| Research or publishing demands | 70.7 | 74.5 | 77.7 | 64.1 | 61.8 |
| Personal finances | 65.7 | 65.5 | 58.5 | 70.1 | 68.7 |
| Teaching load | 62.6 | 61.9 | 50.5 | 71.2 | 67.4 |
| Committee work | 62.0 | 60.9 | 56.0 | 67.4 | 64.6 |

## Budget cuts were the top

source of stress among faculty at public universities and four-year colleges.
than three-quarters of faculty working in public universities (78.1\%) and private four-year colleges (77.1\%). Faculty respondents at public four-year colleges are also more likely to report teaching load (71.2\%), personal finances (70.1\%), and committee work (67.4\%) as sources of stress. Although these concerns are present among faculty at private universities, they tend to be less pervasive. They may also be a function of the budget reductions impacting faculty since the last survey in 2007. ${ }^{2}$ Although most faculty reported that they were able to achieve a healthy balance between their personal and professional lives-with $32.1 \%$ believing they achieve this "to a great extent" and an additional 50.6\% "to some extent"-the percentage who reported

[^1]that they were "not at all" balancing the two rose from $12.9 \%$ in 2004 to $17.3 \%$ in 2010.

## Race and Gender Differences in Sources of Stress

In the last report, we highlighted the greatest gender differences within select stressors. More women than men reported lack of personal time, managing household responsibilities, selfimposed high expectations, concerns about job security, and subtle discrimination as sources of stress (DeAngelo et al., 2009). In 2010-2011, women reported more stress than men on 22 of the 25 survey items; several large differences in specific areas are also worth noting as a pattern (see Table 2, and also separate appendices for men and women faculty). In the arena of faculty work, roughly two-thirds of women (66.3\%) compared with $56.8 \%$ of men report that students are a source of stress. More women than men report the review/promotion process as a source of stress ( $65.3 \%$ vs. $52.8 \%$ ), and women are also significantly more likely than men to report change in work responsibilities as a prevalent source of stress in the last two years ( $58.4 \%$ vs. $43.8 \%$ ). In terms of caretaking and relationships, women faculty are also more likely

Table 2. Major Gender Differences in Selected Sources of Stress

| Percentage reporting "some" or "extensive" | Women <br> $(\mathrm{N}=13,010)$ | Men <br> $(\mathrm{N}=10,814)$ | \% Point <br> Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of personal time | 88.2 | 78.2 | 10.0 |
| Students | 66.3 | 56.8 | 9.5 |
| Review/promotion process | 65.3 | 52.8 | 12.5 |
| Child care | 63.5 | 51.1 | 12.4 |
| Being part of a dual career couple | 58.7 | 44.1 | 14.6 |
| Change in work responsibilities | 58.4 | 43.8 | 14.6 |
| Care of elderly parent | 56.0 | 46.1 | 9.9 |
| Children's problems | 54.6 | 44.1 | 10.5 |
| Job security | 45.3 | 35.1 | 10.2 |
| Subtle discrimination (e.g., prejudice, racism, sexism) | 40.0 | 20.2 | 19.8 |

than men to report stress associated with child care ( $63.5 \%$ compared with over half of men 51.1\%), being part of a dual career couple (58.7\% vs. $44.1 \%$ ), care of an elderly parent ( $56.0 \%$ for women and $46.1 \%$ for men), and dealing with children's problems ( $54.6 \%$ vs. $44.1 \%$ ). Job security remains an issue for more women than men, as only $22.3 \%$ of women faculty had attained the rank of full professor compared with $39.5 \%$ of male respondents at the time of the survey.
as a source of stress, and $62.2 \%$ of these are women. Most notably, $63.6 \%$ of Black/African American faculty report subtle discrimination (e.g., prejudice, racism, sexism) as a source of stress, which is more than 20 points higher than for any other race identity group. The next most prominent group to report subtle discrimination as a source of stress is Latina/o faculty ( $42.6 \%$ ) followed by more than one-third of American Indian, Asian, and Women faculty are also twice as likely as men ( $40.0 \%$ vs. $20.2 \%$ ) to report subtle discrimination (e.g., prejudice, racism, sexism) as a source of stress.

This year we also have broken out the stressor items by race and ethnicity, and Figure 2 shows subtle discrimination as a main area of stress that differs considerably according to faculty's racial/ethnic background. Only about one-quarter (24.7\%) of White faculty report subtle discrimination

Figure 2. Source of Stress: Subtle Discrimination, by Race (\% of Faculty Reporting "Some" or "Extensive")


Table 3. Major Racial Differences in Selected Sources of Stress

| Percentage reporting <br> "some" or "extensive" | White <br> $(\mathrm{N}=20,016)$ | American <br> Indian <br> $(\mathrm{N}=50)$ | Asian <br> $(\mathrm{N}=1,093)$ | Black <br> $(\mathrm{N}=556)$ | Latina/o <br> $(\mathrm{N}=479)$ | Multiracial/ <br> Multiethnic <br> $(\mathrm{N}=891)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtle discrimination | 24.7 | 39.7 | 38.2 | 63.6 | 42.6 | 37.6 |
| Being part of a <br> dual career couple | 50.0 | 56.0 | 56.1 | 36.2 | 39.2 | 57.0 |
| Committee work | 63.2 | 64.8 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 55.9 | 62.9 |
| Institutional procedures <br> and "red tape" | 72.2 | 55.4 | 64.0 | 61.2 | 60.9 | 74.7 |
| Colleagues | 63.0 | 28.7 | 53.5 | 51.2 | 56.1 | 60.0 |
| Faculty meetings | 54.9 | 33.4 | 49.0 | 41.9 | 47.1 | 55.6 |
| Students | 61.8 | 45.4 | 59.6 | 52.7 | 47.4 | 60.0 |
| Personal finances | 64.7 | 85.6 | $62.6^{\text {a }}$ | 72.5 | 69.2 | 76.3 |
| Institutional budget cuts | 74.4 | $85.3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $75.9^{\text {a }}$ | 68.6 | 63.1 | 80.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Indicates non-significant difference between White and specific race/ethnicity group.
multiracial/multiethnic faculty (who indicated two or more race/ethnicities).

Table 3 provides the findings for sources of stress by racial/ethnic identity of faculty. Significantly more American Indian (85.6\%), multiracial (76.3\%), Black (72.5\%), and Latina/o faculty (69.2\%) than White faculty ( $64.7 \%$ ) reported stress associated with personal finances in the last two years. However, Black (68.6\%) and Latina/o faculty (63.1\%) were less likely than multiracial ( $80.5 \%$ ) or White faculty (74.4\%) to indicate that institutional budget cuts were sources of stress. Black and Latina/o faculty were also less likely to report being part of a dual career couple, institutional procedures and "red tape," colleagues, or students as a source of stress compared to White faculty. Although their numbers are small, American Indian faculty were least likely to report that colleagues, faculty meetings, or students were sources of stress compared to other racial/ethnic groups.


## Student-Centered Pedagogical Practices in Undergraduate Classrooms: Field and Gender Differences

The faculty survey provides useful information regarding faculty goals for undergraduate education, encouragement of habits of mind for lifelong learning, and the specific teaching and evaluation practices used in undergraduate classrooms. Teaching practices have differed significantly between male and female faculty, with women more likely to practice studentcentered pedagogy. A decade ago, women were much more likely than men to use instructional methods such as class discussions ( $78.9 \%$ vs. $68.3 \%$ ), cooperative learning ( $55.8 \%$ vs. $32.6 \%$ ), and student presentations ( $45.2 \%$ vs. 30.4\%) (Lindholm, Astin, Sax, \& Korn, 2002). As might be expected, they were also much less likely than men to use extensive lecturing as an instructional method in their classes ( $34.1 \%$ vs. $54.6 \%)$. In this recent survey, we found that both women and men have increased their use of class discussions (88.0\% for women and 78.3\% for men), cooperative learning ( $68.8 \%$ and $48.5 \%$, respectively), and student presentations ( $53.8 \%$ and $36.9 \%$, respectively) in "all" or "most" of their courses (see Figure 3). However, extensive lecturing has remained fairly stable, with $33.8 \%$ of women and $52.7 \%$ of men reportedly using this method in all or most of their courses in 2010-2011 (see Figure 4).

More than differences by gender, pedagogical distinctions between fields have garnered national interest. In February 2012, the President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology (PCAST) released the report Engage to Excel: Producing One Million Additional College Graduates with Degrees in Science,
Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics. The report is a call to action to reduce the dropout rate among college students studying science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) in order to meet the economic and scientific needs of this country. A specific focus on improvement of undergraduate teaching in STEM, with the use of "evidence-based" practices, was noted as a leveraging point to encourage the production of more STEM graduates. Many of the teaching practices characterized as student-centered pedagogy increase student engagement in STEM introductory courses (Gasiewski, Eagan, Garcia, Hurtado, \& Chang, 2012).
Highlighting survey items that constitute the CIRP construct of student-centered pedagogy used in HERI studies of undergraduate education, ${ }^{3}$ Table 4 shows patterns of faculty behavior

[^2]regarding student-centered pedagogy and general field of study by gender. First, it should be noted that faculty in all other fields outside of STEM use more student-centered teaching practices. Second, the gender differences in use of studentcentered pedagogy are greater for faculty teaching in STEM than in all other fields, with only three exceptions: using student evaluation of each others' work, group projects, and student-selected topics for course content.

Table 4. Faculty Approaches to Teaching and Evaluation, by Field and Gender

| Methods used in "all" or "most" courses taught | STEM ( $\mathrm{N}=6,768$ ) |  |  | All Other Fields ( $\mathrm{N}=17,056$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (N=2,721) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (\mathrm{N}=4,047) \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Difference | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Women } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=8,093) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Men } \\ (N=8,963) \end{gathered}$ | \% Point Difference |
| Extensive lecturing | 50.4 | 69.7 | -19.3 | 27.8 | 43.7 | -15.9 |
| Grading on a curve | 16.6 | 30.6 | -14.0 | 9.8 | 16.2 | -6.4 |
| Student presentations | 42.8 | 25.5 | 17.3 | 57.7 | 42.9 | 14.8 |
| Student evaluations of each others' work | 17.5 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 30.5 | 20.5 | 10.0 |
| Class discussions | 72.2 | 55.9 | 16.3 | 93.7 | 90.0 | 3.7 |
| Cooperative learning (small groups) | 60.3 | 40.7 | 19.6 | 71.8 | 52.6 | 19.2 |
| Experiential learning/field studies | 33.1 | 22.9 | 10.2 | 30.6 | 21.2 | 9.4 |
| Group projects | 36.0 | 27.1 | 8.9 | 38.1 | 28.7 | 9.4 |
| Student-selected topics for course content | 13.9 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 27.0 | 20.5 | 6.5 |
| Reflective writing/journaling | 16.7 | 4.1 | 12.6 | 27.9 | 17.1 | 10.8 |
| Using student inquiry to drive learning | 43.3 | 32.9 | 10.4 | 54.2 | 46.9 | 7.3 |

It should be noted that faculty in all other fields outside of STEM use more student-centered teaching practices.

In terms of specific teaching practices, both men ( $69.7 \%$ ) and women ( $50.4 \%$ ) teaching in STEM fields are more likely to use extensive lecturing in all or most of their classes compared to their male ( $43.7 \%$ ) and female ( $27.8 \%$ ) colleagues in all other fields. Use of extensive lecturing in class has been shown to negatively affect student outcomes, such as engagement and achievement (Astin, 1993). In addition to using this less student-centered approach, faculty in STEM are also more likely than their counterparts in all other fields to grade on a curve, which disguises the actual changes in learning and acquisition of skills of individual students.

Male faculty in STEM are by far the most likely to use curve grading, as $30.6 \%$ report doing so in all or most of their courses, nearly double the proportion of female faculty in STEM who report doing the same.

By contrast, cooperative learning is a teaching practice that has the most well-defined literature base, and research consistently has revealed positive effects of cooperative learning on student achievement across experimental and quasiexperimental studies on college students (for a review of meta-analytic syntheses, see Pascarella and Terenzini, 2005). It is important to note, however, that we see the starkest gender gaps across fields in faculty's use of cooperative learning. The majority of women in all other fields (71.8\%) use cooperative learning techniques in all or most of their courses, and it is encouraging that $60.3 \%$ of women teaching in STEM use cooperative learning in the classroom, a figure that exceeds both men in STEM ( $40.7 \%$ ) and men in all other fields (52.6\%). Faculty's use of experiential learning/field studies and group projects have the most consistency across fields (a difference of two percentage
points); however, a fairly stable gender gap of 9-10 percentage points persists within major fields. All teaching and student evaluation practices used by faculty respondents are reported in the appendices by type of institution and gender.

One question that typically arises is whether student-centered teaching practices are largely a reflection of class size. We examined respondents' reported class size of general education classes or classes taught that are required for the major to determine how size is associated with student-centered practices used by STEM faculty and those teaching in all other fields. There are significant correlations between average course size and types of student-centered practice used in courses across fields. In general, the magnitude of the correlation was smaller in STEM than in all other fields, which suggests that it is possible to use particular studentcentered pedagogical practices within larger STEM classes. The smaller correlation between class size and student-centered pedagogical practices may also be a result of the fact that STEM faculty tend to use student-centered pedagogy less often than their colleagues in all other fields, regardless of the size of the class. Figure 5 shows two regression lines that demonstrate that faculty in both STEM and in all other fields tend to use studentcentered pedagogy less often as average class size in general education courses increases; although the decrease is much more significant among faculty in all other fields, we should note that a much smaller proportion of faculty in all
other fields teaches general education classes that exceed 25 students. Although not shown here, the trend is similar when considering the average size of courses required for the major.

One particular student-centered practice did not follow this trend and, in fact, was positive. Experiential learning/field studies had the largest positive correlation ( $0.14, \mathrm{p}=<0.01$ ) with the average course enrollment in STEM general education courses, indicating that, as average class size increased in STEM general education courses, STEM faculty tended to incorporate experiential learning more frequently. This correlation was negative for faculty in all other fields. It may be that faculty consider the lab components of many introductory science courses to fall within the realm of experiential learning.

Faculty state that they sometimes find it difficult to change their teaching practices because of large class sizes. A preliminary analysis indicates there may be opportunities for change in spite of large course enrollments in STEM. Further research is necessary to confirm results in various course and institutional contexts.

Figure 5. Student-Centered Pedagogy, by Class Size and Field


Figure 6. Faculty Trends in Time Spent Teaching Scheduled Classes and Preparing for Teaching
week, which is roughly the equivalent of one scheduled course per week. This percentage has more than doubled in the last decade from $7.0 \%$ in 2001-2002 to $15.8 \%$ in 2010-2012. The dramatic shift may be caused by furloughs and reduction of course sections, which institutions have implemented to respond to budget constraints. Figure 6

## Declines in Time Spent Teaching Scheduled Classes and Preparing for Teaching

For 20 years, HERI has monitored faculty trends in order to identify areas that may show significant changes in the nature of FTUG faculty work. One area to watch in the coming years is the amount of time faculty report they spend teaching scheduled classes (not determined by credit hours but rather by time spent in the classroom) and preparing for teaching. There has been a significant decline in time spent teaching: The proportion of faculty reporting they spent nine hours or more per week teaching (roughly a quarter of their time) is currently $43.6 \%$, which is a considerable decline from a high of $63.4 \%$ two decades ago and from $56.5 \%$ just 10 years ago. More important, the decline has been slow, except for a significant 11-percentage-point drop from the $54.7 \%$ of faculty who, in 2007-2008, reported they spent nine or more hours teaching scheduled classes. The data also show an increase in the number of faculty reporting they taught $1-4$ hours per
shows the trends, since 1989, in faculty's scheduled teaching and the time they spend preparing for classes.

A considerable drop-from 65.6\% to $59.1 \%$-in the last three years in the amount of time spent in preparation for teaching (more than nine hours per week) also mirrors the decline in scheduled teaching hours. Time spent in preparation for teaching has shown smaller changes over the years, but the trend illustrates a consistent yet modest decline in the percentage of faculty spending nine or more hours preparing for teaching, which corresponds with less scheduled teaching. More research needs to be conducted, since these data focus on full-time faculty. However, these changes in the time allocated for teaching activities may have much to do with the rise in the use of part-time faculty to teach classes across institutions, which also represents a budget reduction measure.

## Part-Time Faculty: A Growing Phenomenon

Contingent faculty, defined as educators appointed to academic positions off the tenure track, now represent the majority of individuals holding academic appointments at colleges and universities, as institutions increasingly rely on the skills and talents of contingent faculty to be
more flexible in the academic offerings on their campuses and to cut instructional costs (Schuster \& Finkelstein, 2006). Contingent faculty hold positions at all types of institutions and are most well-represented within community colleges. Contingent faculty include a diverse set of individuals, such as full-time, non-tenure track faculty, part-time faculty, postdoctoral fellows, and graduate teaching assistants. For the purposes of this report, we analyze only the responses of part-time faculty, excluding individuals employed in other types of contingent academic positions. In the 2010-2011 administration of the survey, 3,547 part-time faculty at 266 four-year colleges and universities responded to these new items, and this section highlights some of the findings from those respondents. These data are not weighted to represent a national sample, and part-time faculty in public universities are underrepresented here ( $10 \%$ in this sample work in public universities, vs. $30 \%$ in public universities nationally). By contrast, part-time faculty working in private colleges are overrepresented in this sample ( $46 \%$ in this sample work in private colleges, vs. $34 \%$ in private colleges nationally).

Maisto, Merves, \& Rhoades, 2012) notes that part-time faculty typically lack access to institutional resources and are often notified of their teaching schedule just one to three weeks before the start of the term. Our data underscore these concerns, as many part-time faculty typically lack office space, personal computer access, or an office phone and voicemail. Figure 7 shows the proportion of part-time faculty who reported having access to specific institutional resources. Not surprising but somewhat concerning is that just $18.4 \%$ of part-time faculty have use of a personal office at their current institution, and less than half of part-time faculty ( $47.7 \%$ ) have a shared office. Additional analyses reveal that faculty's answers to these separate items were not mutually exclusive, such that more than one-third of part-time faculty ( $36.3 \%$ ) had no access to an office on campus, shared or private. Lack of office space restricts part-time faculty's ability to meet privately with students before or after class and can inhibit their ability to make connections within their department, given their lack of a physical location in the daily life of the unit.

Although studies have identified concerns with institutions' increasing reliance on part-time faculty with regard to student outcomes (Eagan \& Jaeger, 2008; Jaeger \& Eagan, 2011), researchers are careful to note that these concerns may result from a lack of support from institutions for part-time faculty. A recent report from the Center for the Future of Higher Education (Street,

Figure 7. Part-Time Faculty's Access to Institutional Resources


The majority of involuntary part-time faculty envision full-time college teaching as their career.

Just more than two in five ( $42.0 \%$ ) parttime faculty report having access to an institutionally provided personal computer on their campus, and a similar proportion (42.1\%) report having access to a campus phone and voicemail. The lack of access to a phone and voicemail may further restrict faculty from interacting with students outside of class or require that they provide students with their personal cell or home phone numbers. The results in Figure 7 show that most part-time faculty indicate that their institution provides them with an email account (89.8\%). Overall, these findings suggest that institutions have important room for improvement in providing resources for these faculty to communicate and meet with students.

Research on part-time faculty has emphasized the need to consider the diversity within this group, as individuals work in part-time positions for different reasons (Gappa \& Leslie, 1993). Some faculty may work part-time to supplement their income for their full-time career outside
academia whereas others work part-time in hopes of finding a full-time faculty position. Given this diversity, we considered two types of part-time faculty: voluntary and involuntary (Maynard \& Joseph, 2008). Involuntary parttime faculty can be considered underemployed faculty who work part-time but actually desire full-time teaching positions. We define involuntary part-time faculty as part-timers who indicated that they would prefer to work fulltime at their current institution or had sought a full-time teaching position at their current institution or elsewhere. Voluntary part-time faculty are individuals who had no interest in working in a full-time academic position at their current institution and who had never sought a full-time teaching position.

Table 5 shows differences between voluntary and involuntary part-time faculty with regard to the reasons why they teach part-time. Although two-thirds of voluntary part-time faculty ( $66.7 \%$ ) report that their part-time position represents an important source of income for them, more than four in five ( $82.6 \%$ ) of involuntary part-time faculty agree that their part-time teaching position represents an important source of income-which speaks to an

Table 5. Reasons Why Part-Time Faculty Work Part-Time

| Percentage marking "agree" or "agree strongly" | Vol. <br> $(\mathrm{N}=883)$ | Invol. <br> $(\mathrm{N}=2,664)$ | \% Point <br> Difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| My part-time position is an important source of <br> income for me | 66.7 | 82.6 | -15.9 |
| Compensation is not a major consideration in <br> my decision to teach part-time | 62.8 | 51.1 | 11.7 |
| Part-time teaching is a stepping stone to a <br> full-time position | 23.7 | 58.6 | -34.9 |
| My part-time position provides benefits (e.g., health <br> insurance, retirement, etc.) that I need | 15.0 | 24.5 | -9.5 |
| Teaching part-time fits my current lifestyle | 97.5 | 80.7 | 16.8 |
| Full-time positions were not available <br> My expertise in my chosen profession is relevant <br> to the course(s) I teach | 37.4 | 68.1 | -30.7 |

 of voluntary part-time faculty
report that compensation is not a major consideration in their decision to teach part-time, whereas just more than half of involuntary parttime faculty (51.1\%) say the same. Similarly, nearly all voluntary part-time faculty (97.5\%) indicate that teaching part-time fits their current lifestyle; by contrast, $80.7 \%$ of involuntary faculty report that teaching part-time fits their current lifestyle.
More than twice as many involuntary parttime faculty as voluntary part-timers see their part-time position as a stepping stone to a fulltime teaching position ( $58.6 \%$ vs. $23.7 \%$ ), which demonstrates the academic career focus of involuntary part-time faculty. The majority of involuntary part-time faculty envision full-time college teaching as their career. Likewise, more than two-thirds of involuntary part-time faculty ( $68.1 \%$ ) are teaching part-time simply because full-time teaching positions were not available; just more than a third of voluntary part-time faculty ( $37.4 \%$ ) said the same.

Figure 8 confirms what other researchers (e.g., Street, Maisto, Merves, \& Rhoades, 2012) have found with regard to involuntary part-time faculty: A number of involuntary part-time faculty string together many different part-time teaching positions. While $21.3 \%$ of voluntary
part-time faculty indicate that they taught parttime at one additional institution, 1.5 times as many involuntary part-time faculty report doing the same (30.1\%). In addition, more than twice as many involuntary part-time faculty (11.6\%) as voluntary part-time faculty ( $4.9 \%$ ) report teaching part-time at two additional colleges and universities. Overall, more than a quarter of voluntary part-time faculty (28.6\%) report holding part-time teaching appointments at multiple institutions, whereas just under half ( $45.5 \%$ ) of involuntary part-time faculty have strung together multiple part-time teaching appointments. Working at multiple campuses involves navigating several different political and bureaucratic processes unique to each institution and developing professional relationships with multiple sets of colleagues. Not only are involuntary part-time faculty more financially dependent on their part-time teaching positions, they are also more likely to have to piece together many different positions to make a career in college teaching.

Differences also exist between voluntary and involuntary part-timers in their opinions regarding part-time faculty at their institutions. Figure 9 shows that substantially more involuntary part-time faculty ( $67.8 \%$ ) than voluntary

Figure 9. Institutional Perspectives of Part-Time Faculty, by Status

have earned (or to be currently working on) terminal degrees. Given their disciplinary training, involuntary part-time faculty are likely assigned general education courses in their discipline while voluntary part-time faculty, many of whom specialize in business and technical fields, are invited to teach upperdivision courses (Maynard \& Joseph, 2008).

A greater percentage of involuntary part-time faculty agree that parttimers lack job security. Nearly nine out of 10 (88.2\%) involuntary part-time faculty report that part-timers have no guarantee of employment security compared with $81.9 \%$ of voluntary part-time faculty. Additionally, voluntary part-time faculty are more likely than involuntary part-time faculty to agree that part-timers are respected by their full-time colleagues (83.1\% vs. 77.2\%).

## Training the Next Generation of Faculty and Potential for Revitalization

Full-time faculty members contribute to both the stability and change of an institution; therefore, it is important for campuses to begin to plan for retirements, faculty mobility, and the development of the next generation of faculty. The survey provides information on faculty involvement in training or development and potential areas for revitalization. Findings in Table 6 show that just over two-thirds of associate professors and assistant professors

Table 6. Faculty Views and Involvement in Training and Revitalization, by Academic Rank

|  | Full Professor | Associate Professor | Assistant Professor | Lecturer | Instructor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training "To a great extent": |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feel that the training you received in graduate school prepared you well for your role as a faculty member | 55.3 | 46.9 | 48.7 | 36.8 | 40.3 |
| Mentor new faculty | 33.4 | 24.4 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 10.1 |
| During the past two years: Participated in a teaching enhancement workshop | 46.9 | 60.7 | 66.6 | 65.3 | 65.6 |
| Revitalization <br> Agree "strongly" or "somewhat": The criteria for advancement and promotion decisions are clear | 80.1 | 67.3 | 66.9 | 62.4 | 65.7 |
| During the past two years: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Considered leaving this institution for another | 45.0 | 50.8 | 48.6 | 47.1 | 39.3 |
| Considered early retirement | 31.0 | 22.5 | 8.1 | 16.7 | 10.4 |
| Plan to retire within the next three years | 18.2 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 7.9 | 5.9 |

agree with the statement that the criteria for advancement and promotion decisions at their institution were clear. The one-third of tenuretrack professors who lack clarity on criteria for promotion should be prime targets for faculty mentoring and development. Although 80.1\% of full professors are clear about promotion criteria, only one-third ( $33.4 \%$ ) reported they were involved in mentoring new faculty. In fact, on-the-job career mentoring is crucial, as less than half of assistant ( $48.7 \%$ ) or associate professors ( $46.9 \%$ ) felt that the training they received in graduate school prepared them well for their roles as faculty.

While teaching remains an area of promotion and advancement, where evidence must be presented regarding influence on student learning, it remains an area for continual development. Roughly two-thirds of assistant professors
(66.6\%) and $60.7 \%$ of associate professors participated in a teaching enhancement workshop, whereas less than half of full professors ( $46.9 \%$ ) reported that they did so in the past two years. This may be because they have plans to retire, as $18.2 \%$ of full professors plan to retire in the next three years. Even more full professors are thinking about retirement, as $31.0 \%$ considered early retirement in the past two years.

More than half ( $50.8 \%$ ) of associate professors also considered leaving their institution in the past two years, as did $45.0 \%$ of full professors, and $48.6 \%$ of assistant professors. In fact, more than one-in-five associate and full professors got a firm job offer, presumably from another institution, in the last two years. ${ }^{4}$ Sustaining faculty vitality and retention are key as institutions plan over the next several years.

[^3]
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# Full-Time Undergraduate Faculty, Type of Institution and Control 

Please refer to the HERI website publications section for information on how to obtain the expanded set of tables. http://heri.ucla.edu/facPublications.php

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Full-time Undergraduate Faculty-All Respondents | 23,824 | 3,501 | 3,052 | 5,487 | 11,784 | 4,267 | 2,090 | 5,427 |
| What is your principal activity in your current position at this institution? <br> Administration <br> Teaching <br> Research <br> Services to clients and patients <br> Other | $\begin{array}{r} 6.7 \\ 76.9 \\ 15.1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.4 \\ 70.1 \\ 20.1 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 62.2 \\ 32.2 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \\ 91.7 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \\ 91.9 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.7 \\ 91.8 \\ 1.9 \\ 0.3 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \\ 92.1 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.1 \\ 91.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ |
| Are you considered a full-time employee of your institution for at least nine months of the current academic year? <br> Yes <br> No | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ |
| What is your present academic rank? Professor Associate professor Assistant professor Lecturer Instructor | $\begin{array}{r} 32.5 \\ 25.4 \\ 26.9 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.9 \\ 24.5 \\ 24.9 \\ 10.2 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.3 \\ 22.5 \\ 24.1 \\ 7.6 \\ 3.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.9 \\ 26.6 \\ 29.8 \\ 8.1 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.8 \\ 29.0 \\ 30.9 \\ 2.7 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.8 \\ 28.8 \\ 28.9 \\ 4.0 \\ 8.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.1 \\ 30.5 \\ 34.7 \\ 2.3 \\ 7.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.6 \\ 28.3 \\ 31.1 \\ 1.6 \\ 9.3 \end{array}$ |
| What is your tenure status at this institution? <br> Tenured <br> On tenure track, but not tenured <br> Not on tenure track, but institution has tenure system Institution has no tenure system | $\begin{array}{r} 54.5 \\ 22.5 \\ 20.1 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56.2 \\ 20.6 \\ 23.0 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.6 \\ 21.5 \\ 19.6 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.0 \\ 26.1 \\ 18.2 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.2 \\ & 23.8 \\ & 16.6 \\ & 12.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.9 \\ & 22.1 \\ & 15.8 \\ & 13.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.7 \\ 23.0 \\ 17.1 \\ 9.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43.6 \\ & 26.0 \\ & 17.3 \\ & 13.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Are you currently serving in an administrative position as: <br> Department chair <br> Dean (associate or assistant) <br> President <br> Vice-president <br> Provost <br> Other <br> Not applicable | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 14.9 \\ 65.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.5 \\ 2.6 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 14.7 \\ 67.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.0 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 16.6 \\ 60.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.9 \\ 70.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.7 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.1 \\ 15.6 \\ 59.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 14.5 \\ 60.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.2 \\ 16.6 \\ 59.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.4 \\ 3.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.2 \\ 16.2 \\ 57.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ```Highest degree earned Bachelor's (B.A., B.S., etc.) Master's (M.A., M.S., M.F.A., M.B.A., etc.) LL.B., J.D. M.D., D.D.S. (or equivalent) Other first professional degree beyond B.A. (e.g., D.D., D.V.M.) Ed.D. Ph.D. Other degree None``` | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 17.0 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.4 \\ 76.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 16.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.4 \\ 77.8 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 9.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.2 \\ 2.3 \\ 84.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ 21.8 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.5 \\ 4.3 \\ 69.2 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 0.9 20.2 0.8 0.3 0.5 3.0 72.6 1.5 0.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 17.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.2 \\ 76.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ 20.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.8 \\ 73.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 0.8 23.2 0.9 0.2 0.5 4.0 68.1 2.2 0.1 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Degree currently working on Bachelor's (B.A., B.S., etc.) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Master's (M.A., M.S., M.F.A., M.B.A., etc.) | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| LL.B., J.D. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| M.D., D.D.S. (or equivalent) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other first professional degree beyond B.A. (e.g., D.D., D.V.M.) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Ed.D. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| Ph.D. | 3.7 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 6.9 |
| Other degree | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 2.4 |
| None | 93.4 | 94.3 | 95.9 | 90.8 | 91.4 | 93.1 | 93.4 | 88.5 |
| Noted as being personally "essential" or "very important": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research | 78.5 | 82.3 | 88.6 | 68.9 | 69.8 | 74.4 | 70.6 | 64.3 |
| Teaching | 97.0 | 96.6 | 95.0 | 98.3 | 98.6 | 97.7 | 99.5 | 99.1 |
| Service | 62.7 | 61.3 | 56.7 | 65.9 | 68.6 | 64.4 | 71.8 | 71.6 |
| During the past two years, have you engaged in any of the following activities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taught an honors course | 21.5 | 23.0 | 24.5 | 16.7 | 20.1 | 21.7 | 19.7 | 18.6 |
| Taught an interdisciplinary course | 46.9 | 45.4 | 57.4 | 37.9 | 49.6 | 54.0 | 40.1 | 49.6 |
| Taught an ethnic studies course | 11.2 | 9.8 | 12.1 | 11.0 | 13.7 | 15.9 | 11.9 | 12.2 |
| Taught a women's studies course | 7.2 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 10.6 | 11.8 | 10.8 | 9.3 |
| Taught a service learning course | 18.0 | 15.9 | 15.4 | 21.9 | 21.4 | 19.9 | 23.4 | 22.1 |
| Taught an exclusively web-based course at this institution | 14.0 | 14.0 | 6.5 | 23.6 | 11.5 | 8.7 | 15.9 | 12.2 |
| Participated in a teaching enhancement workshop | 58.5 | 53.4 | 45.9 | 69.7 | 70.8 | 69.5 | 72.4 | 71.5 |
| Advised student groups involved in service/volunteer work | 43.6 | 41.0 | 39.9 | 48.9 | 47.9 | 43.7 | 47.9 | 52.5 |
| Collaborated with the local community in research/teaching | 42.5 | 41.4 | 36.8 | 50.0 | 42.8 | 40.6 | 42.5 | 45.4 |
| Conducted research or writing focused on international/global issues | 31.8 | 32.0 | 38.3 | 27.3 | 29.7 | 32.8 | 28.3 | 27.1 |
| Conducted research or writing focused on racial or ethnic minorities | 23.1 | 23.4 | 27.1 | 19.1 | 22.4 | 25.9 | 19.8 | 20.0 |
| Conducted research or writing focused on women and gender issues | 20.6 | 20.2 | 21.8 | 19.3 | 21.6 | 25.3 | 20.2 | 18.5 |
| Engaged undergraduates on your research project | 51.3 | 52.5 | 60.1 | 45.5 | 46.1 | 50.5 | 40.2 | 44.3 |
| Worked with undergraduates on a research project | 66.1 | 64.6 | 73.0 | 63.4 | 65.7 | 70.4 | 57.3 | 65.0 |
| Engaged in academic research that spans multiple disciplines | 65.5 | 68.6 | 75.3 | 55.5 | 58.8 | 63.5 | 56.1 | 55.2 |
| Taught a seminar for first-year students | 26.5 | 23.8 | 30.1 | 21.7 | 34.1 | 36.8 | 28.8 | 34.1 |
| Taught a capstone course | 35.8 | 34.0 | 31.8 | 38.9 | 40.5 | 41.4 | 38.0 | 40.8 |
| Taught in a learning community (e.g., FIG, linked courses) | 8.2 | 9.0 | 4.3 | 10.4 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| Supervised an undergraduate thesis | 37.6 | 37.0 | 44.9 | 29.1 | 40.3 | 48.8 | 34.1 | 34.4 |
| Published op-ed pieces or editorials | 15.2 | 14.8 | 17.3 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 13.3 | 13.9 |
| Received funding for your work from foundations | 21.2 | 20.7 | 29.6 | 19.1 | 16.4 | 19.0 | 13.9 | 15.0 |
| Received funding for your work from state or federal government | 29.7 | 37.3 | 34.3 | 24.8 | 12.6 | 15.9 | 12.8 | 9.0 |
| Received funding for your work from business or industry | 10.8 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| How many courses are you teaching this term? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.2 |
| Median | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Mode | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Teach at least one course this term at another institution | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Type of course taught this term "at this institution" General education course <br> Teach at least one course <br> Mean student enrollment <br> Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | $\begin{aligned} & 25.5 \\ & 47.9 \\ & 24.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.1 \\ & 64.9 \\ & 35.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.9 \\ & 54.8 \\ & 51.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.7 \\ & 46.3 \\ & 11.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.2 \\ & 24.9 \\ & 13.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.0 \\ & 23.9 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.1 \\ 22.7 \\ 6.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.2 \\ & 26.8 \\ & 17.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Course required for an undergraduate major <br> Teach at least one course <br> Mean student enrollment <br> Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | $\begin{aligned} & 69.8 \\ & 35.7 \\ & 24.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.5 \\ & 45.1 \\ & 29.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52.4 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 49.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.7 \\ & 28.5 \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.4 \\ & 20.8 \\ & 12.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.9 \\ & 21.0 \\ & 14.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.2 \\ & 22.9 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80.9 \\ & 19.4 \\ & 12.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Other undergraduate course <br> Teach at least one course <br> Mean student enrollment <br> Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \\ & 25.8 \\ & 17.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \\ & 32.0 \\ & 18.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.7 \\ & 24.3 \\ & 31.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.2 \\ 22.8 \\ 6.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31.2 \\ 16.8 \\ 7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.1 \\ 16.7 \\ 8.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.6 \\ 19.1 \\ 6.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.3 \\ 15.8 \\ 7.2 \end{array}$ |
| Developmental/remedial course (not for credit) <br> Teach at least one course <br> Mean student enrollment <br> Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 28.1 \\ 17.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ 43.3 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \\ 13.2 \\ 32.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 29.6 \\ 21.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 18.1 \\ 12.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 16.7 \\ 18.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6 \\ 22.4 \\ 27.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ 17.7 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ |
| Non-credit course (other than above) <br> Teach at least one course <br> Mean student enrollment <br> Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 26.5 \\ 7.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 31.9 \\ 5.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 18.0 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 23.4 \\ 12.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 23.9 \\ 12.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ 15.1 \\ 13.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ 40.1 \\ 10.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 23.0 \\ 14.0 \end{array}$ |
| Graduate course <br> Teach at least one course <br> Mean student enrollment <br> Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | $\begin{array}{r} 22.7 \\ 14.2 \\ 7.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25.7 \\ 14.9 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.7 \\ & 12.2 \\ & 11.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.1 \\ 15.3 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.6 \\ 14.3 \\ 2.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.7 \\ 14.8 \\ 3.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ 15.1 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.3 \\ 12.7 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$ |
| What types of courses do you primarily teach? <br> (based on faculty who indicated they were not teaching this term) <br> Undergraduate credit courses <br> Graduate courses <br> Non-credit courses <br> I do not teach | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ |
| Do you teach remedial/developmental skills in any of the following areas? <br> Reading <br> Writing <br> Mathematics <br> ESL <br> General academic skills <br> Other subject areas | $\begin{array}{r} 6.1 \\ 14.0 \\ 5.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 11.6 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \\ 14.2 \\ 4.9 \\ 1.3 \\ 12.9 \\ 7.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 10.9 \\ 3.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 7.7 \\ 4.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.0 \\ 13.9 \\ 7.3 \\ 1.0 \\ 12.4 \\ 7.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.7 \\ 16.4 \\ 4.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 11.7 \\ 6.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.4 \\ 19.4 \\ 5.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 12.3 \\ 7.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \\ 14.4 \\ 4.6 \\ 1.6 \\ 10.9 \\ 6.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 14.2 \\ 4.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 11.6 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | ighted Nat | Norms | Respon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Have you engaged in any of the following professional development opportunities at your institution? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid workshops outside the institution focused on teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 22.9 | 19.0 | 14.3 | 30.3 | 32.8 | 29.6 | 31.6 | 36.8 |
| No | 69.4 | 72.6 | 79.5 | 62.2 | 59.4 | 61.9 | 61.8 | 55.4 |
| Not eligible | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Not available | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.9 | 5.3 | 6.4 |
| Paid sabbatical leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 34.1 | 31.1 | 49.2 | 24.7 | 36.0 | 42.7 | 33.6 | 30.0 |
| No | 46.2 | 48.5 | 35.0 | 53.2 | 44.3 | 38.4 | 47.5 | 49.0 |
| Not eligible | 16.7 | 17.3 | 14.0 | 18.6 | 16.1 | 13.9 | 17.1 | 18.1 |
| Not available | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 2.9 |
| Travel funds paid by the institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 75.7 | 73.8 | 71.1 | 79.0 | 80.9 | 81.2 | 80.9 | 80.4 |
| No | 20.1 | 21.2 | 24.3 | 17.2 | 16.3 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.8 |
| Not eligible | 2.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Not available | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.4 |
| Internal grants for research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 48.5 | 50.2 | 52.0 | 45.7 | 44.1 | 48.4 | 43.0 | 40.1 |
| No | 46.0 | 44.3 | 43.0 | 49.5 | 49.2 | 44.4 | 51.1 | 53.3 |
| Not eligible | 3.7 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Not available | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 3.8 |
| Training for administrative leadership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 12.9 | 12.3 | 10.8 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 13.5 | 15.1 |
| No | 75.7 | 77.0 | 80.4 | 72.7 | 71.2 | 71.4 | 72.4 | 70.3 |
| Not eligible | 5.1 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| Not available | 6.3 | 4.4 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| Received incentives to develop new courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 22.4 | 20.4 | 19.8 | 25.2 | 26.7 | 30.2 | 24.3 | 24.1 |
| No | 69.2 | 71.2 | 74.0 | 66.5 | 62.3 | 58.7 | 64.9 | 64.9 |
| Not eligible | 2.3 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| Not available | 6.2 | 5.6 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 9.0 |
| Received incentives to integrate new technology into |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 18.0 | 16.7 | 17.2 | 21.6 | 18.2 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 18.8 |
| No | 74.4 | 76.7 | 77.5 | 70.2 | 70.5 | 71.1 | 71.2 | 69.4 |
| Not eligible | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 |
| Not available | 5.8 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 10.6 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey


2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| How many of your professional writings have been published or accepted for publication in the last two years? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 28.1 | 23.9 | 16.9 | 37.1 | 39.4 | 35.0 | 38.3 | 44.7 |
| 1-2 | 30.5 | 28.1 | 23.2 | 36.9 | 36.6 | 37.4 | 36.8 | 35.7 |
| 3-4 | 21.9 | 24.7 | 26.4 | 17.7 | 15.2 | 17.5 | 15.5 | 12.7 |
| 5-10 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 24.5 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 8.9 | 7.8 | 5.9 |
| 11-20 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| 21-50 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 51+ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Do you, "to a great extent": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feel that the training you received in graduate school prepared you well for your role as a faculty member | 49.0 | 50.3 | 52.3 | 47.0 | 45.0 | 43.2 | 47.1 | 45.8 |
| Achieve a healthy balance between your personal life and your professional life | 32.1 | 31.3 | 32.3 | 33.5 | 32.2 | 31.8 | 34.2 | 31.7 |
| Experience close alignment between your work and your personal values | 62.7 | 61.5 | 64.4 | 61.6 | 65.1 | 60.8 | 67.9 | 68.3 |
| Feel that you have to work harder than your colleagues to be perceived as a legitimate scholar | 28.2 | 30.3 | 25.7 | 28.9 | 24.8 | 23.4 | 25.3 | 26.0 |
| Mentor new faculty | 20.7 | 19.9 | 21.4 | 21.9 | 20.9 | 21.9 | 22.7 | 18.9 |
| In your interactions with undergraduates, how "frequently" do you encourage them to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ask questions in class | 95.2 | 94.7 | 94.6 | 95.5 | 96.6 | 97.3 | 96.2 | 96.1 |
| Support their opinions with a logical argument | 82.4 | 81.5 | 81.7 | 83.7 | 83.8 | 85.5 | 83.2 | 82.2 |
| Seek solutions to problems and explain them to others | 71.9 | 69.8 | 72.1 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 74.1 | 75.3 | 73.6 |
| Revise their papers to improve their writing | 55.2 | 52.3 | 53.4 | 57.5 | 61.2 | 62.2 | 60.6 | 60.4 |
| Evaluate the quality or reliability of information they receive | 68.5 | 67.3 | 66.9 | 71.2 | 70.2 | 71.7 | 71.1 | 68.2 |
| Take risks for potential gains | 37.2 | 35.6 | 36.0 | 37.8 | 41.6 | 43.7 | 39.5 | 40.3 |
| Seek alternative solutions to a problem | 59.7 | 57.5 | 59.2 | 63.4 | 61.3 | 61.8 | 61.1 | 60.9 |
| Look up scientific research articles and resources | 53.8 | 53.3 | 58.9 | 54.7 | 49.0 | 50.5 | 49.3 | 47.2 |
| Explore topics on their own, even though it was not required for a class | 52.4 | 51.5 | 56.5 | 53.6 | 49.2 | 50.9 | 49.1 | 47.6 |
| Accept mistakes as part of the learning process | 73.9 | 71.5 | 72.9 | 77.2 | 76.9 | 76.6 | 77.2 | 77.2 |
| Seek feedback on their academic work | 73.4 | 71.3 | 71.8 | 75.9 | 77.3 | 78.1 | 77.2 | 76.5 |
| Integrate skills and knowledge from different sources and experiences | 76.0 | 75.0 | 72.9 | 79.4 | 77.8 | 76.5 | 80.0 | 78.2 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Methods you use in "all" or "most" of the courses you teach: Multiple-choice exams | 29.3 | 28.5 | 20.0 | 39.6 | 29.4 | 21.4 | 37.1 | 34.2 |
| Essay exams | 41.3 | 40.1 | 42.1 | 38.9 | 45.7 | 44.1 | 46.4 | 47.2 |
| Short-answer exams | 44.9 | 44.4 | 40.6 | 46.9 | 48.0 | 43.6 | 50.6 | 51.5 |
| Quizzes | 38.9 | 39.6 | 28.6 | 45.6 | 40.2 | 35.9 | 41.8 | 44.1 |
| Weekly essay assignments | 20.2 | 18.8 | 21.6 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 22.5 | 20.6 | 22.6 |
| Student presentations | 43.8 | 39.3 | 43.8 | 45.6 | 52.1 | 51.7 | 51.6 | 52.8 |
| Term/research papers | 43.3 | 39.8 | 51.1 | 41.0 | 46.1 | 47.9 | 45.8 | 44.3 |
| Student evaluations of each others' work | 21.0 | 19.9 | 15.9 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 25.4 | 21.7 | 25.6 |
| Grading on a curve | 17.3 | 19.9 | 21.8 | 12.9 | 11.4 | 11.2 | 13.2 | 10.7 |
| Competency-based grading | 47.6 | 45.1 | 47.9 | 50.8 | 49.6 | 51.0 | 49.9 | 48.0 |
| Class discussions | 82.2 | 80.7 | 82.5 | 82.1 | 85.7 | 85.1 | 86.2 | 86.1 |
| Cooperative learning (small groups) | 56.7 | 53.7 | 50.4 | 60.8 | 65.6 | 65.9 | 61.7 | 67.2 |
| Experiential learning/Field studies | 25.6 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 30.3 | 28.6 | 27.2 | 26.1 | 31.4 |
| Teaching assistants | 12.7 | 14.5 | 24.2 | 4.7 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 6.2 |
| Recitals/Demonstrations | 19.0 | 18.2 | 18.3 | 20.9 | 19.6 | 20.0 | 17.8 | 20.2 |
| Group projects | 32.0 | 30.4 | 25.5 | 36.1 | 37.6 | 36.5 | 37.2 | 39.0 |
| Extensive lecturing | 45.0 | 47.4 | 46.6 | 44.0 | 39.0 | 37.7 | 43.6 | 38.2 |
| Multiple drafts of written work | 23.9 | 21.7 | 22.3 | 25.7 | 28.6 | 31.4 | 26.7 | 26.5 |
| Student-selected topics for course content | 19.8 | 18.0 | 20.4 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 21.3 |
| Reflective writing/journaling | 17.6 | 15.8 | 13.2 | 20.6 | 23.1 | 21.3 | 23.2 | 24.9 |
| Community service as part of coursework | 5.9 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 6.2 | 9.2 | 9.6 |
| Electronic quizzes with immediate feedback in class | 7.4 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 10.3 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 8.3 |
| Using real-life problems | 55.4 | 54.5 | 46.8 | 62.9 | 58.3 | 55.4 | 63.5 | 58.7 |
| Using student inquiry to drive learning | 45.8 | 43.7 | 45.4 | 48.3 | 48.7 | 48.8 | 51.2 | 47.2 |
| Personal goals noted as "essential" or "very important": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Becoming an authority in my field | 68.8 | 70.8 | 79.6 | 62.3 | 60.6 | 60.7 | 60.8 | 60.4 |
| Influencing the political structure | 22.9 | 22.2 | 22.8 | 25.7 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 21.2 |
| Influencing social values | 48.5 | 45.8 | 45.5 | 51.0 | 55.1 | 50.2 | 58.2 | 58.9 |
| Raising a family | 72.9 | 71.8 | 76.4 | 71.8 | 73.2 | 71.0 | 71.0 | 76.7 |
| Becoming very well off financially | 25.6 | 25.7 | 27.0 | 26.7 | 22.6 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 19.7 |
| Helping others who are in difficulty | 72.2 | 70.7 | 67.9 | 75.6 | 76.3 | 73.7 | 78.1 | 78.3 |
| Adopting "green" practices to protect the environment | 56.6 | 58.5 | 48.0 | 59.9 | 57.1 | 58.7 | 61.6 | 52.9 |
| Developing a meaningful philosophy of life | 82.2 | 81.7 | 77.1 | 84.1 | 86.4 | 83.7 | 87.6 | 88.7 |
| Helping to promote racial understanding | 72.2 | 72.4 | 67.5 | 72.9 | 75.4 | 74.2 | 76.1 | 76.2 |
| Integrating spirituality into my life | 46.4 | 39.8 | 42.4 | 52.9 | 59.1 | 46.2 | 63.1 | 70.8 |
| Making a theoretical contribution to science | 38.0 | 41.4 | 49.4 | 31.5 | 26.0 | 26.5 | 30.9 | 22.9 |
| Participating in a community action program | 28.0 | 25.7 | 24.1 | 33.7 | 31.4 | 28.6 | 34.0 | 33.1 |
| Keeping up to date with political affairs | 59.8 | 59.1 | 61.0 | 60.3 | 59.6 | 60.5 | 62.5 | 57.2 |
| Becoming a community leader | 18.8 | 17.2 | 13.4 | 23.9 | 22.7 | 20.0 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| Mentoring the next generation of scholars | 77.7 | 77.5 | 85.0 | 74.2 | 74.9 | 73.8 | 75.5 | 75.8 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Goals for undergraduates noted as "essential" or "very important" Develop ability to think critically | 99.5 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 99.4 | 99.3 | 98.8 | 99.8 | 99.5 |
| Prepare students for employment after college | 78.3 | 76.4 | 71.7 | 86.3 | 81.0 | 77.3 | 83.1 | 83.9 |
| Prepare students for graduate or advanced education | 75.2 | 72.2 | 77.2 | 76.8 | 78.4 | 77.3 | 77.9 | 79.9 |
| Develop moral character | 68.8 | 64.8 | 64.2 | 71.9 | 79.1 | 72.5 | 84.4 | 83.5 |
| Provide for students' emotional development | 50.4 | 46.6 | 45.6 | 53.2 | 61.2 | 54.8 | 67.1 | 65.0 |
| Teach students the classic works of Western civilization | 27.9 | 24.8 | 29.9 | 27.6 | 33.5 | 30.4 | 35.3 | 36.0 |
| Help students develop personal values | 64.1 | 57.9 | 62.4 | 68.0 | 76.2 | 69.5 | 80.8 | 81.2 |
| Enhance students' self-understanding | 71.0 | 67.4 | 66.1 | 74.6 | 80.2 | 77.2 | 83.7 | 81.7 |
| Instill in students a commitment to community service | 44.5 | 39.4 | 37.8 | 52.0 | 55.1 | 46.8 | 61.1 | 61.0 |
| Enhance students' knowledge of and appreciation for other racial/ethnic groups | 70.1 | 67.7 | 64.8 | 73.8 | 76.9 | 75.4 | 77.3 | 78.3 |
| Help master knowledge in a discipline | 94.1 | 94.0 | 94.2 | 94.2 | 93.9 | 92.2 | 95.2 | 94.9 |
| Develop creative capacities | 79.4 | 78.2 | 82.3 | 78.8 | 79.7 | 79.5 | 80.5 | 79.5 |
| Instill a basic appreciation of the liberal arts | 66.7 | 61.6 | 64.5 | 68.2 | 78.9 | 78.5 | 78.3 | 79.7 |
| Promote ability to write effectively | 91.3 | 89.6 | 90.3 | 92.6 | 94.6 | 94.7 | 93.9 | 94.7 |
| Help students evaluate the quality and reliability of information | 95.7 | 95.6 | 95.8 | 95.8 | 95.7 | 95.5 | 95.2 | 96.0 |
| Engage students in civil discourse around controversial issues | 66.7 | 64.2 | 64.7 | 67.3 | 73.5 | 73.2 | 72.4 | 74.4 |
| Teach students tolerance and respect for different beliefs | 78.9 | 77.0 | 72.8 | 82.3 | 85.7 | 84.6 | 86.5 | 86.4 |
| Encourage students to become agents of social change | 52.1 | 48.4 | 47.2 | 56.0 | 61.3 | 57.8 | 65.0 | 63.0 |
| During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled teaching (give actual, not credit hours) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 5.8 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| 1-4 | 15.8 | 19.6 | 23.5 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 8.0 | 7.3 |
| 5-8 | 34.6 | 40.6 | 44.0 | 20.4 | 26.2 | 30.2 | 27.1 | 21.4 |
| 9-12 | 28.6 | 22.1 | 16.5 | 44.8 | 39.0 | 36.2 | 41.0 | 41.1 |
| 13-16 | 9.2 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 16.2 | 14.8 | 12.1 | 13.8 | 18.2 |
| 17-20 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 4.8 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 6.3 |
| 21-34 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 35-44 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 45+ | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Preparing for teaching (including reading student papers and grading) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4.9 | 5.6 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 5.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| 1-4 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 8.2 |
| 5-8 | 24.4 | 25.1 | 30.5 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 20.3 | 23.6 | 20.2 |
| 9-12 | 22.4 | 22.6 | 20.4 | 24.4 | 21.5 | 19.7 | 24.6 | 21.9 |
| 13-16 | 13.9 | 12.2 | 12.8 | 15.9 | 16.5 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 17.0 |
| 17-20 | 12.1 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 14.4 |
| 21-34 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 11.0 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 7.1 | 10.9 |
| 35-44 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.9 |
| 45+ | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advising and counseling of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4.4 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 3.5 |
| 1-4 | 56.7 | 59.0 | 58.2 | 52.6 | 54.4 | 54.4 | 53.8 | 54.8 |
| 5-8 | 27.1 | 25.3 | 26.0 | 29.6 | 29.8 | 30.6 | 29.2 | 29.2 |
| 9-12 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| 13-16 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 17-20 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 21-34 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 45+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Committee work and meetings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 7.6 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 5.2 | 7.0 | 8.1 | 5.6 | 6.5 |
| 1-4 | 58.0 | 56.1 | 60.6 | 56.6 | 61.3 | 59.9 | 58.5 | 64.3 |
| 5-8 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 23.1 | 26.0 | 22.3 | 22.1 | 25.2 | 21.0 |
| 9-12 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 5.7 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 4.8 |
| 13-16 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| 17-20 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| 21-34 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| 45+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 30.6 | 32.5 | 28.4 | 31.2 | 27.4 | 29.3 | 26.9 | 25.7 |
| 1-4 | 39.7 | 36.4 | 43.0 | 41.4 | 42.0 | 43.0 | 39.8 | 42.2 |
| 5-8 | 13.8 | 14.0 | 14.2 | 12.1 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 15.5 | 15.7 |
| 9-12 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 7.2 | 6.5 |
| 13-16 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 4.4 | 3.3 |
| 17-20 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 21-34 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| 35-44 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| 45+ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Research and scholarly writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 13.1 | 12.2 | 7.3 | 15.3 | 18.4 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 21.3 |
| 1-4 | 30.3 | 25.4 | 16.3 | 42.3 | 42.9 | 40.6 | 41.3 | 46.3 |
| 5-8 | 19.2 | 19.7 | 16.2 | 20.6 | 19.5 | 21.2 | 20.3 | 17.1 |
| 9-12 | 12.9 | 13.6 | 17.3 | 11.1 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 7.8 |
| 13-16 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| 17-20 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 9.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| 21-34 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 12.5 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 1.5 |
| 35-44 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| 45+ | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.6 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey


2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household/childcare duties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 9.2 | 9.3 | 10.6 | 8.7 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| 1-4 | 17.9 | 18.0 | 16.5 | 18.8 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 17.5 | 18.6 |
| 5-8 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 23.2 | 26.2 | 25.3 | 25.1 | 25.2 | 25.5 |
| 9-12 | 16.1 | 16.5 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 13-16 | 8.7 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 10.1 | 8.9 |
| 17-20 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 7.0 | 8.2 |
| 21-34 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| 35-44 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 5.2 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.6 |
| 45+ | 4.1 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 4.4 |
| Commuting to campus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 7.5 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 11.5 | 14.1 | 6.3 | 11.3 |
| 1-4 | 58.6 | 62.7 | 51.5 | 60.3 | 54.4 | 51.1 | 53.7 | 58.3 |
| 5-8 | 24.2 | 22.3 | 29.4 | 23.2 | 24.5 | 25.5 | 28.9 | 21.1 |
| 9-12 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 11.4 | 8.3 | 7.5 | 7.2 | 9.6 | 6.9 |
| 13-16 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| 17-20 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 21-34 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 35-44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 45+ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other employment, outside of academia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 89.5 | 90.7 | 91.7 | 86.8 | 87.1 | 89.1 | 88.6 | 84.2 |
| 1-4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 8.6 |
| 5-8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 3.6 |
| 9-12 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 13-16 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 17-20 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.7 |
| 21-34 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 35-44 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 45+ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| General activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are you a member of a faculty union? | 26.3 | 36.2 | 3.8 | 42.1 | 8.9 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 4.6 |
| Are you a U.S. citizen? | 92.0 | 91.2 | 91.1 | 93.4 | 93.5 | 92.5 | 94.0 | 94.3 |
| Do you plan to retire within the next three years? | 9.8 | 9.8 | 7.9 | 12.4 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.6 | 8.6 |
| Do you use your scholarship to address local community needs? | 37.4 | 37.2 | 29.0 | 46.0 | 36.8 | 32.4 | 37.1 | 41.5 |
| Have you been sexually harassed at this institution? | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 4.2 |
| Have you ever interrupted your professional career for more than one year for family reasons? | 10.9 | 10.8 | 8.1 | 12.8 | 11.7 | 11.4 | 12.2 | 11.9 |
| Have you ever received an award for outstanding teaching? | 42.6 | 44.8 | 40.1 | 43.9 | 38.6 | 38.2 | 37.4 | 39.5 |
| Is (or was) your spouse/partner an academic? | 33.5 | 35.1 | 33.8 | 31.3 | 32.0 | 34.1 | 28.0 | 31.9 |
| During the past two years, have you: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Considered early retirement? | 19.8 | 20.6 | 17.1 | 22.4 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 16.9 | 16.7 |
| Considered leaving academe for another job? | 31.5 | 31.7 | 27.3 | 34.5 | 31.9 | 33.4 | 28.5 | 32.1 |
| Considered leaving this institution for another? | 47.3 | 49.5 | 47.4 | 46.6 | 43.0 | 45.0 | 39.9 | 42.3 |
| Changed academic institutions? | 16.7 | 16.9 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 15.5 | 17.7 |
| Engaged in paid consulting outside of your institution? | 36.4 | 37.4 | 43.1 | 34.8 | 29.2 | 29.5 | 27.7 | 29.8 |
| Engaged in public service/professional consulting without pay? | 57.1 | 57.7 | 55.4 | 61.3 | 52.8 | 50.9 | 52.6 | 54.9 |
| Received at least one firm job offer? | 25.3 | 24.7 | 26.1 | 26.7 | 24.8 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 26.9 |
| Requested/sought an early promotion? | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.5 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| If you were to begin your career again, would you: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Still want to come to this institution? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitely yes | 36.3 | 31.5 | 46.5 | 34.4 | 39.7 | 38.6 | 39.8 | 40.8 |
| Probably yes | 33.8 | 35.9 | 30.6 | 32.1 | 33.5 | 32.3 | 34.8 | 34.1 |
| Not sure | 16.8 | 18.5 | 12.3 | 18.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 15.1 | 14.8 |
| Probably no | 8.0 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 7.6 |
| Definitely no | 5.2 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 2.8 |
| Still want to be a college professor? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitely yes | 61.6 | 59.6 | 61.8 | 63.8 | 63.6 | 61.7 | 67.0 | 64.0 |
| Probably yes | 26.3 | 27.2 | 26.4 | 24.5 | 25.9 | 26.9 | 23.2 | 26.2 |
| Not sure | 8.9 | 10.0 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 6.9 |
| Probably no | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| Definitely no | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Attributes noted as being "very descriptive" of your institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| It is easy for students to see faculty outside of regular office hours | 53.5 | 43.5 | 48.5 | 59.8 | 75.1 | 74.0 | 74.2 | 76.8 |
| The faculty are typically at odds with campus administration | 19.5 | 21.4 | 15.3 | 21.4 | 17.1 | 20.2 | 14.3 | 15.3 |
| Faculty here respect each other | 48.2 | 44.9 | 54.1 | 44.8 | 53.8 | 50.7 | 54.5 | 56.8 |
| Most students are treated like "numbers in a book" | 4.9 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| Faculty are rewarded for being good teachers | 16.5 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 18.2 | 23.7 | 25.7 | 23.4 | 21.6 |
| There is respect for the expression of diverse values and beliefs | 35.7 | 33.8 | 43.1 | 31.9 | 37.0 | 41.3 | 37.7 | 32.0 |
| Faculty are rewarded for their efforts to use instructional technology | 14.7 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 19.3 | 13.6 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 13.5 |
| Administrators consider faculty concerns when making policy | 14.6 | 11.5 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 19.6 | 20.1 | 17.3 | 20.4 |
| The administration is open about its policies | 16.7 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 18.3 | 20.0 | 23.8 |
| Factors noted as a source of stress for you during the last two years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managing household responsibilities | 74.7 | 74.4 | 72.7 | 75.1 | 77.3 | 78.4 | 76.5 | 76.5 |
| Child care | 55.7 | 55.0 | 58.7 | 51.7 | 58.3 | 62.6 | 57.0 | 54.8 |
| Care of elderly parent | 49.9 | 49.6 | 52.8 | 48.8 | 48.7 | 47.7 | 52.0 | 48.1 |
| My physical health | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.5 | 54.0 | 53.7 | 55.1 | 53.1 | 52.5 |
| Health of spouse/partner | 44.3 | 46.2 | 40.9 | 45.3 | 42.0 | 41.0 | 43.7 | 42.2 |
| Review/promotion process | 57.8 | 59.8 | 54.3 | 59.0 | 55.3 | 56.3 | 54.1 | 54.9 |
| Subtle discrimination (e.g., prejudice, racism, sexism) | 28.3 | 28.8 | 27.3 | 29.1 | 27.1 | 29.3 | 24.5 | 26.1 |
| Personal finances | 65.7 | 65.5 | 58.5 | 70.1 | 68.7 | 68.4 | 66.8 | 69.9 |
| Committee work | 62.0 | 60.9 | 56.0 | 67.4 | 64.6 | 65.6 | 67.3 | 62.2 |
| Faculty meetings | 53.9 | 53.3 | 50.8 | 57.3 | 54.8 | 54.1 | 57.7 | 54.0 |
| Colleagues | 61.7 | 62.2 | 58.1 | 63.2 | 62.3 | 64.1 | 61.2 | 60.8 |
| Students | 60.6 | 60.3 | 53.1 | 64.5 | 64.8 | 66.0 | 61.6 | 65.2 |
| Research or publishing demands | 70.7 | 74.5 | 77.7 | 64.1 | 61.8 | 66.3 | 63.8 | 55.8 |
| Institutional procedures and "red tape" | 71.3 | 75.5 | 66.8 | 73.6 | 63.5 | 62.1 | 66.1 | 63.7 |
| Teaching load | 62.6 | 61.9 | 50.5 | 71.2 | 67.4 | 64.8 | 68.7 | 69.5 |
| Children's problems | 48.0 | 49.1 | 48.8 | 44.7 | 48.1 | 49.8 | 47.9 | 46.5 |
| Friction with spouse/partner | 33.2 | 32.8 | 33.0 | 34.5 | 33.1 | 34.5 | 33.9 | 31.4 |
| Lack of personal time | 82.2 | 81.5 | 83.1 | 82.4 | 82.8 | 83.5 | 81.9 | 82.4 |
| Keeping up with information technology | 52.1 | 51.1 | 47.6 | 57.5 | 53.0 | 50.3 | 56.1 | 54.3 |
| Job security | 39.2 | 41.1 | 30.0 | 43.6 | 39.4 | 41.6 | 35.0 | 39.3 |
| Being part of a dual career couple | 49.8 | 50.5 | 49.8 | 46.8 | 51.1 | 51.4 | 51.0 | 50.8 |
| Working with underprepared students | 75.3 | 78.1 | 58.1 | 83.5 | 77.1 | 74.1 | 79.3 | 79.3 |
| Self-imposed high expectations | 84.8 | 85.1 | 86.1 | 83.5 | 84.2 | 84.4 | 83.7 | 84.3 |
| Change in work responsibilities | 49.7 | 50.8 | 43.6 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 50.9 | 51.7 | 51.6 |
| Institutional budget cuts | 74.2 | 86.1 | 47.2 | 83.4 | 62.5 | 67.5 | 56.7 | 60.2 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Aspects of your job with which you are "very satisfied" or "satisfied": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary | 48.6 | 47.0 | 58.4 | 44.0 | 47.6 | 49.9 | 52.8 | 42.4 |
| Health benefits | 71.4 | 72.3 | 75.7 | 73.3 | 62.7 | 62.4 | 69.5 | 59.6 |
| Retirement benefits | 68.5 | 67.1 | 76.2 | 69.9 | 62.7 | 61.8 | 65.2 | 62.4 |
| Opportunity for scholarly pursuits | 58.8 | 60.1 | 75.7 | 48.1 | 50.3 | 52.2 | 48.3 | 49.2 |
| Teaching load | 58.7 | 59.1 | 73.2 | 46.8 | 55.8 | 58.3 | 55.3 | 53.2 |
| Quality of students | 53.8 | 48.6 | 73.8 | 42.9 | 57.4 | 60.4 | 55.3 | 55.3 |
| Office/lab space | 70.3 | 69.8 | 72.2 | 68.8 | 71.0 | 70.5 | 74.4 | 70.0 |
| Autonomy and independence | 85.6 | 86.0 | 87.7 | 82.8 | 85.6 | 85.8 | 86.1 | 85.2 |
| Professional relationships with other faculty | 76.7 | 76.0 | 75.3 | 75.6 | 80.8 | 79.6 | 82.3 | 81.3 |
| Social relationships with other faculty | 65.6 | 63.8 | 60.4 | 68.2 | 71.9 | 71.0 | 74.7 | 71.5 |
| Competency of colleagues | 78.7 | 77.0 | 83.6 | 75.8 | 81.2 | 80.4 | 80.8 | 82.2 |
| Job security | 73.4 | 71.2 | 79.7 | 70.4 | 75.5 | 75.1 | 78.6 | 74.3 |
| Departmental leadership | 68.5 | 66.6 | 68.1 | 69.5 | 72.3 | 71.0 | 72.0 | 73.9 |
| Course assignments | 82.6 | 81.2 | 84.9 | 81.1 | 85.0 | 84.2 | 87.2 | 84.8 |
| Freedom to determine course content | 91.8 | 92.0 | 92.4 | 90.7 | 92.0 | 91.2 | 92.1 | 92.8 |
| Availability of child care at this institution | 26.5 | 25.1 | 26.8 | 34.9 | 21.7 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 19.5 |
| Prospects for career advancement | 54.2 | 52.2 | 61.6 | 50.2 | 56.1 | 55.3 | 59.6 | 55.3 |
| Clerical/administrative support | 57.8 | 55.2 | 56.2 | 62.6 | 60.5 | 59.1 | 64.9 | 59.7 |
| Overall job satisfaction | 74.7 | 73.2 | 77.2 | 73.4 | 77.1 | 75.9 | 80.7 | 76.6 |
| Tuition remission for your children/dependents | 66.2 | 56.1 | 80.8 | 55.6 | 79.6 | 76.0 | 83.4 | 81.4 |
| Do you agree "strongly" or "somewhat"? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty are interested in students' personal problems | 81.3 | 76.6 | 73.7 | 86.9 | 93.7 | 90.5 | 95.8 | 96.2 |
| Racial and ethnic diversity should be more strongly reflected in the curriculum | 53.3 | 49.4 | 50.2 | 56.1 | 62.4 | 60.3 | 63.7 | 64.0 |
| Faculty feel that most students are well-prepared academically | 39.7 | 31.4 | 66.7 | 28.3 | 44.7 | 46.0 | 45.6 | 42.8 |
| This institution should hire more faculty of color | 71.9 | 72.1 | 74.5 | 65.8 | 75.4 | 74.5 | 76.6 | 75.7 |
| This institution should hire more women faculty | 60.5 | 63.2 | 69.9 | 53.1 | 53.1 | 53.3 | 49.8 | 54.6 |
| Student Affairs staff have the support and respect of faculty | 76.2 | 74.2 | 81.0 | 76.4 | 76.3 | 73.3 | 78.0 | 78.5 |
| Faculty are committed to the welfare of this institution | 90.2 | 88.0 | 92.8 | 89.1 | 94.1 | 92.6 | 94.9 | 95.2 |
| Faculty here are strongly interested in the academic problems of undergraduates | 86.0 | 81.7 | 81.8 | 90.9 | 94.8 | 93.7 | 94.7 | 96.0 |
| There is a lot of campus racial conflict here | 9.3 | 10.0 | 6.2 | 8.5 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| My research is valued by faculty in my department | 75.0 | 73.9 | 79.0 | 71.6 | 77.2 | 78.2 | 76.9 | 76.3 |
| My teaching is valued by faculty in my department | 88.2 | 86.5 | 86.3 | 89.6 | 92.4 | 92.1 | 93.3 | 92.3 |
| Faculty of color are treated fairly here | 90.2 | 89.2 | 91.5 | 91.1 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 90.6 | 90.6 |
| Women faculty are treated fairly here | 88.1 | 87.9 | 87.0 | 88.2 | 89.5 | 90.2 | 89.1 | 88.9 |
| Gay and lesbian faculty are treated fairly here | 86.5 | 88.1 | 86.7 | 89.2 | 79.7 | 89.8 | 76.5 | 70.0 |
| Faculty are sufficiently involved in campus decision making | 56.1 | 53.3 | 52.5 | 60.1 | 62.1 | 61.4 | 60.0 | 63.9 |
| My values are congruent with the dominant institutional values | 72.1 | 66.0 | 76.1 | 73.9 | 80.7 | 76.0 | 83.8 | 84.1 |
| This institution takes responsibility for educating underprepared students | 64.3 | 61.1 | 57.5 | 70.9 | 71.7 | 66.9 | 75.5 | 74.8 |
| The criteria for advancement and promotion decisions are clear | 71.0 | 71.1 | 68.0 | 72.8 | 72.0 | 70.9 | 75.5 | 71.4 |
| Most of the students I teach lack the basic skills for college level work | 36.7 | 38.5 | 17.3 | 52.1 | 35.7 | 34.6 | 37.2 | 36.0 |
| There is adequate support for faculty development | 63.5 | 60.4 | 68.5 | 62.6 | 66.6 | 64.1 | 69.1 | 68.0 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Issues you believe to be of "highest" or "high" priority at your institution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To promote the intellectual development of students | 79.6 | 75.4 | 85.4 | 77.8 | 85.4 | 84.8 | 86.4 | 85.5 |
| To develop a sense of community among students and faculty | 53.3 | 46.4 | 47.9 | 54.9 | 72.6 | 66.6 | 76.6 | 76.9 |
| To facilitate student involvement in community service | 42.9 | 33.3 | 44.1 | 45.8 | 60.9 | 46.9 | 77.4 | 67.5 |
| To help students learn how to bring about change in society | 34.5 | 26.7 | 38.4 | 32.3 | 51.0 | 42.7 | 61.6 | 54.4 |
| To increase or maintain institutional prestige | 71.3 | 74.8 | 86.5 | 56.9 | 63.5 | 65.5 | 67.2 | 59.5 |
| To hire faculty "stars" | 37.2 | 43.4 | 65.7 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 15.8 | 17.3 | 18.5 |
| To recruit more minority students | 46.1 | 45.1 | 46.6 | 46.5 | 47.2 | 48.8 | 46.9 | 45.8 |
| To enhance the institution's national image | 74.6 | 82.1 | 89.6 | 55.1 | 62.7 | 68.2 | 62.3 | 57.1 |
| To create a diverse multi-cultural campus environment | 49.7 | 48.4 | 48.4 | 49.7 | 53.7 | 57.3 | 51.3 | 51.0 |
| To promote gender equity among faculty | 46.5 | 45.9 | 47.9 | 45.5 | 47.8 | 49.2 | 46.1 | 47.2 |
| To provide resources for faculty to engage in community-based teaching or research | 29.2 | 26.9 | 25.2 | 35.1 | 32.4 | 29.2 | 41.1 | 31.3 |
| To create and sustain partnerships with surrounding communities | 41.9 | 37.6 | 43.5 | 50.7 | 41.5 | 35.5 | 50.6 | 43.3 |
| To pursue extramural funding | 65.9 | 80.3 | 76.3 | 47.3 | 42.0 | 43.3 | 44.7 | 39.2 |
| To increase the representation of minorities in the faculty and administration | 39.0 | 39.3 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 37.9 | 40.9 | 36.0 | 35.7 |
| To strengthen links with the for-profit, corporate sector | 48.7 | 57.6 | 51.3 | 44.1 | 30.3 | 29.5 | 35.4 | 28.7 |
| To develop leadership ability among students | 51.4 | 43.7 | 58.1 | 49.3 | 64.4 | 59.1 | 69.5 | 67.5 |
| To increase the representation of women in the faculty and administration | 34.8 | 35.8 | 40.4 | 31.1 | 30.9 | 33.1 | 31.6 | 28.3 |
| To develop an appreciation for multiculturalism | 49.9 | 49.0 | 43.4 | 50.5 | 57.6 | 59.6 | 56.3 | 56.0 |
| Do you agree "strongly" or "somewhat"? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The chief benefit of a college education is that it increases one's earning power | 55.2 | 56.6 | 48.2 | 61.5 | 52.0 | 51.2 | 57.4 | 50.2 |
| Promoting diversity leads to the admission of too many underprepared students | 24.4 | 24.3 | 22.5 | 27.6 | 23.0 | 21.0 | 25.4 | 24.0 |
| Colleges should be actively involved in solving social problems | 74.7 | 74.4 | 75.4 | 74.0 | 75.3 | 74.3 | 79.4 | 74.2 |
| Colleges should encourage students to be involved in community service activities | 87.0 | 84.3 | 86.3 | 89.8 | 91.2 | 88.3 | 93.6 | 93.0 |
| A racially/ethnically diverse student body enhances the educational experience of all students | 94.9 | 94.8 | 95.5 | 94.0 | 95.4 | 95.4 | 95.7 | 95.3 |
| Realistically, an individual can do little to bring about changes in society | 19.3 | 21.0 | 21.1 | 17.2 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 15.4 | 15.0 |
| Colleges should be concerned with facilitating undergraduate students' spiritual development | 31.9 | 21.3 | 34.7 | 28.7 | 57.2 | 37.5 | 72.7 | 70.5 |
| Colleges have a responsibility to work with their surrounding communities to address local issues | 87.7 | 86.3 | 88.1 | 89.0 | 89.3 | 86.7 | 91.6 | 90.9 |
| Private funding sources often prevent researchers from being completely objective in the conduct of their work | 57.6 | 60.5 | 53.9 | 59.3 | 52.8 | 54.4 | 53.6 | 50.7 |
| Colleges should prohibit racist/sexist speech on campus | 63.1 | 61.0 | 64.7 | 62.0 | 67.9 | 62.7 | 70.8 | 72.0 |
| This institution should not offer remedial/developmental education | 21.8 | 19.8 | 27.7 | 22.8 | 19.7 | 20.9 | 15.6 | 20.5 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Your base institutional salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/10 month contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$20,000 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 10.7 | 9.9 | 4.5 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 12.7 | 12.7 | 18.6 |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 6.2 | 23.6 | 25.6 | 18.3 | 22.3 | 35.2 |
| \$60,000-\$69,999 | 17.4 | 15.7 | 11.9 | 21.1 | 22.8 | 24.8 | 23.7 | 20.1 |
| \$70,000-\$79,999 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 11.4 | 15.0 | 12.8 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 10.5 |
| \$80,000-\$89,999 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 9.7 | 6.6 |
| \$90,000-\$99,999 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 11.4 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 5.8 | 6.4 | 3.2 |
| \$100,000-\$124,999 | 10.0 | 10.9 | 16.7 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 2.4 |
| \$125,000-\$149,999 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 9.3 | 0.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.4 |
| \$150,000 or more | 3.9 | 2.9 | 15.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 11/12 month contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$20,000 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 3.6 | 13.4 | 15.2 | 13.0 | 15.7 | 17.7 |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 7.6 | 17.0 | 24.1 | 20.4 | 20.2 | 30.5 |
| \$60,000-\$69,999 | 18.0 | 14.5 | 18.1 | 22.0 | 20.7 | 21.4 | 24.4 | 18.3 |
| \$70,000-\$79,999 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 10.5 | 14.3 | 12.4 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 12.1 |
| \$80,000-\$89,999 | 9.0 | 8.1 | 10.8 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| \$90,000-\$99,999 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 6.5 | 4.3 |
| \$100,000-\$124,999 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 17.3 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 3.9 |
| \$125,000-\$149,999 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| \$150,000 or more | 5.3 | 6.9 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| Your base institutional salary reported above is based on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 9 months | 4.4 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| 9/10 months | 71.1 | 76.8 | 61.0 | 75.0 | 63.2 | 60.5 | 69.2 | 63.0 |
| 11/12 months | 24.5 | 20.3 | 33.5 | 19.0 | 31.6 | 35.1 | 25.9 | 30.6 |
| What percentage of your current year's income comes from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base salary from this institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% | 45.2 | 48.8 | 39.3 | 43.4 | 44.3 | 45.8 | 44.7 | 42.5 |
| 75\% to 99\% | 44.4 | 41.8 | 45.6 | 47.0 | 46.5 | 45.7 | 44.2 | 48.5 |
| 74\% to 50\% | 8.2 | 7.2 | 12.6 | 7.7 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| 25\% to 49\% | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.2 |
| 1\% to 24\% | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 0\% | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Other income from this institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 75\% to 99\% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74\% to 50\% | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 25\% to 49\% | 4.2 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 1\% to 24\% | 29.6 | 26.3 | 29.0 | 34.9 | 32.6 | 30.6 | 33.7 | 34.2 |
| 0\% | 65.8 | 67.8 | 65.7 | 61.9 | 65.2 | 67.3 | 63.6 | 63.6 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  |  | orm | espo |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| What percentage of your current year's income comes from: Income from another academic institution $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 75 \% \text { to } 99 \% \\ & 74 \% \text { to } 50 \% \\ & 25 \% \text { to } 49 \% \\ & 1 \% \text { to } 24 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 5.5 \\ 93.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 4.7 \\ 94.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.8 \\ 6.5 \\ 92.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.8 \\ 5.3 \\ 93.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 6.4 \\ 92.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.8 \\ 5.9 \\ 93.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 5.3 \\ 93.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 7.6 \\ 91.5 \end{array}$ |
| ```Non-academic income 100% 75% to 99% 74% to 50% 25% to 49% 1% to 24% 0%``` | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 4.8 \\ 25.7 \\ 67.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 4.1 \\ 23.9 \\ 70.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.9 \\ 3.3 \\ 6.3 \\ 31.3 \\ 58.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.3 \\ 1.5 \\ 5.3 \\ 24.9 \\ 67.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 4.7 \\ 25.8 \\ 67.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 2.4 \\ 4.7 \\ 25.7 \\ 67.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 3.1 \\ 4.6 \\ 23.0 \\ 68.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 1.4 \\ 4.9 \\ 27.2 \\ 66.2 \end{array}$ |
| Please enter the four-digit year that each of the following occurred. <br> Year of birth <br> 1981 or later <br> 1976-1980 <br> 1971-1975 <br> 1966-1970 <br> 1961-1965 <br> 1956-1960 <br> 1951-1955 <br> 1946-1950 <br> 1941-1945 <br> 1940 or earlier | 2.5 9.7 10.9 14.0 12.5 14.0 16.1 12.8 5.5 2.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ 10.2 \\ 10.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 11.9 \\ 13.7 \\ 16.3 \\ 13.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 8.5 \\ 11.3 \\ 13.7 \\ 12.4 \\ 12.6 \\ 16.8 \\ 11.7 \\ 7.0 \\ 4.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ 9.5 \\ 9.9 \\ 11.7 \\ 13.0 \\ 15.8 \\ 16.6 \\ 14.0 \\ 4.8 \\ 1.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 9.9 \\ 11.9 \\ 14.9 \\ 13.4 \\ 14.1 \\ 14.8 \\ 11.8 \\ 5.0 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \\ 9.9 \\ 13.1 \\ 16.3 \\ 12.6 \\ 13.8 \\ 14.4 \\ 10.9 \\ 5.1 \\ 1.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \\ 9.4 \\ 11.1 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.7 \\ 13.1 \\ 15.4 \\ 14.1 \\ 6.4 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ 10.3 \\ 11.0 \\ 14.5 \\ 14.7 \\ 15.1 \\ 14.8 \\ 11.4 \\ 4.1 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ |
| Year of highest degree now held 1973 or earlier 1774-1988 1979-1983 1984-1988 1989-1993 1994-1998 1999-2003 2004-2008 2009 or later | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 7.1 \\ 9.7 \\ 10.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 14.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 17.4 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 7.8 \\ 10.4 \\ 10.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 13.3 \\ 15.2 \\ 16.9 \\ 8.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.8 \\ 9.3 \\ 11.2 \\ 11.8 \\ 13.1 \\ 11.9 \\ 12.7 \\ 15.8 \\ 6.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 5.3 \\ 8.7 \\ 11.0 \\ 12.6 \\ 15.6 \\ 15.2 \\ 18.6 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 4.9 \\ 7.8 \\ 10.2 \\ 12.2 \\ 16.1 \\ 16.7 \\ 18.8 \\ 9.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 5.3 \\ 7.1 \\ 11.2 \\ 11.8 \\ 16.1 \\ 17.4 \\ 18.4 \\ 9.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.1 \\ 4.7 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.8 \\ 13.0 \\ 14.8 \\ 15.2 \\ 17.7 \\ 10.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 4.5 \\ 7.9 \\ 8.7 \\ 12.2 \\ 16.8 \\ 16.6 \\ 19.9 \\ 10.3 \end{array}$ |
| Year of appointment at present institution 1973 or earlier $1974-1978$ $1979-1983$ $1984-1988$ $1989-1993$ $1994-1998$ $1999-2003$ $2004-2008$ 2009 or later | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 5.9 \\ 8.9 \\ 10.4 \\ 11.6 \\ 14.3 \\ 22.9 \\ 21.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 5.9 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.3 \\ 11.4 \\ 14.3 \\ 23.1 \\ 21.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ 4.0 \\ 7.6 \\ 10.4 \\ 11.7 \\ 12.2 \\ 12.9 \\ 20.9 \\ 17.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ 1.7 \\ 5.4 \\ 8.9 \\ 10.5 \\ 11.0 \\ 14.4 \\ 23.2 \\ 23.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 4.9 \\ 7.4 \\ 9.2 \\ 11.9 \\ 15.9 \\ 23.9 \\ 22.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 2.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 7.7 \\ 9.3 \\ 11.9 \\ 15.9 \\ 24.0 \\ 22.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 3.1 \\ 5.2 \\ 7.4 \\ 9.5 \\ 12.5 \\ 16.3 \\ 22.2 \\ 21.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ 2.3 \\ 4.6 \\ 7.1 \\ 8.8 \\ 11.6 \\ 15.8 \\ 24.8 \\ 23.8 \end{array}$ |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| Please enter the four-digit year that each of the following occurred. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If tenured, year tenure was awarded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 or earlier | 1.4 | 1.0 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 |
| 1974-1978 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 4.7 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 1.7 |
| 1979-1983 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 7.6 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| 1984-1988 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 11.0 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 7.3 |
| 1989-1993 | 13.9 | 15.2 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 11.3 | 12.0 | 11.6 | 10.3 |
| 1994-1998 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 22.4 | 18.1 | 15.8 | 16.6 | 15.4 | 15.1 |
| 1999-2003 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 14.1 | 16.2 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 17.5 |
| 2004-2008 | 20.3 | 20.4 | 13.8 | 23.2 | 24.3 | 23.4 | 24.5 | 25.1 |
| 2009 or later | 12.9 | 11.4 | 9.4 | 16.3 | 17.0 | 16.0 | 15.9 | 18.9 |
| Aggregated Major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture or Forestry (General Area 1) | 1.8 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Biological Sciences (General Area 5) | 6.9 | 7.4 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 8.0 |
| Business (General Area 6) | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 3.8 | 8.8 | 6.0 |
| Education (General Area 10 and Specific Discipline 2102) | 7.5 | 6.1 | 3.7 | 12.0 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 12.4 |
| Engineering (General Area 11) | 4.8 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 2.4 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.7 |
| English (General Area 12) | 6.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 7.4 |
| Health-related (General Area 15) | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 8.6 | 4.4 |
| History or Political Science (Specific Discipline 3007, 3009) | 7.5 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 4.6 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 5.4 | 5.9 |
| Humanities (General Area 14, 24) | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.3 | 4.9 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 13.5 | 12.6 |
| Fine Arts (General Area 2, 4, 22) | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 9.0 | 4.8 | 9.2 |
| Mathematics or Statistics (General Area 18) | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.5 |
| Physical Sciences (General Area 25) | 7.2 | 6.9 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 |
| Social Sciences (General Area 3, 26, 27 and Specific Discipline 3001, $3002,3003,3004,3005,3006,3008,3010,3011,3012)$ | 14.7 | 15.0 | 15.6 | 14.6 | 13.4 | 15.0 | 13.4 | 11.7 |
| Other Technical (General Area 8, 19, 28) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| Other Non-technical (General Area 7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 29, 31, 32 and Specific Discipline 2101, 2103) | 8.9 | 8.5 | 7.1 | 11.6 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 9.6 |
| Aggregated Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture or Forestry (General Area 1) | 1.7 | 3.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Biological Sciences (General Area 5) | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 7.8 |
| Business (General Area 6) | 5.6 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 10.6 | 8.4 |
| Education (General Area 10 and Specific Discipline 2102) | 4.9 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 6.3 | 7.9 |
| Engineering (General Area 11) | 5.2 | 6.6 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| English (General Area 12) | 7.0 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 8.5 |
| Health-related (General Area 15) | 5.3 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 5.9 | 5.2 | 9.6 | 4.7 |
| History or Political Science (Specific Discipline 3007, 3009) | 7.3 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 4.4 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 4.8 | 6.3 |
| Humanities (General Area 14, 24) | 9.9 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 4.3 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 12.3 |
| Fine Arts (General Area 2, 4, 22) | 7.7 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 9.5 |
| Mathematics or Statistics (General Area 18) | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 4.7 |
| Physical Sciences (General Area 25) | 6.6 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 5.7 | 5.4 |
| Social Sciences (General Area 3, 26, 27 and Specific Discipline 3001, $3002,3003,3004,3005,3006,3008,3010,3011,3012)$ | 13.3 | 14.0 | 13.4 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 9.9 |
| Other Technical (General Area 8, 19, 28) | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 2.1 |
| Other Non-technical (General Area 7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 29, 31, 32 and Specific Discipline 2101, 2103) | 11.6 | 11.9 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 11.9 |
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|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| How many children do you have in the following age ranges? Under 18 years old $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.8 \\ 18.2 \\ 15.2 \\ 3.4 \\ 1.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \\ 18.9 \\ 14.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.8 \\ 20.2 \\ 15.8 \\ 3.1 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.6 \\ 17.0 \\ 14.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.2 \\ 15.9 \\ 17.3 \\ 4.2 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.5 \\ 17.0 \\ 16.4 \\ 3.6 \\ 0.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.2 \\ 14.3 \\ 16.3 \\ 4.1 \\ 2.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.7 \\ 15.5 \\ 18.7 \\ 4.8 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$ |
| 18 years or older 0 1 2 3 $4+$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.9 \\ 12.2 \\ 16.5 \\ 6.6 \\ 3.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.3 \\ 11.7 \\ 16.8 \\ 6.3 \\ 2.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.5 \\ 13.9 \\ 15.4 \\ 6.3 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.2 \\ 12.6 \\ 17.6 \\ 7.0 \\ 4.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.8 \\ 11.3 \\ 15.7 \\ 6.9 \\ 3.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67.8 \\ 11.2 \\ 13.7 \\ 5.0 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.9 \\ 10.2 \\ 16.1 \\ 7.9 \\ 3.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.8 \\ 12.0 \\ 17.8 \\ 8.5 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ |
| How would you characterize your political views? <br> Far left <br> Liberal <br> Middle of the road <br> Conservative <br> Far right | $\begin{array}{r} 12.4 \\ 50.3 \\ 25.4 \\ 11.5 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.3 \\ 52.4 \\ 24.7 \\ 9.2 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.2 \\ 51.5 \\ 22.3 \\ 9.8 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.8 \\ 47.1 \\ 28.7 \\ 14.7 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ 47.6 \\ 26.7 \\ 15.1 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.0 \\ 54.6 \\ 22.6 \\ 8.6 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.8 \\ 48.0 \\ 30.7 \\ 13.3 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.4 \\ 40.0 \\ 29.1 \\ 23.0 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ |
| Are you currently: (Mark one) <br> Single <br> Married <br> Unmarried, living with partner <br> Divorced <br> Widowed <br> Separated | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ 75.6 \\ 5.8 \\ 5.1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ 76.7 \\ 5.9 \\ 5.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.2 \\ 76.8 \\ 6.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.1 \\ 73.4 \\ 5.0 \\ 6.4 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.3 \\ 74.1 \\ 5.5 \\ 5.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.6 \\ 70.5 \\ 8.2 \\ 5.4 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.2 \\ 71.4 \\ 4.4 \\ 4.9 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ 79.3 \\ 3.2 \\ 4.8 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$ |
| Is English your native language? Yes <br> No | $\begin{aligned} & 87.2 \\ & 12.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.5 \\ & 14.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.7 \\ & 14.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.9 \\ & 10.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.0 \\ & 10.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.7 \\ & 11.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.2 \\ & 10.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.9 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity—mark all that apply (total may add to more than 100\%) <br> American Indian/Alaska Native <br> Asian American/Asian <br> Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander <br> African American/Black <br> Mexican American/Chicano <br> Puerto Rican <br> Other Latino <br> White/Caucasian <br> Other | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 5.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 86.4 \\ 3.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 3.5 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.5 \\ 3.2 \\ 86.0 \\ 4.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ 5.7 \\ 0.1 \\ 4.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 84.5 \\ 3.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 5.7 \\ 0.2 \\ 3.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 88.3 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ 4.9 \\ 0.3 \\ 4.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.3 \\ 87.3 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ 5.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 4.6 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.7 \\ 2.1 \\ 87.1 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 5.6 \\ 0.2 \\ 2.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 89.0 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 4.9 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 2.6 \\ 86.6 \\ 3.6 \end{array}$ |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| CIRP Construct: Student-Centered Pedagogy High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6 \\ & 42.2 \\ & 35.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \\ & 39.9 \\ & 39.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.3 \\ & 41.4 \\ & 40.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.9 \\ & 45.3 \\ & 29.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.9 \\ & 45.3 \\ & 25.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 \\ & 44.5 \\ & 26.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.4 \\ & 45.4 \\ & 28.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.9 \\ & 46.2 \\ & 23.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 48.56 | 47.84 | 47.25 | 49.61 | 50.42 | 50.19 | 49.89 | 50.95 |
| CIRP Construct: Undergraduate Education GoalPersonal Development <br> High Construct Score Group <br> Average Construct Score Group <br> Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 24.3 \\ & 36.1 \\ & 39.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.1 \\ & 34.3 \\ & 44.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.1 \\ & 36.1 \\ & 43.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.1 \\ & 36.7 \\ & 36.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.7 \\ & 39.8 \\ & 27.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \\ & 39.6 \\ & 35.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.1 \\ & 41.9 \\ & 22.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.0 \\ & 38.9 \\ & 22.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 47.80 | 46.72 | 46.87 | 48.64 | 50.32 | 48.69 | 51.49 | 51.48 |
| CIRP Construct: Scholarly Productivity High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 38.1 \\ & 41.4 \\ & 20.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.3 \\ & 37.7 \\ & 17.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.7 \\ & 32.2 \\ & 10.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & 51.1 \\ & 27.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.6 \\ & 49.1 \\ & 31.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.2 \\ & 49.5 \\ & 27.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.7 \\ & 50.5 \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.1 \\ & 48.0 \\ & 36.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 52.32 | 53.59 | 56.60 | 49.08 | 48.57 | 49.49 | 48.91 | 47.41 |
| CIRP Construct: Civic Minded Practice High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 35.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.0 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 37.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.1 \\ & 38.7 \\ & 42.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.2 \\ & 41.4 \\ & 26.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7 \\ & 39.3 \\ & 32.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \\ & 36.3 \\ & 38.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \\ & 42.1 \\ & 30.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.0 \\ & 41.1 \\ & 27.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.54 | 49.16 | 48.02 | 51.33 | 50.02 | 49.05 | 50.20 | 50.98 |
| CIRP Construct: Civic Minded Values High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 24.2 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 35.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.4 \\ & 38.7 \\ & 39.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.6 \\ & 40.1 \\ & 39.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27.4 \\ 41.1 \\ 31.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 41.9 \\ & 27.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.6 \\ & 42.1 \\ & 31.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.7 \\ & 40.8 \\ & 23.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.6 \\ & 42.3 \\ & 25.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 48.61 | 47.75 | 47.68 | 49.61 | 50.47 | 49.14 | 51.80 | 51.22 |
| CIRP Construct: Job Satisfaction-Workplace <br> High Construct Score Group <br> Average Construct Score Group <br> Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \\ & 42.5 \\ & 27.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.7 \\ & 42.2 \\ & 29.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.4 \\ & 40.2 \\ & 27.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.1 \\ & 44.4 \\ & 29.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.4 \\ & 43.4 \\ & 24.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33.1 \\ & 42.1 \\ & 24.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.2 \\ & 46.1 \\ & 23.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.8 \\ & 43.5 \\ & 23.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.69 | 49.29 | 50.45 | 48.98 | 50.59 | 50.53 | 50.55 | 50.68 |
| CIRP Construct: Job Satisfaction-Compensation High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0 \\ & 44.3 \\ & 23.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 45.7 \\ & 23.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.0 \\ & 38.6 \\ & 14.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \\ & 47.0 \\ & 28.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.4 \\ & 43.9 \\ & 27.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.9 \\ & 41.5 \\ & 28.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.2 \\ & 46.6 \\ & 25.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.8 \\ & 45.1 \\ & 28.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 51.35 | 51.17 | 54.67 | 49.64 | 50.35 | 50.59 | 50.67 | 49.94 |
| CIRP Construct: Career Related Stress High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & 45.7 \\ & 22.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.5 \\ & 45.1 \\ & 22.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.5 \\ & 46.5 \\ & 25.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.3 \\ & 45.0 \\ & 20.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.1 \\ & 47.4 \\ & 23.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.9 \\ & 46.7 \\ & 22.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.3 \\ & 48.0 \\ & 23.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.6 \\ & 47.8 \\ & 24.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 51.08 | 51.15 | 50.52 | 51.70 | 50.82 | 51.09 | 50.78 | 50.56 |
| CIRP Construct: Institutional Priority—Commitment to Diversity High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \\ & 36.6 \\ & 34.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \\ & 35.3 \\ & 36.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.8 \\ & 35.2 \\ & 36.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.6 \\ & 39.5 \\ & 33.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.2 \\ & 37.8 \\ & 32.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.8 \\ & 37.6 \\ & 29.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.9 \\ & 37.9 \\ & 33.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.1 \\ & 38.0 \\ & 33.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.62 | 49.61 | 49.31 | 49.46 | 50.09 | 50.67 | 49.89 | 49.56 |
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|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Universities |  | 4-year Colleges |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Public | Private | Public | All Private | Nonsec | Catholic | Oth Relig |
| CIRP Construct: Institutional Priority—Civic Engagement High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \\ & 46.3 \\ & 28.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.4 \\ & 46.4 \\ & 31.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.7 \\ & 46.1 \\ & 31.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & 45.2 \\ & 23.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 47.5 \\ & 24.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.9 \\ & 47.7 \\ & 28.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.4 \\ & 46.5 \\ & 17.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5 \\ & 47.8 \\ & 24.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 48.87 | 48.02 | 48.34 | 50.29 | 49.87 | 48.73 | 52.03 | 49.99 |
| CIRP Construct: Institutional Priority—Increase Prestige High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 37.9 \\ & 36.3 \\ & 25.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.1 \\ & 36.6 \\ & 19.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.6 \\ & 30.4 \\ & 10.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.8 \\ & 38.9 \\ & 44.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \\ & 38.5 \\ & 37.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.9 \\ & 38.3 \\ & 34.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.0 \\ & 41.4 \\ & 34.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.2 \\ & 37.1 \\ & 41.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 51.71 | 53.10 | 56.56 | 46.95 | 48.71 | 49.38 | 48.94 | 47.87 |
| CIRP Construct: Social Agency High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 23.6 \\ & 44.7 \\ & 31.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.1 \\ & 44.7 \\ & 33.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.9 \\ & 41.7 \\ & 39.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.5 \\ & 44.3 \\ & 27.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.3 \\ & 47.8 \\ & 26.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.4 \\ & 49.0 \\ & 27.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.7 \\ & 48.2 \\ & 23.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.1 \\ & 46.3 \\ & 25.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.01 | 48.62 | 47.72 | 50.11 | 50.05 | 49.26 | 50.82 | 50.49 |

 one-half standard deviation of the mean ( 45 to 55 ). "High" represents faculty who scored one-half standard deviation or more above the mean (higher than 55 ).

# Full-Time Undergraduate Faculty, by Rank 

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No <br> Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full-time Undergraduate Faculty-All Respondents | 23,824 | 7,725 | 7,271 | 6,015 | 912 | 1,096 | 805 |
| What is your principal activity in your current position at this institution? <br> Administration <br> Teaching <br> Research <br> Services to clients and patients <br> Other | $\begin{array}{r} 6.7 \\ 76.9 \\ 15.1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ 68.9 \\ 18.1 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ 79.1 \\ 14.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 80.9 \\ 16.6 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5 \\ 88.4 \\ 4.2 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.3 \\ 86.6 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.9 \\ 66.4 \\ 24.5 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.4 \end{array}$ |
| Are you considered a full-time employee of your institution for at least nine months of the current academic year? <br> Yes <br> No | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| What is your present academic rank? Professor Associate professor Assistant professor Lecturer Instructor | $\begin{array}{r} 32.5 \\ 25.4 \\ 26.9 \\ 7.8 \\ 7.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| What is your tenure status at this institution? <br> Tenured <br> On tenure track, but not tenured <br> Not on tenure track, but institution has tenure system Institution has no tenure system | $\begin{array}{r} 54.5 \\ 22.5 \\ 20.1 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94.6 \\ 0.9 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 85.3 \\ 7.9 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 74.3 \\ 15.7 \\ 3.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 0.4 \\ 95.7 \\ 1.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 3.2 \\ 88.1 \\ 7.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 90.5 \\ 6.9 \end{array}$ |
| Are you currently serving in an administrative position as: <br> Department chair <br> Dean (associate or assistant) <br> President <br> Vice-president <br> Provost <br> Other <br> Not applicable | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 14.9 \\ 65.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 15.9 \\ 54.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ 1.7 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 19.1 \\ 58.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 8.8 \\ 75.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \\ 18.1 \\ 74.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 12.8 \\ 80.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 16.5 \\ 69.8 \end{array}$ |
| Highest degree earned <br> Bachelor's (B.A., B.S., etc.) <br> Master's (M.A., M.S., M.F.A., M.B.A., etc.) <br> LL.B., J.D. <br> M.D., D.D.S. (or equivalent) <br> Other first professional degree beyond B.A. (e.g., D.D., D.V.M.) <br> Ed.D. <br> Ph.D. <br> Other degree <br> None | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 17.0 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.4 \\ 76.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ 5.7 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.7 \\ 1.9 \\ 89.1 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ 9.6 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.5 \\ 3.1 \\ 84.1 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4 \\ 15.7 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 2.5 \\ 78.7 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9 \\ 37.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.0 \\ 2.8 \\ 53.9 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ 71.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 16.3 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ 23.3 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 2.7 \\ 66.3 \\ 2.9 \\ 0.1 \end{array}$ |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree currently working on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's (B.A., B.S., etc.) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Master's (M.A., M.S., M.F.A., M.B.A., etc.) | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 2.2 | 7.3 | 1.3 |
| LL.B., J.D. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| M.D., D.D.S. (or equivalent) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Other first professional degree beyond B.A. (e.g., D.D., D.V.M.) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Ed.D. | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 3.2 | 0.7 |
| Ph.D. | 3.7 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 7.7 | 20.5 | 3.2 |
| Other degree | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.7 |
| None | 93.4 | 99.1 | 97.1 | 93.4 | 86.2 | 63.7 | 93.3 |
| Noted as being personally "essential" or "very important": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research | 78.5 | 86.0 | 79.3 | 81.2 | 56.9 | 53.4 | 81.1 |
| Teaching | 97.0 | 96.3 | 96.8 | 97.1 | 98.6 | 99.0 | 97.0 |
| Service | 62.7 | 64.2 | 61.0 | 57.9 | 65.1 | 73.6 | 67.5 |
| During the past two years, have you engaged in any of the following activities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taught an honors course | 21.5 | 26.7 | 23.4 | 16.5 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 21.6 |
| Taught an interdisciplinary course | 46.9 | 53.3 | 48.0 | 42.7 | 47.1 | 32.8 | 43.4 |
| Taught an ethnic studies course | 11.2 | 9.4 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 9.7 |
| Taught a women's studies course | 7.2 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| Taught a service learning course | 18.0 | 16.8 | 19.6 | 16.8 | 22.3 | 18.5 | 16.4 |
| Taught an exclusively web-based course at this institution | 14.0 | 10.7 | 16.3 | 13.9 | 20.2 | 15.0 | 13.5 |
| Participated in a teaching enhancement workshop | 58.5 | 46.9 | 60.7 | 66.6 | 65.3 | 65.6 | 58.1 |
| Advised student groups involved in service/volunteer work | 43.6 | 40.2 | 47.7 | 42.4 | 48.0 | 45.0 | 42.9 |
| Collaborated with the local community in research/teaching | 42.5 | 40.1 | 46.0 | 41.8 | 40.6 | 38.7 | 50.8 |
| Conducted research or writing focused on international/global issues | 31.8 | 36.8 | 33.8 | 31.2 | 22.0 | 18.1 | 28.2 |
| Conducted research or writing focused on racial or ethnic minorities | 23.1 | 22.0 | 25.7 | 25.5 | 17.1 | 17.2 | 21.9 |
| Conducted research or writing focused on women and gender issues | 20.6 | 19.3 | 23.9 | 23.0 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 18.0 |
| Engaged undergraduates on your research project | 51.3 | 56.6 | 55.4 | 55.7 | 30.4 | 25.2 | 44.8 |
| Worked with undergraduates on a research project | 66.1 | 71.9 | 70.0 | 66.7 | 54.2 | 43.5 | 57.9 |
| Engaged in academic research that spans multiple disciplines | 65.5 | 74.1 | 69.1 | 65.3 | 47.1 | 36.0 | 63.7 |
| Taught a seminar for first-year students | 26.5 | 27.4 | 27.7 | 24.1 | 27.1 | 25.9 | 27.5 |
| Taught a capstone course | 35.8 | 40.8 | 42.6 | 31.2 | 23.8 | 14.7 | 41.4 |
| Taught in a learning community (e.g., FIG, linked courses) | 8.2 | 6.8 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 10.9 | 9.4 | 15.3 |
| Supervised an undergraduate thesis | 37.6 | 44.7 | 41.9 | 38.9 | 20.5 | 10.6 | 29.8 |
| Published op-ed pieces or editorials | 15.2 | 18.9 | 15.7 | 12.8 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 12.9 |
| Received funding for your work from foundations | 21.2 | 26.0 | 20.9 | 20.4 | 14.9 | 8.4 | 24.2 |
| Received funding for your work from state or federal government | 29.7 | 38.9 | 30.7 | 26.7 | 14.4 | 11.4 | 32.1 |
| Received funding for your work from business or industry | 10.8 | 15.8 | 10.7 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 5.7 | 15.3 |
| How many courses are you teaching this term? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.3 |
| Median | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Mode | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Teach at least one course this term at another institution | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 1.7 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full } \\ \text { Professor } \end{gathered}$ | Assoc Professor | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asst } \\ \text { Professor } \end{gathered}$ | Lecturer | Instructor | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ \text { Response } \end{gathered}$ |
| Type of course taught this term "at this institution" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General education course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teach at least one course | 25.5 | 22.8 | 23.9 | 27.7 | 35.0 | 32.0 | 17.3 |
| Mean student enrollment | 47.9 | 54.6 | 45.1 | 45.2 | 56.4 | 32.4 | 48.6 |
| Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | 24.8 | 28.2 | 24.7 | 22.1 | 29.7 | 15.2 | 29.6 |
| Course required for an undergraduate major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teach at least one course | 69.8 | 63.2 | 71.4 | 76.1 | 69.3 | 69.5 | 72.1 |
| Mean student enrollment | 35.7 | 36.6 | 33.6 | 32.1 | 49.0 | 35.0 | 40.7 |
| Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | 24.3 | 28.7 | 23.4 | 20.9 | 26.0 | 17.0 | 30.0 |
| Other undergraduate course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teach at least one course | 30.8 | 31.7 | 31.3 | 30.7 | 35.6 | 25.5 | 23.7 |
| Mean student enrollment | 25.8 | 27.2 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 27.0 | 21.3 | 24.7 |
| Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | 17.2 | 23.5 | 17.7 | 12.5 | 14.9 | 8.4 | 11.7 |
| Developmental/remedial course (not for credit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teach at least one course | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 0.5 |
| Mean student enrollment | 28.1 | 20.6 | 75.4 | 21.7 | 38.2 | 17.9 | 27.7 |
| Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | 17.8 | 16.6 | 23.8 | 31.2 | 26.3 | 6.6 | 35.1 |
| Non-credit course (other than above) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teach at least one course | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| Mean student enrollment | 26.5 | 32.9 | 20.2 | 26.1 | 29.1 | 18.8 | 21.1 |
| Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | 7.2 | 3.2 | 20.9 | 5.4 | 14.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| Graduate course |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teach at least one course | 22.7 | 28.6 | 24.1 | 22.0 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 26.6 |
| Mean student enrollment | 14.2 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 13.4 | 18.5 | 14.7 | 19.9 |
| Have teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned | 7.7 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 7.9 | 18.2 | 1.9 | 8.1 |
| What types of courses do you primarily teach? <br> (based on faculty who indicated they were not teaching this term) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Undergraduate credit courses | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Graduate courses | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Non-credit courses | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| I do not teach | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Do you teach remedial/developmental skills in any of the following areas? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 6.1 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 6.7 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 6.3 |
| Writing | 14.0 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 15.0 | 19.7 | 16.9 | 13.4 |
| Mathematics | 5.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 10.5 | 5.9 |
| ESL | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 0.6 |
| General academic skills | 11.6 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 13.0 | 12.8 | 11.3 |
| Other subject areas | 6.7 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 9.6 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| Have you engaged in any of the following professional development opportunities at your institution? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid workshops outside the institution focused on teaching |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 22.9 | 21.7 | 26.7 | 20.5 | 21.3 | 25.9 | 22.7 |
| No | 69.4 | 73.4 | 66.3 | 71.9 | 63.4 | 58.7 | 69.1 |
| Not eligible | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 2.4 |
| Not available | 5.9 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 8.4 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Paid sabbatical leave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 34.1 | 63.7 | 43.2 | 9.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 25.9 |
| No | 46.2 | 32.4 | 48.0 | 59.8 | 45.0 | 47.4 | 52.0 |
| Not eligible | 16.7 | 2.3 | 5.9 | 27.1 | 48.2 | 44.7 | 19.7 |
| Not available | 3.0 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 7.0 | 2.4 |
| Travel funds paid by the institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 75.7 | 81.6 | 84.4 | 77.1 | 47.8 | 48.5 | 69.3 |
| No | 20.1 | 16.6 | 14.0 | 19.6 | 36.8 | 36.4 | 25.0 |
| Not eligible | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 11.2 | 11.7 | 2.8 |
| Not available | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Internal grants for research |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 48.5 | 59.5 | 57.4 | 46.7 | 16.8 | 9.8 | 47.7 |
| No | 46.0 | 38.3 | 39.9 | 49.3 | 64.5 | 68.0 | 47.3 |
| Not eligible | 3.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 16.2 | 19.0 | 3.0 |
| Not available | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| Training for administrative leadership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 12.9 | 21.4 | 14.3 | 4.7 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| No | 75.7 | 72.7 | 75.7 | 82.9 | 66.1 | 70.2 | 78.5 |
| Not eligible | 5.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 18.6 | 16.6 | 6.1 |
| Not available | 6.3 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 6.4 |
| Received incentives to develop new courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 22.4 | 25.1 | 25.6 | 18.6 | 22.8 | 15.9 | 17.6 |
| No | 69.2 | 70.6 | 67.0 | 72.2 | 62.6 | 65.0 | 70.7 |
| Not eligible | 2.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 9.6 | 11.5 | 3.3 |
| Not available | 6.2 | 3.8 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 5.0 | 7.6 | 8.4 |
| Received incentives to integrate new technology into your classroom |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 18.0 | 19.5 | 20.8 | 14.5 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 13.3 |
| No | 74.4 | 76.2 | 72.6 | 77.5 | 69.0 | 66.0 | 76.3 |
| Not eligible | 1.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 8.3 | 3.4 |
| Not available | 5.8 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 7.1 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| How many of the following have you published? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 18.3 | 6.6 | 10.1 | 20.2 | 44.7 | 59.0 | 24.3 |
| 1-2 | 17.8 | 7.9 | 16.1 | 27.2 | 24.8 | 26.6 | 16.4 |
| 3-4 | 12.9 | 7.8 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 15.3 | 4.9 | 10.7 |
| 5-10 | 17.3 | 14.7 | 24.1 | 19.5 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 15.8 |
| 11-20 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 18.3 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 10.1 |
| 21-50 | 12.1 | 23.7 | 13.0 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 13.0 |
| 51+ | 8.3 | 22.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 9.7 |
| Chapters in edited volumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 45.8 | 25.7 | 40.9 | 57.4 | 68.1 | 83.4 | 48.5 |
| 1-2 | 24.9 | 20.1 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 27.6 | 13.7 | 24.3 |
| 3-4 | 12.4 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 13.1 |
| 5-10 | 10.5 | 20.9 | 11.4 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 8.6 |
| 11-20 | 4.0 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| 21-50 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| 51+ | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Books, manuals, or monographs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 62.2 | 39.7 | 60.5 | 77.9 | 79.1 | 87.4 | 67.6 |
| 1-2 | 23.8 | 26.7 | 30.9 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 10.4 | 21.8 |
| 3-4 | 7.7 | 17.2 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 5.3 |
| 5-10 | 4.8 | 12.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.8 |
| 11-20 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| 21-50 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| 51+ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Other, such as patents or computer software products |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 86.8 | 82.4 | 87.5 | 90.3 | 86.9 | 93.9 | 82.5 |
| 1-2 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 4.5 | 8.8 |
| 3-4 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 3.7 | 0.5 | 2.7 |
| 5-10 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 4.0 |
| 11-20 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 21-50 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| $51+$ | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| How many exhibitions or performances in the fine or applied arts have you presented in the last two years? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 84.5 | 86.1 | 85.9 | 85.3 | 81.1 | 76.8 | 79.8 |
| 1-2 | 5.4 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 10.5 | 10.3 | 5.3 |
| 3-4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 4.9 |
| 5-10 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 5.8 |
| 11-20 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| 21-50 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.2 |
| $51+$ | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How many of your professional writings have been published or accepted for publication in the last two years? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 28.1 | 16.4 | 23.7 | 26.6 | 55.6 | 67.8 | 32.7 |
| 1-2 | 30.5 | 27.1 | 34.3 | 33.3 | 33.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| 3-4 | 21.9 | 24.9 | 24.1 | 25.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 18.8 |
| 5-10 | 14.7 | 22.9 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 15.1 |
| 11-20 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 6.9 |
| 21-50 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| 51+ | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Do you, "to a great extent": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feel that the training you received in graduate school prepared you well for your role as a faculty member | 49.0 | 55.3 | 46.9 | 48.7 | 36.8 | 40.3 | 52.0 |
| Achieve a healthy balance between your personal life and your professional life | 32.1 | 38.4 | 30.8 | 25.4 | 34.2 | 34.2 | 27.7 |
| Experience close alignment between your work and your personal values | 62.7 | 68.0 | 60.4 | 59.9 | 60.1 | 63.1 | 59.5 |
| Feel that you have to work harder than your colleagues to be perceived as a legitimate scholar | 28.2 | 20.7 | 29.7 | 29.1 | 39.4 | 36.2 | 33.7 |
| Mentor new faculty | 20.7 | 33.4 | 24.4 | 8.8 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 19.1 |
| In your interactions with undergraduates, how "frequently" do you encourage them to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ask questions in class | 95.2 | 93.9 | 95.1 | 95.3 | 98.3 | 97.2 | 95.5 |
| Support their opinions with a logical argument | 82.4 | 78.6 | 82.0 | 84.6 | 84.8 | 86.5 | 86.7 |
| Seek solutions to problems and explain them to others | 71.9 | 69.1 | 70.7 | 70.7 | 75.6 | 81.7 | 80.0 |
| Revise their papers to improve their writing | 55.2 | 54.8 | 56.1 | 54.0 | 55.2 | 60.1 | 52.4 |
| Evaluate the quality or reliability of information they receive | 68.5 | 66.0 | 68.0 | 69.7 | 72.2 | 70.7 | 71.7 |
| Take risks for potential gains | 37.2 | 34.9 | 36.7 | 35.1 | 45.8 | 43.8 | 42.8 |
| Seek alternative solutions to a problem | 59.7 | 57.7 | 59.5 | 57.3 | 67.4 | 65.9 | 64.2 |
| Look up scientific research articles and resources | 53.8 | 57.0 | 53.4 | 51.2 | 54.3 | 50.0 | 53.1 |
| Explore topics on their own, even though it was not required for a class | 52.4 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 50.1 | 61.1 | 54.1 | 57.0 |
| Accept mistakes as part of the learning process | 73.9 | 71.5 | 73.4 | 73.3 | 80.5 | 81.9 | 73.0 |
| Seek feedback on their academic work | 73.4 | 70.8 | 74.1 | 73.0 | 78.3 | 77.3 | 74.8 |
| Integrate skills and knowledge from different sources and experiences | 76.0 | 73.7 | 76.4 | 75.3 | 80.8 | 80.2 | 78.7 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methods you use in "all" or "most" of the courses you teach: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple-choice exams | 29.3 | 24.0 | 28.2 | 31.3 | 36.3 | 41.2 | 29.4 |
| Essay exams | 41.3 | 46.4 | 43.4 | 40.1 | 33.1 | 31.4 | 32.8 |
| Short-answer exams | 44.9 | 43.0 | 46.8 | 45.8 | 47.1 | 47.8 | 36.1 |
| Quizzes | 38.9 | 33.9 | 38.0 | 38.8 | 48.8 | 53.9 | 38.3 |
| Weekly essay assignments | 20.2 | 18.8 | 20.5 | 19.5 | 24.2 | 22.8 | 20.5 |
| Student presentations | 43.8 | 41.5 | 44.0 | 45.0 | 43.2 | 48.6 | 44.1 |
| Term/research papers | 43.3 | 42.6 | 45.3 | 45.6 | 37.8 | 41.3 | 37.2 |
| Student evaluations of each others' work | 21.0 | 17.0 | 19.7 | 21.5 | 26.8 | 32.4 | 23.8 |
| Grading on a curve | 17.3 | 22.9 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 16.9 | 10.0 | 18.7 |
| Competency-based grading | 47.6 | 48.0 | 47.6 | 44.8 | 48.6 | 56.1 | 46.3 |
| Class discussions | 82.2 | 77.1 | 82.6 | 86.8 | 81.9 | 86.1 | 83.3 |
| Cooperative learning (small groups) | 56.7 | 45.8 | 56.5 | 61.8 | 65.5 | 76.2 | 58.6 |
| Experiential learning/Field studies | 25.6 | 21.6 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 27.9 | 30.4 | 28.0 |
| Teaching assistants | 12.7 | 14.1 | 11.2 | 12.1 | 18.3 | 9.6 | 10.1 |
| Recitals/Demonstrations | 19.0 | 17.0 | 18.8 | 17.0 | 24.8 | 27.6 | 22.1 |
| Group projects | 32.0 | 26.9 | 30.3 | 33.9 | 41.2 | 42.0 | 34.3 |
| Extensive lecturing | 45.0 | 49.4 | 42.7 | 44.7 | 43.6 | 38.9 | 41.9 |
| Multiple drafts of written work | 23.9 | 22.8 | 24.2 | 23.5 | 26.5 | 30.3 | 19.2 |
| Student-selected topics for course content | 19.8 | 18.9 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 20.4 | 26.2 | 20.2 |
| Reflective writing/journaling | 17.6 | 13.8 | 16.9 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 28.8 | 20.4 |
| Community service as part of coursework | 5.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 8.4 | 5.5 |
| Electronic quizzes with immediate feedback in class | 7.4 | 5.0 | 7.4 | 8.4 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 6.2 |
| Using real-life problems | 55.4 | 49.8 | 56.8 | 56.3 | 59.0 | 66.4 | 58.2 |
| Using student inquiry to drive learning | 45.8 | 39.3 | 44.9 | 48.5 | 52.4 | 58.4 | 48.7 |
| Personal goals noted as "essential" or "very important": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Becoming an authority in my field | 68.8 | 75.7 | 64.9 | 68.9 | 52.7 | 60.8 | 78.6 |
| Influencing the political structure | 22.9 | 22.9 | 20.9 | 24.0 | 20.8 | 25.7 | 26.1 |
| Influencing social values | 48.5 | 44.0 | 47.5 | 49.7 | 50.5 | 60.8 | 53.9 |
| Raising a family | 72.9 | 75.6 | 71.5 | 71.7 | 71.9 | 71.8 | 72.5 |
| Becoming very well off financially | 25.6 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 25.7 | 21.5 | 29.0 | 29.3 |
| Helping others who are in difficulty | 72.2 | 68.3 | 72.1 | 72.5 | 73.9 | 84.2 | 75.9 |
| Adopting "green" practices to protect the environment | 56.6 | 55.0 | 55.8 | 54.8 | 63.3 | 63.5 | 59.4 |
| Developing a meaningful philosophy of life | 82.2 | 80.5 | 83.1 | 80.9 | 86.2 | 86.3 | 83.7 |
| Helping to promote racial understanding | 72.2 | 71.7 | 71.1 | 71.0 | 76.2 | 78.5 | 72.0 |
| Integrating spirituality into my life | 46.4 | 41.8 | 47.3 | 44.4 | 49.5 | 65.6 | 49.8 |
| Making a theoretical contribution to science | 38.0 | 42.1 | 35.1 | 42.2 | 21.5 | 26.3 | 45.9 |
| Participating in a community action program | 28.0 | 21.7 | 26.6 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 42.1 | 31.0 |
| Keeping up to date with political affairs | 59.8 | 62.9 | 60.4 | 57.0 | 55.7 | 61.6 | 55.9 |
| Becoming a community leader | 18.8 | 14.6 | 17.6 | 20.9 | 19.5 | 28.2 | 25.2 |
| Mentoring the next generation of scholars | 77.7 | 79.9 | 74.7 | 78.9 | 73.6 | 75.7 | 81.6 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst <br> Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goals for undergraduates noted as "essential" or "very important" |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Develop ability to think critically | 99.5 | 99.3 | 99.4 | 99.5 | 99.9 | 99.7 | 99.6 |
| Prepare students for employment after college | 78.3 | 71.3 | 78.0 | 81.7 | 81.2 | 88.6 | 85.7 |
| Prepare students for graduate or advanced education | 75.2 | 74.0 | 73.7 | 76.8 | 72.4 | 80.6 | 77.7 |
| Develop moral character | 68.8 | 63.5 | 67.3 | 67.8 | 77.3 | 84.3 | 77.9 |
| Provide for students' emotional development | 50.4 | 44.9 | 47.0 | 50.0 | 60.9 | 71.4 | 58.1 |
| Teach students the classic works of Western civilization | 27.9 | 31.0 | 26.8 | 24.7 | 26.3 | 31.0 | 27.9 |
| Help students develop personal values | 64.1 | 60.2 | 62.9 | 63.1 | 70.8 | 78.4 | 69.1 |
| Enhance students' self-understanding | 71.0 | 64.8 | 70.7 | 72.1 | 75.6 | 85.3 | 76.7 |
| Instill in students a commitment to community service | 44.5 | 38.9 | 43.5 | 45.3 | 45.8 | 64.2 | 50.0 |
| Enhance students' knowledge of and appreciation for other racial/ethnic groups | 70.1 | 66.5 | 69.6 | 70.5 | 74.7 | 80.6 | 71.0 |
| Help master knowledge in a discipline | 94.1 | 95.5 | 94.1 | 92.7 | 91.9 | 93.3 | 95.7 |
| Develop creative capacities | 79.4 | 80.7 | 76.7 | 76.9 | 82.7 | 82.5 | 86.5 |
| Instill a basic appreciation of the liberal arts | 66.7 | 69.6 | 67.1 | 64.2 | 63.3 | 66.6 | 64.7 |
| Promote ability to write effectively | 91.3 | 91.9 | 91.8 | 91.3 | 87.8 | 91.6 | 89.8 |
| Help students evaluate the quality and reliability of information | 95.7 | 95.8 | 95.1 | 96.1 | 96.8 | 95.4 | 94.6 |
| Engage students in civil discourse around controversial issues | 66.7 | 64.4 | 66.4 | 69.9 | 66.1 | 69.6 | 62.6 |
| Teach students tolerance and respect for different beliefs | 78.9 | 75.6 | 79.1 | 78.7 | 83.5 | 87.7 | 80.1 |
| Encourage students to become agents of social change | 52.1 | 45.8 | 52.0 | 55.3 | 54.0 | 65.2 | 53.4 |
| During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scheduled teaching (give actual, not credit hours) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 5.8 | 8.2 | 6.2 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 7.4 |
| 1-4 | 15.8 | 19.6 | 14.7 | 12.0 | 14.6 | 13.2 | 21.8 |
| 5-8 | 34.6 | 37.8 | 34.7 | 37.2 | 24.9 | 20.9 | 34.6 |
| 9-12 | 28.6 | 23.6 | 30.0 | 31.1 | 36.1 | 36.3 | 19.7 |
| 13-16 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 8.8 | 10.2 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 8.8 |
| 17-20 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 3.8 |
| 21-34 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 35-44 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 45+ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Preparing for teaching (including reading student papers and grading) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4.9 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| 1-4 | 11.5 | 13.9 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 4.5 | 14.7 | 16.2 |
| 5-8 | 24.4 | 27.0 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 19.4 | 23.4 |
| 9-12 | 22.4 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 22.1 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 19.4 |
| 13-16 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 12.0 | 14.7 |
| 17-20 | 12.1 | 9.4 | 12.4 | 13.3 | 16.5 | 14.7 | 11.5 |
| 21-34 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 12.9 | 9.9 | 8.0 |
| 35-44 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 2.1 |
| 45+ | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| do you actually spend on: <br> Advising and counseling of students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 4.4 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| 1-4 | 56.7 | 55.4 | 55.6 | 60.2 | 53.3 | 59.5 | 54.3 |
| 5-8 | 27.1 | 28.7 | 28.5 | 26.5 | 24.4 | 21.6 | 24.5 |
| 9-12 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 5.2 | 10.1 |
| 13-16 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 17-20 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 21-34 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 45+ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Committee work and meetings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 7.6 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 7.3 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 8.1 |
| 1-4 | 58.0 | 51.4 | 54.1 | 67.8 | 61.9 | 61.2 | 56.6 |
| 5-8 | 23.7 | 29.9 | 28.5 | 18.8 | 9.7 | 12.0 | 24.8 |
| 9-12 | 6.8 | 9.4 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 2.9 | 5.7 |
| 13-16 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.2 |
| 17-20 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 2.5 |
| 21-34 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 45+ | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other administration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 30.6 | 23.3 | 25.4 | 37.4 | 39.6 | 46.9 | 29.3 |
| 1-4 | 39.7 | 35.8 | 41.3 | 45.8 | 38.5 | 31.0 | 38.5 |
| 5-8 | 13.8 | 17.3 | 15.5 | 9.1 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 17.0 |
| 9-12 | 6.6 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5.9 |
| 13-16 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 3.9 |
| 17-20 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 3.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| 21-34 | 2.3 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| 35-44 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 45+ | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Research and scholarly writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 13.1 | 7.2 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 34.1 | 38.4 | 14.7 |
| 1-4 | 30.3 | 26.8 | 33.3 | 28.3 | 37.6 | 38.4 | 26.6 |
| 5-8 | 19.2 | 20.5 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 17.1 |
| 9-12 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 12.9 | 15.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 10.9 |
| 13-16 | 6.9 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 5.7 |
| 17-20 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 6.2 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 8.9 |
| 21-34 | 6.3 | 8.1 | 4.4 | 7.9 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 5.7 |
| 35-44 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 7.9 |
| 45+ | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.5 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

|  | Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other creative products/performances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 59.0 | 61.0 | 62.7 | 60.9 | 48.1 | 47.0 | 52.3 |
| 1-4 | 25.2 | 23.7 | 22.9 | 25.0 | 29.9 | 33.7 | 26.7 |
| 5-8 | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 9.6 | 9.9 | 10.7 |
| 9-12 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 9.4 | 4.2 | 6.4 |
| 13-16 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 |
| 17-20 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.9 | 1.1 |
| 21-34 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 45+ | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Consultation with clients/patients |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 84.1 | 85.8 | 85.4 | 85.0 | 83.4 | 72.1 | 80.8 |
| 1-4 | 10.7 | 9.6 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 11.5 | 16.1 | 13.0 |
| 5-8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 6.3 | 3.6 |
| 9-12 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 13-16 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 |
| 17-20 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| 21-34 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 45+ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Community or public service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 46.6 | 44.8 | 42.9 | 53.4 | 48.0 | 41.6 | 45.4 |
| 1-4 | 43.7 | 44.9 | 46.9 | 38.7 | 41.3 | 47.0 | 44.6 |
| 5-8 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 7.7 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 8.4 | 7.2 |
| 9-12 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| 13-16 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 17-20 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| 21-34 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35-44 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 45+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Outside consulting/freelance work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 72.5 | 67.8 | 73.1 | 77.8 | 73.4 | 70.4 | 73.0 |
| 1-4 | 21.2 | 25.2 | 20.5 | 17.2 | 21.4 | 21.9 | 18.9 |
| 5-8 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 5.8 |
| 9-12 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| 13-16 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 17-20 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 21-34 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 35-44 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 45+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No <br> Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Household/childcare duties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 9.2 | 10.1 | 8.3 | 7.4 | 10.9 | 11.1 | 11.2 |
| 1-4 | 17.9 | 17.3 | 17.9 | 19.5 | 13.7 | 18.2 | 19.4 |
| 5-8 | 25.2 | 29.9 | 21.6 | 24.3 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 25.7 |
| 9-12 | 16.1 | 18.1 | 17.8 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 16.6 | 13.6 |
| 13-16 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 8.4 | 11.3 | 7.0 | 8.9 |
| 17-20 | 8.6 | 7.8 | 10.3 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
| 21-34 | 5.9 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 7.5 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| 35-44 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 4.0 |
| 45+ | 4.1 | 1.6 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 3.6 | 6.6 | 5.1 |
| Commuting to campus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 9.7 | 7.4 |
| 1-4 | 58.6 | 60.9 | 58.3 | 59.9 | 54.6 | 54.5 | 52.3 |
| 5-8 | 24.2 | 24.2 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 27.0 | 22.2 | 30.6 |
| 9-12 | 7.7 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 7.1 |
| 13-16 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 1.9 |
| 17-20 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| 21-34 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 35-44 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 45+ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other employment, outside of academia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 89.5 | 91.3 | 90.6 | 90.7 | 83.9 | 79.9 | 88.0 |
| 1-4 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 5.7 |
| 5-8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 3.2 |
| 9-12 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.3 |
| 13-16 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 |
| 17-20 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.4 |
| 21-34 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 35-44 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| 45+ | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| General activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Are you a member of a faculty union? | 26.3 | 22.2 | 28.2 | 26.7 | 23.3 | 12.6 | 59.7 |
| Are you a U.S. citizen? | 92.0 | 96.2 | 94.0 | 86.7 | 91.9 | 91.6 | 85.3 |
| Do you plan to retire within the next three years? | 9.8 | 18.2 | 8.5 | 3.1 | 7.9 | 5.9 | 6.4 |
| Do you use your scholarship to address local community needs? | 37.4 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 33.9 | 37.9 | 35.8 | 40.8 |
| Have you been sexually harassed at this institution? | 4.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 5.2 |
| Have you ever interrupted your professional career for more than one year for family reasons? | 10.9 | 5.7 | 10.7 | 12.8 | 18.1 | 19.0 | 11.6 |
| Have you ever received an award for outstanding teaching? | 42.6 | 54.0 | 42.4 | 33.7 | 39.3 | 28.9 | 42.5 |
| Is (or was) your spouse/partner an academic? | 33.5 | 38.7 | 35.0 | 28.6 | 29.6 | 28.1 | 32.6 |
| During the past two years, have you: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Considered early retirement? | 19.8 | 31.0 | 22.5 | 8.1 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 15.6 |
| Considered leaving academe for another job? | 31.5 | 22.0 | 32.5 | 34.2 | 47.4 | 40.6 | 34.6 |
| Considered leaving this institution for another? | 47.3 | 45.0 | 50.8 | 48.6 | 47.1 | 39.3 | 49.7 |
| Changed academic institutions? | 16.7 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 28.1 | 22.4 | 23.2 | 25.7 |
| Engaged in paid consulting outside of your institution? | 36.4 | 46.1 | 35.6 | 27.5 | 38.6 | 29.3 | 32.5 |
| Engaged in public service/professional consulting without pay? | 57.1 | 64.1 | 58.4 | 50.5 | 52.3 | 50.3 | 57.2 |
| Received at least one firm job offer? | 25.3 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 34.0 | 32.7 | 36.6 | 31.9 |
| Requested/sought an early promotion? | 7.9 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 7.4 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| If you were to begin your career again, would you: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Still want to come to this institution? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitely yes | 36.3 | 36.5 | 30.5 | 39.4 | 35.8 | 48.5 | 31.3 |
| Probably yes | 33.8 | 34.0 | 35.0 | 32.7 | 37.3 | 29.8 | 32.1 |
| Not sure | 16.8 | 15.9 | 18.7 | 16.4 | 15.2 | 13.8 | 20.6 |
| Probably no | 8.0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.3 | 10.2 |
| Definitely no | 5.2 | 4.5 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 5.8 |
| Still want to be a college professor? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitely yes | 61.6 | 67.6 | 60.6 | 59.8 | 50.0 | 59.0 | 59.7 |
| Probably yes | 26.3 | 21.8 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 32.8 | 26.6 | 26.9 |
| Not sure | 8.9 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 13.1 | 10.4 | 10.6 |
| Probably no | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 1.7 |
| Definitely no | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Attributes noted as being "very descriptive" of your institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| It is easy for students to see faculty outside of regular office hours | 53.5 | 55.9 | 52.8 | 56.9 | 38.6 | 56.4 | 44.0 |
| The faculty are typically at odds with campus administration | 19.5 | 17.9 | 23.4 | 19.1 | 15.9 | 17.5 | 20.7 |
| Faculty here respect each other | 48.2 | 47.6 | 44.9 | 55.1 | 44.6 | 50.1 | 37.9 |
| Most students are treated like "numbers in a book" | 4.9 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 4.2 | 8.7 | 5.7 | 9.7 |
| Faculty are rewarded for being good teachers | 16.5 | 16.5 | 15.0 | 20.2 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 10.7 |
| There is respect for the expression of diverse values and beliefs | 35.7 | 37.1 | 31.6 | 39.1 | 34.6 | 37.6 | 29.4 |
| Faculty are rewarded for their efforts to use instructional technology | 14.7 | 13.8 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 13.2 | 17.3 | 13.4 |
| Administrators consider faculty concerns when making policy | 14.6 | 15.6 | 12.4 | 15.1 | 13.2 | 19.7 | 11.8 |
| The administration is open about its policies | 16.7 | 15.7 | 14.8 | 18.8 | 15.2 | 23.6 | 14.2 |
| Factors noted as a source of stress for you during the last two years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Managing household responsibilities | 74.7 | 65.5 | 76.3 | 81.4 | 80.3 | 78.5 | 76.4 |
| Child care | 55.7 | 41.9 | 59.8 | 68.5 | 62.4 | 55.2 | 54.7 |
| Care of elderly parent | 49.9 | 53.7 | 52.5 | 43.1 | 48.1 | 47.5 | 47.9 |
| My physical health | 54.0 | 54.8 | 54.1 | 51.7 | 54.2 | 58.2 | 54.7 |
| Health of spouse/partner | 44.3 | 45.6 | 45.0 | 43.8 | 42.1 | 42.3 | 40.2 |
| Review/promotion process | 57.8 | 29.1 | 65.0 | 79.0 | 63.4 | 50.7 | 68.1 |
| Subtle discrimination (e.g., prejudice, racism, sexism) | 28.3 | 23.1 | 32.2 | 30.5 | 29.2 | 24.1 | 33.2 |
| Personal finances | 65.7 | 52.7 | 65.8 | 75.5 | 73.5 | 79.5 | 64.6 |
| Committee work | 62.0 | 66.5 | 72.7 | 55.0 | 36.8 | 47.4 | 64.5 |
| Faculty meetings | 53.9 | 57.9 | 62.3 | 48.1 | 37.4 | 38.0 | 59.6 |
| Colleagues | 61.7 | 67.6 | 67.7 | 55.5 | 50.0 | 46.9 | 64.5 |
| Students | 60.6 | 55.0 | 60.8 | 67.1 | 62.8 | 62.3 | 56.2 |
| Research or publishing demands | 70.7 | 61.3 | 76.6 | 83.7 | 54.8 | 49.2 | 74.2 |
| Institutional procedures and "red tape" | 71.3 | 74.8 | 73.3 | 68.7 | 67.9 | 59.8 | 71.7 |
| Teaching load | 62.6 | 53.6 | 65.7 | 69.7 | 68.2 | 59.5 | 63.7 |
| Children's problems | 48.0 | 49.5 | 50.0 | 44.5 | 52.0 | 46.1 | 40.8 |
| Friction with spouse/partner | 33.2 | 27.9 | 33.4 | 38.2 | 37.1 | 37.4 | 30.2 |
| Lack of personal time | 82.2 | 78.1 | 83.3 | 86.7 | 82.1 | 78.4 | 84.5 |
| Keeping up with information technology | 52.1 | 58.8 | 54.3 | 43.1 | 49.9 | 52.7 | 48.1 |
| Job security | 39.2 | 12.2 | 30.0 | 59.2 | 73.7 | 70.2 | 50.8 |
| Being part of a dual career couple | 49.8 | 39.9 | 52.0 | 59.0 | 51.9 | 52.5 | 50.6 |
| Working with underprepared students | 75.3 | 70.2 | 75.9 | 79.5 | 79.1 | 77.8 | 74.1 |
| Self-imposed high expectations | 84.8 | 81.6 | 85.4 | 88.5 | 85.5 | 82.3 | 85.4 |
| Change in work responsibilities | 49.7 | 42.7 | 50.8 | 51.6 | 56.0 | 52.7 | 63.5 |
| Institutional budget cuts | 74.2 | 75.2 | 76.0 | 69.1 | 74.0 | 74.3 | 84.2 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspects of your job with which you are "very satisfied" or "satisfied": |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salary | 48.6 | 60.6 | 44.7 | 45.9 | 37.3 | 30.4 | 49.4 |
| Health benefits | 71.4 | 73.3 | 67.7 | 70.8 | 73.6 | 64.8 | 83.8 |
| Retirement benefits | 68.5 | 70.4 | 63.8 | 71.9 | 66.6 | 63.2 | 71.1 |
| Opportunity for scholarly pursuits | 58.8 | 69.2 | 53.3 | 54.7 | 47.0 | 55.1 | 61.6 |
| Teaching load | 58.7 | 64.8 | 55.4 | 54.5 | 57.4 | 60.8 | 57.0 |
| Quality of students | 53.8 | 57.6 | 51.0 | 51.2 | 51.3 | 58.5 | 53.7 |
| Office/lab space | 70.3 | 75.3 | 68.9 | 67.2 | 65.7 | 69.6 | 69.2 |
| Autonomy and independence | 85.6 | 88.1 | 84.9 | 84.7 | 85.0 | 85.5 | 79.6 |
| Professional relationships with other faculty | 76.7 | 77.4 | 73.6 | 80.2 | 71.8 | 80.6 | 71.9 |
| Social relationships with other faculty | 65.6 | 64.3 | 64.6 | 67.8 | 63.8 | 70.3 | 63.3 |
| Competency of colleagues | 78.7 | 80.8 | 74.9 | 80.1 | 79.6 | 80.7 | 74.3 |
| Job security | 73.4 | 92.2 | 82.6 | 61.6 | 38.2 | 45.6 | 64.0 |
| Departmental leadership | 68.5 | 69.3 | 63.6 | 70.5 | 71.4 | 74.2 | 65.3 |
| Course assignments | 82.6 | 86.5 | 81.7 | 80.6 | 81.7 | 80.9 | 77.0 |
| Freedom to determine course content | 91.8 | 95.3 | 92.8 | 91.2 | 85.2 | 85.1 | 87.8 |
| Availability of child care at this institution | 26.5 | 34.4 | 23.2 | 22.3 | 14.4 | 29.6 | 44.2 |
| Prospects for career advancement | 54.2 | 64.7 | 51.9 | 58.9 | 25.8 | 34.8 | 50.8 |
| Clerical/administrative support | 57.8 | 54.5 | 50.6 | 62.7 | 71.5 | 69.8 | 52.5 |
| Overall job satisfaction | 74.7 | 79.6 | 70.0 | 74.5 | 72.0 | 76.1 | 70.0 |
| Tuition remission for your children/dependents | 66.2 | 69.6 | 61.1 | 62.4 | 71.0 | 66.7 | 77.5 |
| Do you agree "strongly" or "somewhat"? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Faculty are interested in students' personal problems | 81.3 | 81.3 | 81.6 | 83.6 | 75.7 | 85.2 | 72.6 |
| Racial and ethnic diversity should be more strongly reflected in the curriculum | 53.3 | 47.3 | 55.0 | 56.6 | 51.6 | 63.2 | 54.3 |
| Faculty feel that most students are well-prepared academically | 39.7 | 41.8 | 35.6 | 38.9 | 41.1 | 46.3 | 39.5 |
| This institution should hire more faculty of color | 71.9 | 74.2 | 75.3 | 71.6 | 62.5 | 63.1 | 70.0 |
| This institution should hire more women faculty | 60.5 | 63.1 | 61.9 | 60.1 | 54.0 | 52.2 | 61.0 |
| Student Affairs staff have the support and respect of faculty | 76.2 | 72.7 | 72.5 | 80.3 | 79.9 | 84.9 | 78.1 |
| Faculty are committed to the welfare of this institution | 90.2 | 89.8 | 90.1 | 92.1 | 87.7 | 93.3 | 84.1 |
| Faculty here are strongly interested in the academic problems of undergraduates | 86.0 | 85.7 | 85.5 | 89.4 | 81.9 | 88.1 | 76.4 |
| There is a lot of campus racial conflict here | 9.3 | 6.6 | 9.9 | 11.1 | 9.2 | 10.3 | 12.5 |
| My research is valued by faculty in my department | 75.0 | 79.1 | 72.6 | 80.0 | 58.3 | 64.5 | 74.1 |
| My teaching is valued by faculty in my department | 88.2 | 88.7 | 86.8 | 90.0 | 85.5 | 90.0 | 84.9 |
| Faculty of color are treated fairly here | 90.2 | 91.8 | 85.4 | 90.9 | 94.0 | 96.8 | 86.3 |
| Women faculty are treated fairly here | 88.1 | 89.8 | 83.9 | 88.1 | 89.8 | 94.8 | 85.9 |
| Gay and lesbian faculty are treated fairly here | 86.5 | 87.4 | 82.7 | 86.4 | 93.0 | 88.3 | 87.0 |
| Faculty are sufficiently involved in campus decision making | 56.1 | 53.2 | 50.0 | 61.6 | 62.1 | 64.1 | 57.2 |
| My values are congruent with the dominant institutional values | 72.1 | 71.9 | 67.8 | 76.4 | 68.4 | 81.7 | 65.6 |
| This institution takes responsibility for educating underprepared students | 64.3 | 64.0 | 63.9 | 63.9 | 63.7 | 75.4 | 58.0 |
| The criteria for advancement and promotion decisions are clear | 71.0 | 80.1 | 67.3 | 66.9 | 62.4 | 65.7 | 74.1 |
| Most of the students I teach lack the basic skills for college level work | 36.7 | 29.2 | 36.3 | 43.2 | 42.2 | 45.3 | 32.8 |
| There is adequate support for faculty development | 63.5 | 62.9 | 57.7 | 66.8 | 62.5 | 73.0 | 66.2 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issues you believe to be of "highest" or "high" priority at your institution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| To promote the intellectual development of students | 79.6 | 80.3 | 77.4 | 80.2 | 77.2 | 86.1 | 77.1 |
| To develop a sense of community among students and faculty | 53.3 | 51.0 | 51.4 | 55.5 | 50.6 | 69.6 | 47.2 |
| To facilitate student involvement in community service | 42.9 | 41.1 | 41.9 | 45.8 | 40.6 | 53.8 | 34.7 |
| To help students learn how to bring about change in society | 34.5 | 31.4 | 32.5 | 39.5 | 31.4 | 44.8 | 29.8 |
| To increase or maintain institutional prestige | 71.3 | 72.7 | 69.9 | 69.9 | 69.7 | 76.2 | 72.8 |
| To hire faculty "stars" | 37.2 | 40.4 | 34.4 | 33.5 | 43.3 | 37.7 | 39.2 |
| To recruit more minority students | 46.1 | 51.9 | 44.1 | 41.9 | 45.1 | 45.3 | 43.3 |
| To enhance the institution's national image | 74.6 | 76.4 | 72.6 | 72.6 | 73.8 | 75.4 | 80.9 |
| To create a diverse multi-cultural campus environment | 49.7 | 52.3 | 45.7 | 47.4 | 51.9 | 56.6 | 51.1 |
| To promote gender equity among faculty | 46.5 | 54.7 | 40.9 | 43.7 | 42.0 | 49.0 | 41.8 |
| To provide resources for faculty to engage in community-based teaching or research | 29.2 | 23.7 | 26.1 | 33.9 | 33.8 | 41.8 | 30.6 |
| To create and sustain partnerships with surrounding communities | 41.9 | 36.9 | 38.8 | 46.7 | 45.5 | 53.5 | 43.0 |
| To pursue extramural funding | 65.9 | 71.3 | 62.1 | 62.0 | 68.9 | 61.2 | 72.6 |
| To increase the representation of minorities in the faculty and administration | 39.0 | 44.3 | 35.6 | 36.2 | 36.0 | 40.5 | 39.5 |
| To strengthen links with the for-profit, corporate sector | 48.7 | 47.8 | 47.1 | 43.9 | 57.2 | 56.5 | 60.6 |
| To develop leadership ability among students | 51.4 | 50.3 | 46.6 | 52.2 | 53.8 | 68.3 | 49.6 |
| To increase the representation of women in the faculty and administration | 34.8 | 41.8 | 30.8 | 31.6 | 28.3 | 37.1 | 33.3 |
| To develop an appreciation for multiculturalism | 49.9 | 51.4 | 46.2 | 49.9 | 51.2 | 58.3 | 45.4 |
| Do you agree "strongly" or "somewhat"? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The chief benefit of a college education is that it increases one's earning power | 55.2 | 51.6 | 53.1 | 56.7 | 54.5 | 69.4 | 60.2 |
| Promoting diversity leads to the admission of too many underprepared students | 24.4 | 24.4 | 22.1 | 24.2 | 25.1 | 28.7 | 27.9 |
| Colleges should be actively involved in solving social problems | 74.7 | 72.0 | 75.2 | 77.4 | 73.5 | 78.3 | 72.0 |
| Colleges should encourage students to be involved in community service activities | 87.0 | 83.2 | 85.9 | 90.2 | 90.0 | 94.3 | 85.8 |
| A racially/ethnically diverse student body enhances the educational experience of all students | 94.9 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 96.1 | 93.8 | 94.6 | 92.9 |
| Realistically, an individual can do little to bring about changes in society | 19.3 | 20.6 | 18.9 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 19.3 | 20.7 |
| Colleges should be concerned with facilitating undergraduate students' spiritual development | 31.9 | 30.1 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 27.6 | 40.2 | 32.9 |
| Colleges have a responsibility to work with their surrounding communities to address local issues | 87.7 | 85.1 | 87.2 | 89.8 | 88.9 | 92.9 | 86.2 |
| Private funding sources often prevent researchers from being completely objective in the conduct of their work | 57.6 | 55.8 | 57.1 | 55.5 | 67.1 | 61.6 | 61.7 |
| Colleges should prohibit racist/sexist speech on campus | 63.1 | 59.0 | 58.6 | 68.0 | 66.4 | 71.1 | 69.3 |
| This institution should not offer remedial/developmental education | 21.8 | 26.4 | 21.8 | 18.1 | 20.2 | 17.9 | 20.7 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Your base institutional salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/10 month contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$20,000 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.5 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 4.1 | 0.3 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 9.2 | 38.5 | 0.9 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 10.7 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 15.0 | 44.8 | 34.5 | 10.4 |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 18.9 | 3.2 | 18.7 | 38.3 | 18.6 | 15.0 | 21.8 |
| \$60,000-\$69,999 | 17.4 | 8.9 | 27.4 | 22.3 | 10.8 | 3.5 | 20.4 |
| \$70,000-\$79,999 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 22.1 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 0.4 | 15.2 |
| \$80,000-\$89,999 | 10.0 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 1.4 | 10.5 |
| \$90,000-\$99,999 | 7.4 | 14.3 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 0.3 | 7.0 |
| \$100,000-\$124,999 | 10.0 | 23.6 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 8.4 |
| \$125,000-\$149,999 | 3.7 | 10.6 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| \$150,000 or more | 3.9 | 11.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
| 11/12 month contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$20,000 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 0.4 |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 15.7 | 0.5 |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 10.3 | 0.3 | 2.9 | 11.8 | 27.3 | 32.5 | 21.6 |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 16.7 | 1.3 | 12.7 | 32.7 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 29.3 |
| \$60,000-\$69,999 | 18.0 | 6.4 | 20.3 | 32.3 | 13.4 | 14.9 | 17.0 |
| \$70,000-\$79,999 | 10.9 | 8.4 | 16.8 | 9.3 | 12.8 | 8.1 | 7.9 |
| \$80,000-\$89,999 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 14.9 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 3.6 | 4.7 |
| \$90,000-\$99,999 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 15.1 | 3.9 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 6.7 |
| \$100,000-\$124,999 | 11.9 | 29.3 | 10.1 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 6.5 |
| \$125,000-\$149,999 | 6.0 | 16.7 | 4.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| \$150,000 or more | 5.3 | 15.5 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 |
| Your base institutional salary reported above is based on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 9 months | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 3.9 |
| 9/10 months | 71.1 | 72.7 | 72.9 | 71.8 | 66.4 | 61.8 | 68.9 |
| 11/12 months | 24.5 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 23.6 | 27.5 | 32.5 | 27.2 |
| What percentage of your current year's income comes from: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base salary from this institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% | 45.2 | 37.5 | 45.1 | 52.3 | 42.8 | 51.6 | 51.4 |
| 75\% to 99\% | 44.4 | 51.6 | 46.4 | 39.2 | 38.0 | 34.7 | 39.6 |
| 74\% to 50\% | 8.2 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 13.7 | 9.5 | 6.0 |
| 25\% to 49\% | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 1.6 |
| 1\% to 24\% | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| 0\% | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Other income from this institution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100\% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75\% to 99\% | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 74\% to 50\% | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 25\% to 49\% | 4.2 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 7.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| 1\% to 24\% | 29.6 | 32.2 | 32.8 | 28.1 | 24.7 | 23.6 | 22.6 |
| 0\% | 65.8 | 63.3 | 62.9 | 68.0 | 66.4 | 72.6 | 73.1 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

| Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| What percentage of your current year's income comes from: Income from another academic institution $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & 75 \% \text { to } 99 \% \\ & 74 \% \text { to } 50 \% \\ & 25 \% \text { to } 49 \% \\ & 1 \% \text { to } 24 \% \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 5.5 \\ 93.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 5.6 \\ 93.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.6 \\ 5.3 \\ 94.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 5.6 \\ 92.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 5.7 \\ 92.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 6.3 \\ 92.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 95.5 \end{array}$ |
| ```Non-academic income 100% 75% to 99% 74% to 50% 25% to 49% 1% to 24% 0%``` | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.9 \\ 4.8 \\ 25.7 \\ 67.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 0.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 5.9 \\ 33.9 \\ 58.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 3.9 \\ 25.4 \\ 69.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.2 \\ 2.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 18.8 \\ 74.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 1.5 \\ 3.7 \\ 5.3 \\ 22.7 \\ 66.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ 1.5 \\ 3.0 \\ 6.6 \\ 18.0 \\ 70.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.8 \\ 26.8 \\ 67.6 \end{array}$ |
| Please enter the four-digit year that each of the following occurred. <br> Year of birth <br> 1981 or later <br> 1976-1980 <br> 1971-1975 <br> 1966-1970 <br> 1961-1965 <br> 1956-1960 <br> 1951-1955 <br> 1946-1950 <br> 1941-1945 <br> 1940 or earlier | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5 \\ 9.7 \\ 10.9 \\ 14.0 \\ 12.5 \\ 14.0 \\ 16.1 \\ 12.8 \\ 5.5 \\ 2.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.9 \\ 5.5 \\ 10.0 \\ 18.3 \\ 27.1 \\ 22.4 \\ 11.5 \\ 4.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 11.4 \\ 19.7 \\ 18.5 \\ 16.6 \\ 14.7 \\ 11.8 \\ 4.3 \\ 1.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.4 \\ 25.8 \\ 21.3 \\ 17.6 \\ 9.0 \\ 7.1 \\ 7.4 \\ 4.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.6 \\ 14.4 \\ 13.4 \\ 14.1 \\ 15.4 \\ 13.1 \\ 10.5 \\ 12.4 \\ 3.2 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ 13.9 \\ 11.7 \\ 16.6 \\ 13.2 \\ 12.6 \\ 12.0 \\ 7.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.9 \\ 12.4 \\ 11.7 \\ 15.5 \\ 11.5 \\ 13.9 \\ 14.5 \\ 10.3 \\ 3.9 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ |
| Year of highest degree now held 1973 or earlier $1974-1978$ $1979-1983$ $1984-1988$ $1989-1993$ $1994-1998$ $1999-2003$ $2004-2008$ 2009 or later | $\begin{array}{r} 4.8 \\ 7.1 \\ 9.7 \\ 10.8 \\ 12.6 \\ 14.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 17.4 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.2 \\ 15.3 \\ 20.9 \\ 20.2 \\ 18.1 \\ 11.8 \\ 2.4 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.8 \\ 4.3 \\ 6.3 \\ 9.9 \\ 16.7 \\ 22.4 \\ 28.3 \\ 8.3 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 1.8 \\ 3.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 8.3 \\ 17.1 \\ 40.6 \\ 22.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.5 \\ 4.2 \\ 6.9 \\ 6.2 \\ 11.6 \\ 12.3 \\ 13.5 \\ 29.4 \\ 12.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 3.6 \\ 5.7 \\ 6.9 \\ 8.1 \\ 17.6 \\ 15.6 \\ 25.9 \\ 15.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ 4.5 \\ 7.3 \\ 9.9 \\ 9.6 \\ 13.6 \\ 19.4 \\ 17.7 \\ 12.4 \end{array}$ |
| Year of appointment at present institution 1973 or earlier $1974-1978$ $1979-1983$ $1984-1988$ $1989-1993$ $1994-1998$ $1999-2003$ $2004-2008$ 2009 or later | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 2.6 \\ 5.9 \\ 8.9 \\ 10.4 \\ 11.6 \\ 14.3 \\ 22.9 \\ 21.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.2 \\ 6.5 \\ 13.9 \\ 19.5 \\ 19.9 \\ 17.5 \\ 10.9 \\ 5.9 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3 \\ 1.6 \\ 4.2 \\ 7.9 \\ 11.2 \\ 16.0 \\ 28.0 \\ 23.3 \\ 6.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.7 \\ 2.3 \\ 3.0 \\ 5.4 \\ 38.2 \\ 47.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 0.1 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.6 \\ 5.6 \\ 7.5 \\ 15.4 \\ 32.5 \\ 33.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.9 \\ 3.1 \\ 5.7 \\ 12.1 \\ 32.8 \\ 43.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 1.3 \\ 3.7 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.6 \\ 10.1 \\ 15.4 \\ 22.4 \\ 34.1 \end{array}$ |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey
Weighted National Norms-All Respondents

|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst <br> Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Please enter the four-digit year that each of the following occurred. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If tenured, year tenure was awarded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 or earlier | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 2.2 |
| 1974-1978 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| 1979-1983 | 5.1 | 7.9 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 3.8 |
| 1984-1988 | 9.7 | 14.6 | 4.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 9.9 | 8.0 |
| 1989-1993 | 13.9 | 19.1 | 7.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 11.1 |
| 1994-1998 | 18.0 | 23.6 | 11.3 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 17.8 |
| 1999-2003 | 16.2 | 18.0 | 14.9 | 9.6 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 14.9 |
| 2004-2008 | 20.3 | 9.8 | 34.1 | 16.4 | 77.8 | 16.6 | 28.2 |
| 2009 or later | 12.9 | 1.4 | 25.8 | 38.6 | 12.4 | 48.0 | 11.6 |
| Aggregated Major |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture or Forestry (General Area 1) | 1.8 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 0.4 |
| Biological Sciences (General Area 5) | 6.9 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 6.5 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 3.3 |
| Business (General Area 6) | 4.6 | 4.1 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 4.4 |
| Education (General Area 10 and Specific Discipline 2102) | 7.5 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 12.8 | 8.5 |
| Engineering (General Area 11) | 4.8 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 8.6 |
| English (General Area 12) | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 5.5 | 7.8 | 11.9 | 5.7 |
| Health-related (General Area 15) | 4.6 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 3.8 | 8.2 | 9.2 |
| History or Political Science (Specific Discipline 3007, 3009) | 7.5 | 8.2 | 8.9 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 5.1 |
| Humanities (General Area 14, 24) | 10.0 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 10.6 | 12.7 | 11.1 | 5.7 |
| Fine Arts (General Area 2, 4, 22) | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 4.6 | 11.2 |
| Mathematics or Statistics (General Area 18) | 4.7 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 4.5 |
| Physical Sciences (General Area 25) | 7.2 | 9.3 | 7.3 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 8.0 |
| Social Sciences (General Area 3, 26, 27 and Specific Discipline 3001, $3002,3003,3004,3005,3006,3008,3010,3011,3012)$ | 14.7 | 15.2 | 14.5 | 17.6 | 11.1 | 8.6 | 11.7 |
| Other Technical (General Area 8, 19, 28) | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Other Non-technical (General Area 7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 29, 31, 32 and Specific Discipline 2101, 2103) | 8.9 | 7.5 | 8.5 | 9.9 | 10.2 | 10.1 | 10.5 |
| Aggregated Department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture or Forestry (General Area 1) | 1.7 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Biological Sciences (General Area 5) | 6.4 | 7.4 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 3.6 |
| Business (General Area 6) | 5.6 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 4.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 4.9 |
| Education (General Area 10 and Specific Discipline 2102) | 4.9 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 6.8 | 6.6 |
| Engineering (General Area 11) | 5.2 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 1.6 | 8.6 |
| English (General Area 12) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 12.6 | 7.5 |
| Health-related (General Area 15) | 5.3 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 9.4 |
| History or Political Science (Specific Discipline 3007, 3009) | 7.3 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 1.6 | 5.6 |
| Humanities (General Area 14, 24) | 9.9 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 14.0 | 10.5 | 6.2 |
| Fine Arts (General Area 2, 4, 22) | 7.7 | 7.6 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 11.1 |
| Mathematics or Statistics (General Area 18) | 4.7 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 9.5 | 3.9 |
| Physical Sciences (General Area 25) | 6.6 | 8.6 | 6.6 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 5.7 |
| Social Sciences (General Area 3, 26, 27 and Specific Discipline 3001, $3002,3003,3004,3005,3006,3008,3010,3011,3012)$ | 13.3 | 13.7 | 12.8 | 17.4 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 9.7 |
| Other Technical (General Area 8, 19, 28) | 2.8 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Other Non-technical (General Area 7, 9, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 29, 31, 32 and Specific Discipline 2101, 2103) | 11.6 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 14.5 | 17.8 | 13.5 |

2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

| Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| How many children do you have in the following age ranges? Under 18 years old $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.8 \\ 18.2 \\ 15.2 \\ 3.4 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 71.1 \\ 16.5 \\ 9.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55.9 \\ 18.7 \\ 19.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 1.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.4 \\ 20.4 \\ 16.2 \\ 4.2 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.3 \\ 21.1 \\ 17.9 \\ 2.3 \\ 1.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.2 \\ 13.2 \\ 16.4 \\ 6.0 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.5 \\ 17.2 \\ 16.8 \\ 3.3 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ |
| 18 years or older 0 1 2 3 $4+$ | $\begin{array}{r} 60.9 \\ 12.2 \\ 16.5 \\ 6.6 \\ 3.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37.4 \\ 18.7 \\ 27.8 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.4 \\ 12.9 \\ 12.7 \\ 6.6 \\ 3.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82.5 \\ 4.8 \\ 7.9 \\ 3.0 \\ 1.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 64.6 \\ 12.6 \\ 13.1 \\ 5.6 \\ 4.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66.4 \\ 10.5 \\ 14.1 \\ 5.7 \\ 3.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.4 \\ 8.5 \\ 17.2 \\ 6.3 \\ 2.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| How would you characterize your political views? <br> Far left <br> Liberal <br> Middle of the road <br> Conservative <br> Far right | $\begin{array}{r} 12.4 \\ 50.3 \\ 25.4 \\ 11.5 \\ 0.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.8 \\ 54.9 \\ 23.4 \\ 9.7 \\ 0.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.8 \\ 50.4 \\ 24.0 \\ 11.5 \\ 0.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.9 \\ 48.7 \\ 25.9 \\ 11.2 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \\ 46.2 \\ 27.8 \\ 13.4 \\ 0.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 42.3 \\ 32.3 \\ 19.0 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.3 \\ 47.6 \\ 29.3 \\ 11.6 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$ |
| Are you currently: (Mark one) Single <br> Married Unmarried, living with partner Divorced Widowed Separated | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ 75.6 \\ 5.8 \\ 5.1 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.8 \\ 82.1 \\ 4.3 \\ 5.8 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.8 \\ 75.9 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.3 \\ 71.8 \\ 6.5 \\ 4.0 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.5 \\ 72.2 \\ 6.4 \\ 6.6 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.0 \\ 68.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.6 \\ 68.2 \\ 8.3 \\ 5.0 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$ |
| Is English your native language? Yes No | $\begin{aligned} & 87.2 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.5 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88.6 \\ & 11.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.4 \\ & 15.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.7 \\ & 14.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.6 \\ & 15.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.6 \\ & 23.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Race/Ethnicity—mark all that apply (total may add to more than 100) <br> American Indian/Alaska Native <br> Asian American/Asian <br> Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander <br> African American/Black <br> Mexican American/Chicano <br> Puerto Rican <br> Other Latino <br> White/Caucasian <br> Other | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 5.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 3.8 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.4 \\ 86.4 \\ 3.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.2 \\ 4.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 1.5 \\ 90.8 \\ 2.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 4.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.4 \\ 87.1 \\ 3.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.4 \\ 7.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 5.4 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.4 \\ 2.1 \\ 83.4 \\ 3.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 3.1 \\ 88.7 \\ 4.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.6 \\ 7.0 \\ 0.3 \\ 4.9 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 4.9 \\ 79.3 \\ 5.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.7 \\ 10.9 \\ 0.5 \\ 5.1 \\ 2.1 \\ 0.7 \\ 5.1 \\ 79.6 \\ 4.8 \end{array}$ |
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| Weighted National Norms-All Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| CIRP Construct: Student-Centered Pedagogy High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6 \\ & 42.2 \\ & 35.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & 38.5 \\ & 43.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.5 \\ & 44.1 \\ & 34.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.4 \\ & 45.9 \\ & 30.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \\ & 44.4 \\ & 28.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.8 \\ & 36.1 \\ & 27.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.5 \\ & 42.9 \\ & 31.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 48.56 | 46.99 | 48.60 | 49.19 | 49.68 | 51.23 | 49.39 |
| CIRP Construct: Undergraduate Education GoalPersonal Development <br> High Construct Score Group <br> Average Construct Score Group <br> Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 24.3 \\ & 36.1 \\ & 39.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \\ & 36.0 \\ & 44.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6 \\ & 35.7 \\ & 41.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.4 \\ & 34.8 \\ & 39.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 39.9 \\ & 32.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.0 \\ & 35.4 \\ & 22.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.9 \\ & 40.7 \\ & 32.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 47.80 | 46.47 | 47.36 | 47.96 | 49.44 | 51.86 | 49.04 |
| CIRP Construct: Scholarly Productivity High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 38.1 \\ & 41.4 \\ & 20.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65.1 \\ 27.6 \\ 7.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.9 \\ & 46.3 \\ & 12.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.5 \\ & 54.8 \\ & 22.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.6 \\ 48.4 \\ 47.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.1 \\ 32.0 \\ 64.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.9 \\ & 38.0 \\ & 25.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 52.32 | 57.96 | 53.04 | 49.61 | 45.11 | 42.80 | 51.91 |
| CIRP Construct: Civic Minded Practice High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 35.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.4 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 34.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.1 \\ & 44.1 \\ & 29.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.0 \\ & 34.8 \\ & 41.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.7 \\ & 39.3 \\ & 36.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.1 \\ & 38.9 \\ & 36.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.2 \\ & 43.6 \\ & 32.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.54 | 49.59 | 50.20 | 48.76 | 49.46 | 49.37 | 50.20 |
| CIRP Construct: Civic Minded Values High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 24.2 \\ & 40.0 \\ & 35.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.6 \\ & 39.0 \\ & 42.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23.3 \\ & 41.1 \\ & 35.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \\ & 38.8 \\ & 34.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.9 \\ & 39.9 \\ & 32.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.5 \\ & 43.1 \\ & 21.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.7 \\ & 42.7 \\ & 31.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 48.61 | 46.94 | 48.56 | 49.31 | 49.44 | 52.20 | 49.24 |
| CIRP Construct: Job Satisfaction-Workplace High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \\ & 42.5 \\ & 27.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.7 \\ & 41.8 \\ & 26.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \\ & 42.9 \\ & 31.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.1 \\ & 42.9 \\ & 26.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & 40.7 \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \\ & 46.2 \\ & 23.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.5 \\ & 40.6 \\ & 35.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.69 | 50.18 | 48.78 | 50.01 | 49.91 | 50.42 | 48.20 |
| CIRP Construct: Job Satisfaction-Compensation High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 32.0 \\ & 44.3 \\ & 23.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.3 \\ & 42.1 \\ & 13.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.3 \\ & 46.2 \\ & 24.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \\ & 46.7 \\ & 24.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.9 \\ & 39.4 \\ & 43.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.0 \\ & 42.5 \\ & 40.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \\ & 45.9 \\ & 24.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 51.35 | 54.29 | 50.95 | 50.68 | 46.98 | 47.47 | 50.64 |
| CIRP Construct: Career Related Stress High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & 45.7 \\ & 22.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.8 \\ & 46.4 \\ & 25.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.1 \\ & 46.6 \\ & 16.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.3 \\ & 45.9 \\ & 16.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \\ & 46.9 \\ & 33.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.8 \\ & 39.8 \\ & 42.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.7 \\ & 43.3 \\ & 22.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 51.08 | 50.27 | 52.52 | 52.47 | 48.53 | 46.93 | 51.49 |
| CIRP Construct: Institutional Priority—Commitment to Diversity High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 28.6 \\ & 36.6 \\ & 34.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.7 \\ & 40.4 \\ & 28.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.9 \\ & 35.4 \\ & 38.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.2 \\ & 35.0 \\ & 37.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.2 \\ & 34.1 \\ & 37.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9 \\ & 37.2 \\ & 29.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.7 \\ & 30.1 \\ & 40.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.62 | 50.46 | 48.69 | 49.30 | 49.48 | 50.64 | 49.28 |
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|  | All Bacc Institutions | Full Professor | Assoc Professor | Asst Professor | Lecturer | Instructor | No Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIRP Construct: Institutional Priority—Civic Engagement High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 25.3 \\ & 46.3 \\ & 28.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.5 \\ & 47.9 \\ & 31.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.3 \\ & 46.2 \\ & 31.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.6 \\ & 44.9 \\ & 25.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.0 \\ & 50.5 \\ & 22.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.6 \\ & 42.8 \\ & 20.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.9 \\ & 43.5 \\ & 28.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 48.87 | 47.83 | 48.23 | 49.80 | 49.54 | 51.58 | 48.92 |
| CIRP Construct: Institutional Priority—Increase Prestige High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 37.9 \\ & 36.3 \\ & 25.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.1 \\ & 35.7 \\ & 24.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.3 \\ & 34.9 \\ & 27.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.4 \\ & 36.0 \\ & 28.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.8 \\ 37.8 \\ 25.4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.1 \\ & 43.2 \\ & 21.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.2 \\ & 36.9 \\ & 18.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 51.71 | 52.17 | 51.42 | 51.09 | 51.71 | 52.05 | 52.78 |
| CIRP Construct: Social Agency High Construct Score Group Average Construct Score Group Low Construct Score Group | $\begin{aligned} & 23.6 \\ & 44.7 \\ & 31.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0 \\ & 45.3 \\ & 36.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2 \\ & 45.5 \\ & 32.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.2 \\ & 44.2 \\ & 29.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.3 \\ & 43.0 \\ & 29.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.5 \\ & 42.8 \\ & 21.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.2 \\ & 44.1 \\ & 27.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Score | 49.01 | 47.83 | 48.73 | 49.56 | 49.75 | 51.59 | 50.11 |

 one-half standard deviation of the mean ( 45 to 55 ). "High" represents faculty who scored one-half standard deviation or more above the mean (higher than 55 ).

## APPENDIX A

## Research Methodology

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The data reported here have been weighted to provide a normative picture of American college full-time undergraduate faculty. This appendix provides a brief overview of the HERI methodology and describes the procedures used to weight the 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey results to produce the national normative estimates.

## The National Population

In order to weight the HERI Faculty Survey, we considered all institutions of higher education that admit at least 25 first-time full-time students and grant a baccalaureate degree or higher. Institutions also had to have responded to the 2009-2010 Human Resources Survey from the U.S. Department of Education's Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS). In 2010, this population included 1,553 institutions. It should be noted that the population reflects institutions of "higher education," rather than "postsecondary education," and that most proprietary, special vocational, or semiprofessional institutions are not currently included in the population.

## Institutional Stratification Design

The institutions identified as part of the national population were divided into 20 stratification groups based on type (four-year college, university), control (public, private nonsectarian,

Roman Catholic, other religious), and selectivity (defined as the median SAT Verbal and Math scores [or ACT composite score] of the firsttime, first-year students).

HERI completed a comprehensive restratification of the national population in 2008, reviewing not only institutions' selectivity scores, but also their control and religious affiliation, if any, as reported to IPEDS. In 2010, "university" is defined by the 2010 Carnegie Basic Classification as "research universities" or "doctoral/research universities." Appendix C lists the current stratification cell assignment of institutions that participated in the 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey.

Having defined the population in terms of the stratification cell scheme, IPEDS data was used to compute the male and female fulltime undergraduate faculty (FTUG) population by academic rank (professor, associate professor, assistant professor, and all other ranks) in each stratification cell. These population counts form the target counts of the weighting procedure.

## Identifying the Norms Sample

For a participating institution's data to be included in the normative sample, HERI required that a minimum percentage of all FTUG faculty at that institution be surveyed. Participating four-year colleges were required to have surveyed at least $35 \%$ of their FTUG

Table A1. 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey—Response Count

|  | Total | Submitted Surveys |  | Included in Norms | Not Included in Norms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participating institutions |  | 30,956 | -- | 19,275 | 11,681 |
| Randomly selected faculty | 8,853 | 1,242 | 14.0\% | 687 | 555 |
| Supplemental sample of prior respondents Four-year institutions 2004-2005 HERI Faculty Survey 2007-2008 HERI Faculty Survey Subtotal | $\begin{array}{r} 15,252 \\ 6,553 \\ 21,805 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,055 \\ & 2,027 \\ & 5,082 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.0 \% \\ & 30.9 \% \\ & 23.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,271 \\ & 1,591 \\ & 3,862 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 784 \\ 436 \\ 1,220 \end{array}$ |
| Supplemental sample of prior respondents Two-year institutions 2004-2005 HERI Faculty Survey 2007-2008 HERI Faculty Survey Subtotal | $\begin{array}{r} 1,559 \\ 238 \\ 1,797 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 213 \\ 60 \\ 273 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7 \% \\ & 25.2 \% \\ & 15.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 213 \\ 60 \\ 273 \end{array}$ |
| Targeted STEM faculty | 2,131 | 380 | 17.8\% | 0 | 380 |
| Total |  | 37,933 | -- | 23,824 | 13,729 |

Note: Participating schools do not provide HERI with the total number of people asked to participate in the survey. Therefore, no total counts are available. However, based on the number of surveys submitted from FTUG faculty and the total counts of FTUG faculty from IPEDS, HERI estimates that $39.7 \%$ of FTUG faculty from participating institutions responded to the survey.
Not included in Norms:
-Participating institutions: 11,681 responses are not included in the norms because the institution did not meet HERI's participation rates of 20\% for universities or $35 \%$ for four-year colleges.
-Randomly-selected emails: 555 responses are not included in the norms because the responses did not come from a full-time undergraduate faculty member, or fewer than 10 responses from full-time undergraduate faculty were returned from a particular institution.
-Supplemental emails from four-year institutions: 1,220 responses are not included in the norms because the respondents were not full-time undergraduate faculty or had one or more items missing from the regression.
-Responses from two-year institutions and targeted STEM emails are not included in the norms.
faculty. Participating universities were required to have surveyed at least $20 \% .^{1}$

In addition to responses from participating institutions, HERI received supplemental responses from faculty at non-participating institutions. ${ }^{2}$ Because the supplemental sample was based on individual respondents rather than all faculty from an institution, the standard method of inclusion in the normative sample did not apply. HERI solicited supplemental responses from randomly-selected faculty and from faculty

[^4]who had previously responded to either the 2004-2005 or the 2007-2008 Faculty Survey. In either case, only full-time faculty from fouryear colleges and universities were included in the norms sample. For those in the randomlyselected population, only FTUG faculty from institutions with 10 or more responses were included in the norms sample. For those who had previously responded to the faculty survey, the respondent must have also answered all items included in the regression (see section "First Weight—Supplemental Sample of Prior Respondents," below).

As Table A1 shows, participating institutions had a much higher participation rate (39.7\%) compared to the randomly-selected faculty group ( $14.0 \%$ ) and the supplemental sample of prior respondents from four-year institutions (23.3\%).

The institutional participation rate should not be confused with response rate. An institution's response rate depends on the number of returned surveys among the faculty sampled by an institution. If an institution samples only a small proportion of its full-time undergraduate faculty, it might not meet the norms requirements of $20 \%$ of FTUG surveyed, even if it had a very high response rate.

## The 2010-2011 Data

Although 37,933 respondents at 498 colleges and universities returned their forms in time for their data to be included in the norms sample, the normative data presented here are based on responses from 23,824 FTUG faculty from 417 baccalaureate institutions that fit the above norms sample criteria.

In every administration of the Faculty Survey save one (1992-1993), HERI has created a supplemental sample to enhance the number of respondents from the types of institutions that participated at a lower rate than others: for example, large public colleges and universities. In addition to the 208 participating institutions, HERI received responses from faculty at 290 supplemental institutions. Table A2 shows the total number of institutions together with the total in the survey sample and norms sample for each cell by sample type. Table A3 indicates the same, but for FTUG faculty.

## Weighting the Sample

In order to approximate as closely as possible the results that would have been obtained if all college and university teaching faculty in all institutions had responded to the survey, a three-stage weighting procedure was employed.

It should be noted that the first stage of the weighting procedure is based on the assumption that the population of which the weights are an estimate is the total number of FTUG faculty at each institution. Because the supplemental sample of prior respondents was not based on institutions, a different method of producing the first-stage weight was required. In both instances, the first weight is designed to adjust for response bias either within participating institutions or within the supplemental sample. Accordingly, the discussion below breaks out the procedure by sample type.

## First Weight—Participating Institutions and Randomly Selected Faculty

The FTUG faculty at each participating institution were sorted into eight categories representing combinations of gender (male or female) and rank (professor, associate professor, assistant professor, and all other ranks). The ratio between the total number of faculty in the institution and the number of respondents in each category was used as the first weight. This within-institution weight, which is designed to correct for any response bias related to the gender or rank of the faculty member, adjusts the total number of respondents up to the total number of faculty at the institution. ${ }^{3}$ The same weighting methodology was applied to the supplemental sample of randomly selected faculty in the norms sample.

[^5]Table A2. 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey—Institutions

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Typ |  |  |  | Included in No | ms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institution Type | Strat Cell | Sele | tivity | Population | Participating Institutions | Supplemental Sample | Randomly Selected | Total | Participating Institutions | Supplemental Sample | Randomly Selected | Total |
| Public | 1 | low | 800-1069 | 57 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 12 |
| Public <br> Universities | 2 | medium | 1070-1150 | 60 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 13 |
|  | 3 | high | 1151-1600 | 53 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 8 |
|  | 4 | medium | 800-1159 | 34 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 16 |
| Private <br> Universities | 5 | high | 1160-1299 | 31 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 11 |
| Universities | 6 | very high | 1300-1600 | 33 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 |
| Public | 7,10 | low | 800-974 | 133 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 36 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 29 |
| 4-year | 8 | medium | 975-1034 | 131 | 16 | 18 | 7 | 41 | 12 | 18 | 6 | 36 |
| Colleges | 9 | high | 1035-1600 | 103 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 30 | 12 | 16 | 0 | 28 |
| Private | 11,15 | low | 800-999 | 118 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 12 |
| Nonsectarian | 12 | medium | 1000-1084 | 72 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 14 |
| 4-year | 13 | high | 1085-1214 | 72 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 27 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 26 |
| Colleges | 14 | very high | 1215-1600 | 69 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 36 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 35 |
| Catholic | 16,19 | low | 800-984 | 44 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 16 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 11 |
| 4-year | 17 | medium | 985-1070 | 53 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 17 |
| Colleges | 18 | high | 1071-1600 | 53 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 20 |
| Other | 20,24 | very low | 800-989 | 136 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 15 |
| Religious | 21 | low | 990-1034 | 110 | 9 | 15 | 12 | 36 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 25 |
| 4-year | 22 | medium | 1035-1109 | 77 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 32 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 25 |
| Colleges | 23 | high | 1110-1600 | 114 | 38 | 19 | 3 | 60 | 34 | 19 | 1 | 54 |
| All Institutions |  |  |  | 1,553 | 208 | 211 | 79 | 498 | 180 | 209 | 28 | 417 |

Note:
The broad categories of Institution Control (i.e., public, private, and religious affiliation) are defined by data submitted to Integrated Postsecondary Educational Data System (IPEDS).
Universities are those institutions defined by 2010 Carnegie Basic Classification as Research Universities or "Doctoral/Research Universities."
-Selectivity is based on median SAT Verbal + Math scores and/or ACT composite scores of the entering first-time freshman class as reported to IPEDS. Institutions with unknown selectivity are grouped with the low-selectivity institutions when computing National Norms. The stratification design presented here is used to group schools to develop population weights and should not be used as a measure of institutional or program quality.
-Two-year colleges are not included in the norms sample.

Table A3. 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey—Full-time Undergraduate Faculty

| Institution Type | Strat Cell | Selectivity |  | Population |  |  | Included in Norms |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | Men | Women | Participating Institutions | Supplemental Sample | Randomly Selected | Total |
|  | 1 | low | 800-1069 | 44,253 | 57.3\% | 42.7\% | 262 | 286 | 47 | 595 |
| Universities | 2 | medium | 1070-1150 | 79,543 | 61.7\% | 38.3\% | 1,541 | 203 | 25 | 1,769 |
| Universities | 3 | high | 1151-1600 | 104,673 | 63.9\% | 36.1\% | 757 | 326 | 54 | 1,137 |
|  |  | medium | 800-1159 | 11,191 | 51.0\% | 49.0\% | 954 | 115 | 26 | 1,095 |
| Private <br> Universities | 5 | high | 1160-1299 | 25,114 | 61.6\% | 38.4\% | 1,302 | 129 | 0 | 1,431 |
| Universities | 6 | very high | 1300-1600 | 58,709 | 66.4\% | 33.6\% | 170 | 118 | 238 | 526 |
| Public | 7,10 | low | 800-974 | 30,041 | 54.3\% | 45.7\% | 1,078 | 242 | 48 | 1,368 |
| 4-year | 8 | medium | 975-1034 | 37,794 | 53.6\% | 46.4\% | 1,483 | 393 | 119 | 1,995 |
| Colleges | 9 | high | 1035-1600 | 33,141 | 56.4\% | 43.6\% | 1,766 | 358 | 0 | 2,124 |
| Private | 11,15 | low | 800-999 | 8,314 | 53.8\% | 46.2\% | 224 | 44 | 11 | 279 |
| Nonsectarian | 12 | medium | 1000-1084 | 9,767 | 53.4\% | 46.6\% | 387 | 46 | 60 | 493 |
| 4-year | 13 | high | 1085-1214 | 9,605 | 52.3\% | 47.7\% | 940 | 160 | 0 | 1,100 |
| Colleges | 14 | very high | 1215-1600 | 13,238 | 57.9\% | 42.1\% | 2,005 | 390 | 0 | 2,395 |
| Catholic | 16,19 | low | 800-984 | 2,723 | 39.1\% | 60.9\% | 166 | 66 | 0 | 232 |
| 4-year | 17 | medium | 985-1070 | 5,832 | 45.4\% | 54.6\% | 487 | 79 | 0 | 566 |
| Colleges | 18 | high | 1071-1600 | 11,134 | 55.8\% | 44.2\% | 1,076 | 216 | 0 | 1,292 |
| Other | 20,24 | very low | 800-989 | 6,703 | 56.6\% | 43.4\% | 169 | 73 | 10 | 252 |
| Religious | 21 | low | 990-1034 | 7,392 | 55.2\% | 44.8\% | 506 | 132 | 39 | 677 |
| 4-year | 22 | medium | 1035-1109 | 8,262 | 59.8\% | 40.2\% | 900 | 159 | 0 | 1,059 |
| Colleges | 23 | high | 1110-1600 | 15,578 | 58.3\% | 41.7\% | 3,102 | 327 | 10 | 3,439 |
| All Institutions |  |  |  | 523,007 | 59.5\% | 40.5\% | 19,275 | 3,862 | 687 | 23,824 |

Note:
The broad categories of Institution Control (i.e., public, private, and religious affiliation) are defined by data submitted to Integrated Postsecondary Educational Data System (IPEDS). Universities are those institutions defined by 2010 Carnegie Basic Classification as "Research Universities" or "Doctoral/Research Universities."
-Selectivity is based on median SAT Verbal + Math scores and/or ACT composite scores of the entering first-time freshman class as reported to IPEDS. Institutions with unknown selectivity are grouped with the low-selectivity institutions when computing National Norms. The stratification design presented here is used to group schools to develop population weights and should not be used as a measure of institutional or program quality.
-Two-year colleges are not included in the norms sample.

## First Weight—Supplemental Sample of Prior Respondents

The creation of a first weight for the supplemental sample of prior respondents presented a challenge and an opportunity. On the one hand, there was no formal unit of population, as was the case for the participating institutions, since membership in this sample did not depend on where the faculty member taught, but rather on the fact that he or she participated in a previous administration of the faculty survey. On the other hand, HERI did have considerable information about the 21,805 prior respondents based on the data collected from their previous participation. Using these data, profiles could be developed for those in the sampled pool.

Using logistic regression, HERI developed a regression equation that estimated the probability that all prior respondents would respond to the 2010-2011 Faculty Survey. Separate regressions were computed for each of the eight gender and rank combinations. The dependent variable of the regression was whether or not the faculty responded, and the independent variables were as follows:

## Race/Ethnicity

American Indian/Alaska Native
Asian American/Native Hawaiian/
Pacific Islander
African American/Black
Hispanic (Mexican/Puerto Rican/Other Latino)
White/Caucasian (reference category)
Other
Two or more race/ethnicity

## Institution Type

Public universities
Private universities
Public 4-year colleges
Private nonsectarian 4-year colleges
(reference category)

Catholic 4-year colleges
Other religious 4-year colleges

## Year of Appointment

Within past years
$1-5$ years ago (reference category)
6-10 years ago
11-15 years ago
16-20 years ago
21-25 years ago
26-30 years ago
31-35 years ago
More than 35 years ago

## Tenure Status

Tenured (reference category)
On track, but not tenured
Not on track, but institution offers
No tenure system

## Overall Job Satisfaction

Not applicable
Not satisfied
Marginally satisfied
Satisfied
Very satisfied
After completing the regression, HERI examined the supplemental sample of FTUG faculty. Using the equation developed during the regression run, the likelihood that the faculty member was to respond based on his or her characteristics was computed. The reciprocal of the computed probability was used as the first weight. Thus, a respondent with an $80 \%$ probability of response would receive a weight of $1 / 0.8$, or 1.25 .

## Second Weight

The second weight was designed to correct for between-stratification cell differences in institutional participation. To develop the second set of weights, institutions were sorted
into 20 stratification cells based on type (four-year college, university), control (public, private-nonsectarian, Roman Catholic, other religious), and selectivity. Within each of these stratification cells, faculty in all institutions in the population were sorted into the same eight gender-by-rank categories described above.

Each cell had two values: (1) denominator, the weighted sum of the norms sample of FTUG faculty respondents; and (2) numerator, the total FTUG faculty counts from IPEDS. The ratio of the total FTUG counts and weighted respondent count became the second weight.

## Final Weight

The third and final weight (i.e., the poststratification weight) was designed to improve the precision of the estimate beyond the product of the first and second weights. HERI determined that applying the third weight was necessary to correct for the under-sampling of newlyhired faculty.

The first step was to determine the previous distribution of FTUG faculty from the 1989 to 2004 Faculty Surveys. The distribution for the previous norms samples was based on the 432 possible combinations of the following variables:

1. Year of appointment: within past year, $1-5$ years ago, 6-10 years ago, $11-15$ years ago, 16-20 years ago, 21-25 years ago, 26-30 years ago, 31-35 years ago, more than 35 years ago.
2. Institution type and control: public university, private university, public 4-year college, nonsectarian 4-year college, Roman Catholic 4-year college, other religious 4-year college.
3. Rank: professor, associate professor, assistant professor, lecturer/instructor/other.
4. Gender: men, women.

The second step was to determine the distribution from the current norms sample based on the same 432 combinations noted in the first step. In both steps, the distributions were weighted by the product of the first and second weights (i.e., the response bias weight and the institution type-selectivity weight).

The final step was to adjust the 2010-2011 population to more closely approximate the distribution from previous administrations. To accomplish this, the ratio of the distributions from the first step by the second step became the third and final weight.

## Defining Full-time Undergraduate Faculty

Only full-time employees who were engaged in teaching undergraduates were included in the normative data reported here. Part-time employees, full-time researchers, or faculty members who teach only at the postgraduate level have been excluded. More specifically, a respondent was included in the normative data if one of the following conditions were met:

1. Responded "yes" or did not respond as to whether they were a full-time employee (question 2) and indicated that they taught at least one undergraduate-level course (i.e., general education course, course required for undergraduate major, other undergraduate credit course, developmental/remedial course [not for credit], or non-credit course [other than above]-question 11a).
2. Responded "yes" that they were a full-time employee (question 2) and indicated that they primarily taught undergraduate credit courses (question 11k).
3. Did not respond that they were a full-time employee (question 2) and responded that they taught no courses this term or did not respond to the number of courses to this
question (question 11) and indicated that they primarily taught undergraduate credit courses (question 11 k ) and indicated that they were scheduled to teach nine hours or more per week during the present term (question 22).
4. Responded "yes" or did not respond as to whether they were a full-time employee (question 2) and did not respond to the number of courses that they taught this term (question 11) and did not respond as to the type of courses that they primarily teach (question 11 k ) and indicated that they were scheduled to teach nine hours or more per week during the present term (question 22).

## CIRP Constructs

CIRP Constructs represent sets of related survey items that measure an underlying trait or aspect of the faculty experience. Item Response Theory (IRT), a modern psychometric method that has several advantages over methods used in more traditional factor analysis, is used to create a construct score for each respondent. (Sharkness, DeAngelo, \& Pryor, 2010; Sharkness \& DeAngelo, 2011). Computing an individual's construct score in IRT involves deriving a maximum likelihood score estimate based on the pattern of responses to the entire set of survey items for that construct (or to a subset of the items that were answered). Items that tap into the trait more effectively are given greater weight in the estimation process (see Table A4). A respondent's construct score is thus not a
simple arithmetic mean or weighted sum, but rather the estimated score that is most likely, given how the faculty answered the set of items. CIRP Constructs are scored on a Z-score metric and rescaled for a mean of approximately 50 and standard deviation of 10 . The low, average, and high construct score group percentages and the mean for the construct are reported here. Low scores represent faculty who are one-half standard deviation below the mean. Average scores represent faculty whose scores are within onehalf standard deviation of the mean. High scores represent faculty who are one-half standard deviation or more above the mean. Please visit HERI's website for more detailed information.

CIRP Construct Technical Report:
www.heri.ucla.edu/PDFs/constructs/ technicalreport.pdf
Faculty Survey Construct Parameters: www.heri.ucla.edu/PDFs/constructs/ FAC2010Appendix.pdf
IRT article in Research in Higher Education www.heri.ucla.edu/PDFs/pubs/journals/ MeasuringStudentInvolvement.pdf
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Table A4. List of HERI Faculty Survey Constructs (including survey items and estimation "weights")

Student-Centered Pedagogy measures the extent to which faculty use student-centered teaching and evaluation methods in their course instruction.
In how many of the courses that you teach do you use each of the following?

- Cooperative learning (small groups) (2.30)
- Student presentations (1.85)
- Group projects (1.82)
- Class discussions (1.70)
- Student evaluations of each others' work (1.53)

Undergraduate Education Goal: Personal Development measures the extent to which faculty believe that personal development is a central goal for undergraduate education.
Indicate the importance to you of each of the following education goals for undergraduate students:

- Help students develop personal values (4.92)
- Develop moral character (2.87)
- Provide for students' emotional development (2.91)
- Enhance students' self-understanding (2.65)

Scholarly Productivity is a unified measure of the scholarly activity of faculty.
How many of the following have you published?

- Articles in academic and professional journals (3.09)
- Chapters in edited volumes (2.11)
- How many of your professional writings have been published or accepted for publication in the last two years (2.53)
Civic Minded Practice is a unified measure of faculty involvement in civic activities.
- Collaborated with the local community in research/teaching (1.87)
- Engaged in public service/professional consulting without pay? (1.51)
- Do you use your scholarship to address local community needs? (1.78)
- Community or public service (1.35)
- Community service as part of coursework (1.64)
- Advised student groups involved in service/volunteer work (1.33)

Civic Minded Values-A unified measure of the extent to which faculty believe civic engagement is a central part of the college mission.

- Encourage students to become agents of social change (2.37)
- Colleges should encourage students to be involved in community service activities (2.22)
- Instill in students a commitment to community service (2.15)
- Colleges should be actively involved in solving social problems (1.75)
- Colleges have a responsibility to work with their surrounding communities to address local issues (1.64)

Job Satisfaction: Workplace is a unified measure of the extent to which faculty are satisfied with their working environment.
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job?

- Professional relationships with other faculty (2.55) • Departmental leadership (1.51)
- Competency of colleagues (1.92)
- Autonomy and independence (1.57)

Job Satisfaction: Compensation is a unified measure of the extent to which faculty are satisfied with their compensation packages
How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job?

- Opportunity for scholarly pursuits (2.18) • Teaching load (1.27)
- Retirement benefits (1.48) • Job security (1.26)
- Salary (1.40)
- Prospects for career advancement (1.25)

Table A4. List of HERI Faculty Survey Constructs (continued) (including survey items and estimation "weights")

## Career Related Stress measures the amount of stress faculty experience related to their career.

Please indicate the extent to which each of the following has been a source of stress for you during the last two years:

- Lack of personal time (1.52)
- Colleagues (1.14)
- Teaching load (1.38)
- Research or publishing demands (1.13)
- Committee work (1.25)
- Self-imposed high expectations (1.09)
- Institutional procedures/red tape (1.17)
- Students (1.08)

Institutional Priority: Commitment to Diversity measures the extent to which faculty believe their institution is committed to creating a diverse multicultural campus environment.
Indicate how important you believe each priority listed below is at your college or university:

- To create a diverse multicultural campus environment (3.21)
- To increase the representation of minorities in the faculty and administration (3.05)
- To recruit more minority students (2.41)
- To increase the representation of women in the faculty and administration (1.76)
- To develop an appreciation for multiculturalism (2.79)

Institutional Priority: Civic Engagement measures the extent to which faculty believe their institution is committed to facilitating civic engagement among students and faculty.
Indicate how important you believe each priority listed below is at your college or university:

- To provide resources for faculty to engage in community-based - To facilitate student involvement in community service (1.2 teaching or research (4.27)
- To create and sustain partnerships with surrounding communities (2.50)

Institutional Priority: Increase Prestige measures the extent to which faculty believe their institution is committed to increasing its prestige
Indicate how important you believe each priority listed below is at your college or university:

- To increase or maintain institutional prestige (3.54)
- To hire faculty "stars" (1.47)
- To enhance the institution's national image (3.43)

Social Agency measures the extent to which faculty value political and social involvement as a personal goal.
Indicate the importance to you personally of each of the following:

- Participating in a community action program (2.32)
- Helping to promote racial understanding (1.40)
- Becoming a community leader (1.84)
- Keeping up to date with political affairs (1.06)
- Influencing social values (1.49)


## APPENDIX B

## 2010-2011 HERI <br> Faculty Survey Questionnaire

NOTE: The 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey is a web-based survey and therefore this document does not reflect the web-based formatting.

1. What is your principal activity in your current position at this institution?

Administration
Teaching
Research
Services to clients and patients
Other
2. Are you considered a full-time employee of your institution for at least nine months of the current academic year?

Yes No

These questions will only be included for part-time faculty.
2a. If given the choice, I would prefer to work full-time at this institution.
Yes No
2b. Have you ever sought a full-time teaching position at this or another institution?
Yes No
IF YES, NESTED ITEM
2bi. How long ago did you pursue a full-time position?
Currently seeking a position
Within the last year
1 to 2 years ago
3 to 5 years ago
More than 5 years ago
2c. My full time professional career is outside academia.
Yes No
2d. In considering your reasons for teaching part-time at this institution, please indicate your agreement with the following statements:
(Responses: Agree Strongly, Agree Somewhat, Disagree Somewhat, Disagree Strongly)
My part-time position is an important source of income for me
Compensation is not a major consideration in my decision to teach part-time
Part-time teaching is a stepping-stone to a full-time position
My part-time position provides benefits (e.g., health insurance, retirement, etc.)
that I need
Teaching part-time fits my current lifestyle
Full-time positions were not available
My expertise in my chosen profession is relevant to the course(s) I teach
2e. Mark all institutional resources available to you in your last term as part-time faculty.
(Mark all that apply)
Use of private office
Shared office space
A personal computer
An email account
A phone/voicemail
2f. Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:
(Responses: Agree Strongly, Agree Somewhat, Disagree Somewhat, Disagree Strongly)
Part-time instructors at this institution:
Are given specific training before teaching
Rarely get hired into full-time positions
Receive respect from students
Are primarily responsible for introductory classes
Have no guarantee of employment security
Have access to support services
Are compensated for advising/counseling students
Are required to attend meetings
Have good working relationships with the administration
Are respected by full-time faculty
2g. Besides this institution, at how many other institutions do you teach (e.g., 0, 1, 2, 3, etc.)?
3. What is your present academic rank?

```
Professor
Associate Professor
Assistant Professor
Lecturer
```

Instructor
4. What is your tenure status at this institution?

Tenured
On tenure track, but not tenured
Not on tenure track, but institution has tenure system
Institution has no tenure system

## COMMUNITY COLLEGE

These questions will only be included for community colleges, and will replace questions 3 and 4 when the survey is used by community colleges.
3. What is your current status at this institution?
Tenured
Probationary, Tenure Track
Renewable Contract Instructor (e.g., Adjunct)
4. What is your academic rank at this institution?

Acting Instructor
Instructor
Assistant Professor
Associate Professor
Professor
Emeritus
5. Are you currently serving in an administrative position as: (Mark all that apply)

Department chair
Dean (Associate or Assistant)
President
Vice-President
Provost
Other
Not Applicable
6. On the following list, please mark one in each column:

Highest Degree Earned
Degree Currently Working On
Bachelor's (B.A., B.S., etc.)
Master's (M.A., M.S., M.F.A., M.B.A., etc.)
LL.B., J.D.
M.D., D.D.S. (or equivalent)

Other first professional degree beyond B.A. (e.g., D.D., D.V.M.)
Ed.D.
Ph.D.
Other degree
None
7. From what higher education institution did you receive your Bachelor's Degree?
(Please enter complete Institution Name and City)
Institution Name
City State (Drop down)
Country (Drop down) $\qquad$
8. From what higher education institution did you receive your highest degree?
(Please enter complete Institution Name and City)
Institution Name
City
State (Drop down)
Country (Drop down)
9. Personally, how important to you is:
(Responses: Essential, Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not Important)
Research
Teaching
Service
10. During the past two years, have you engaged in any of the following activities?
(Responses: Yes, No)
Taught an honors course
Taught an interdisciplinary course
Taught an ethnic studies course
Taught a women's studies course
Taught a service learning course
Taught an exclusively web-based course at this institution
Participated in a teaching enhancement workshop
Advised student groups involved in service/volunteer work
Collaborated with the local community in research/teaching
Conducted research or writing focused on:
International/global issues
Racial or ethnic minorities
Women and gender issues
Engaged undergraduates on your research project
Worked with undergraduates on a research project
Engaged in academic research that spans multiple disciplines
Taught a seminar for first-year students
Taught a capstone course
Taught in a learning community (e.g., FIG, linked courses)
Supervised an undergraduate thesis
Published op-ed pieces or editorials
Received funding for your work from:
Foundations
State or federal government
Business or industry
11. How many courses are you teaching this term (include all institutions at which you teach)?
(e.g., 0, 1, 2, 3, etc.)

IF response to question 11 is greater than or equal to one, populate 11a-11j based on
response-NESTED
11a-11j Course 1 (up to 10 courses)
i. Type of Course:

General education course
Course required for an undergraduate major
Other undergraduate credit course
Developmental/remedial course (not for credit)
Non-credit course (other than above)
Graduate course
ii. How many students are enrolled in this course?
iii. Does this course have a teaching/lab assistant or reader/grader assigned?

Yes No
iv. Where do you teach this course?

At this institution
At another institution
IF response to question 11 is 0 or Missing
11 k . What types of courses do you primarily teach?
Undergraduate credit courses
Graduate courses
Non-credit courses
I do not teach
12. Do you teach remedial/developmental skills in any of the following areas? (Mark all that apply)

Reading
Writing
Mathematics
ESL
General academic skills
Other subject areas
13. Have you engaged in any of the following professional development opportunities at your institution?
(Responses: Yes, No, Not eligible, Not available)
Paid workshops outside the institution focused on teaching
Paid sabbatical leave
Travel funds paid by the institution
Internal grants for research
Training for administrative leadership
Received incentives to develop new courses
Received incentives to integrate new technology into your classroom
14. How many of the following have you published?
(Responses: None, 1-2, 3-4, 5-10, 11-20, 21-50, 51+)
Articles in academic or professional journals
Chapters in edited volumes
Books, manuals, or monographs
Other, such as patents, or computer software products
15. How many exhibitions or performances in the fine or applied arts have you presented in the last two years?
(Responses: None, 1-2, 3-4, 5-10, 11-20, 21-50, 51+)
16. How many of your professional writings have been published or accepted for publication in the last two years?
(Responses: None, 1-2, 3-4, 5-10, 11-20, 21-50, 51+)
17. Please indicate the extent to which you:
(Responses: To a Great Extent, To Some Extent, Not at All)
Feel that the training you received in graduate school prepared you well for your role as a faculty member
Achieve a healthy balance between your personal life and your professional life
Experience close alignment between your work and your personal values
Feel that you have to work harder than your colleagues to be perceived as a legitimate scholar
Mentor new faculty
18. In your interactions with undergraduates, how often do you encourage them to:
(Responses: Frequently, Occasionally, Not at all)
Ask questions in class
Support their opinions with a logical argument
Seek solutions to problems and explain them to others
Revise their papers to improve their writing
Evaluate the quality or reliability of information they receive
Take risks for potential gains
Seek alternative solutions to a problem
Look up scientific research articles and resources
Explore topics on their own, even though it was not required for a class
Accept mistakes as part of the learning process
Seek feedback on their academic work
Integrate skills and knowledge from different sources and experiences
19. In how many of the courses that you teach do you use each of the following?
(Responses: All, Most, Some, None)
Evaluation Methods
Multiple-choice exams
Essay exams
Short-answer exams
Quizzes
Weekly essay assignments
Student presentations
Term/research papers
Student evaluations of each others' work
Grading on a curve
Competency-based grading
Instructional Techniques/Methods
Class discussions
Cooperative learning (small groups)
Experiential learning/Field studies
Teaching assistants
Recitals/Demonstrations

## Group projects

Extensive lecturing
Multiple drafts of written work
Student-selected topics for course content
Reflective writing/journaling
Community service as part of coursework
Electronic quizzes with immediate feedback in class
Using real-life problems
Using student inquiry to drive learning
20. Indicate the importance to you personally of each of the following:
(Responses: Essential, Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not Important)
Becoming an authority in my field
Influencing the political structure
Influencing social values
Raising a family
Becoming very well off financially
Helping others who are in difficulty
Adopting "green" practices to protect the environment
Developing a meaningful philosophy of life
Helping to promote racial understanding
Integrating spirituality into my life
Making a theoretical contribution to science
Participating in a community action program
Keeping up to date with political affairs
Becoming a community leader
Mentoring the next generation of scholars
21. Indicate the importance to you of each of the following education goals for undergraduate students:
(Responses: Essential, Very Important, Somewhat Important, Not important)
Develop ability to think critically
Prepare students for employment after college
Prepare students for graduate or advanced education
Develop moral character
Provide for students' emotional development
Teach students the classic works of Western civilization
Help students develop personal values
Enhance students' self-understanding
Instill in students a commitment to community service
Enhance students' knowledge of and appreciation for other racial/ethnic groups
Help master knowledge in a discipline
Develop creative capacities
Instill a basic appreciation of the liberal arts
Promote ability to write effectively
Help students evaluate the quality and reliability of information
Engage students in civil discourse around controversial issues
Teach students tolerance and respect for different beliefs
Encourage students to become agents of social change
22. During the present term, how many hours per week on average do you actually spend on each of the following activities?
(Responses: None, 1-4, 5-8, 9-12, 13-16, 17-20, 21-34, 35-44, 45+)
Scheduled teaching (give actual, not credit hours)
Preparing for teaching (including reading student papers and grading)
Advising and counseling of students
Committee work and meetings
Other administration
Research and scholarly writing
Other creative products/performances
Consultation with clients/patients
Community or public service
Outside consulting/freelance work
Household/childcare duties
Commuting to campus
Other employment, outside of academia
23. For each of the following items, please mark either Yes or No.
(Responses: Yes, No)
Are you a member of a faculty union?
Are you a U.S. citizen?
Do you plan to retire within the next three years?
Do you use your scholarship to address local community needs?
Have you been sexually harassed at this institution?
Have you ever interrupted your professional career for more than one year for family reasons?
Have you ever received an award for outstanding teaching?
Is (or was) your spouse/partner an academic?
24. During the past two years, have you:
(Responses: Yes, No)
Considered early retirement?
Considered leaving academe for another job?
Considered leaving this institution for another?
Changed academic institutions?
Engaged in paid consulting outside of your institution?
Engaged in public service/professional consulting without pay?
Received at least one firm job offer?
Requested/sought an early promotion?
25. If you were to begin your career again, would you:
(Responses: Definitely yes, Probably yes, Not sure, Probably no, Definitely no)
Still want to come to this institution?
Still want to be a college professor?
26. Indicate how well each of the following describes your college or university:
(Responses: Very Descriptive, Somewhat Descriptive, Not Descriptive)
It is easy for students to see faculty outside of regular office hours
The faculty are typically at odds with campus administration
Faculty here respect each other
Most students are treated like "numbers in a book"
Faculty are rewarded for being good teachers
There is respect for the expression of diverse values and beliefs
Faculty are rewarded for their efforts to use instructional technology
Administrators consider faculty concerns when making policy
The administration is open about its policies
27. Please indicate the extent to which each of the following has been a source of stress for you during the last two years:
(Responses: Extensive, Somewhat, Not at All, Not Applicable)
Managing household responsibilities
Child care
Care of elderly parent
My physical health
Health of spouse/partner
Review/promotion process
Subtle discrimination (e.g., prejudice, racism, sexism)
Personal finances
Committee work
Faculty meetings
Colleagues
Students
Research or publishing demands
Institutional procedures and "red tape"
Teaching load
Children's problems
Friction with spouse/partner
Lack of personal time
Keeping up with information technology
Job security
Being part of a dual career couple
Working with underprepared students
Self-imposed high expectations
Change in work responsibilities
Institutional budget cuts
28. How satisfied are you with the following aspects of your job?
(Responses: Very Satisfied, Satisfied, Marginally Satisfied, Not Satisfied, Not Applicable) Salary
Health benefits
Retirement benefits
Opportunity for scholarly pursuits
Teaching load
Quality of students
Office/lab space
Autonomy and independence
Professional relationships with other faculty
Social relationships with other faculty
Competency of colleagues
Job security
Departmental leadership
Course assignments
Freedom to determine course content
Availability of child care at this institution
Prospects for career advancement
Clerical/administrative support
Overall job satisfaction
Tuition remission for your children/dependents
29. Below are some statements about your college or university. Indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following:
(Responses: Agree Strongly, Agree Somewhat, Disagree Somewhat, Disagree Strongly)
Faculty are interested in students' personal problems
Racial and ethnic diversity should be more strongly reflected in the curriculum
Faculty feel that most students are well-prepared academically
This institution should hire more faculty of color
This institution should hire more women faculty
Student Affairs staff have the support and respect of faculty
Faculty are committed to the welfare of this institution
Faculty here are strongly interested in the academic problems of undergraduates
There is a lot of campus racial conflict here
My research is valued by faculty in my department
My teaching is valued by faculty in my department
Faculty of color are treated fairly here
Women faculty are treated fairly here
Gay and lesbian faculty are treated fairly here
Faculty are sufficiently involved in campus decision making
My values are congruent with the dominant institutional values
This institution takes responsibility for educating underprepared students
The criteria for advancement and promotion decisions are clear
Most of the students I teach lack the basic skills for college level work
There is adequate support for faculty development
30. Indicate how important you believe each priority listed below is at your college or university:
(Responses: Highest Priority, High Priority, Medium Priority, Low Priority)
To promote the intellectual development of students
To develop a sense of community among students and faculty
To facilitate student involvement in community service
To help students learn how to bring about change in society
To increase or maintain institutional prestige
To hire faculty "stars"
To recruit more minority students
To enhance the institution's national image
To create a diverse multi-cultural campus environment
To promote gender equity among faculty
To provide resources for faculty to engage in community-based teaching or research
To create and sustain partnerships with surrounding communities
To pursue extramural funding
To increase the representation of minorities in the faculty and administration
To strengthen links with the for-profit, corporate sector
To develop leadership ability among students
To increase the representation of women in the faculty and administration
To develop an appreciation for multiculturalism
31. Please indicate your agreement with each of the following statements:
(Responses: Agree Strongly, Agree Somewhat, Disagree Somewhat, Disagree Strongly)
The chief benefit of a college education is that it increases one's earning power
Promoting diversity leads to the admission of too many underprepared students
Colleges should be actively involved in solving social problems
Colleges should encourage students to be involved in community service activities
A racially/ethnically diverse student body enhances the educational experience of all students
Realistically, an individual can do little to bring about changes in society
Colleges should be concerned with facilitating undergraduate students' spiritual development
Colleges have a responsibility to work with their surrounding communities to address local issues
Private funding sources often prevent researchers from being completely objective in the conduct of their work
Colleges should prohibit racist/sexist speech on campus
This institution should not offer remedial/developmental education
32. Please enter your base institutional salary (e.g., for $\$ 56,000$, please enter 56000 ).
\$ $\qquad$
33. Your base institutional salary reported above is based on:

Less than 9 months
9/10 months
11/12 months

## PART-TIME FACULTY

These questions will replace questions 32 and 33 for faculty who indicate they are part-time.
32. Please enter your total salary from teaching at this institution for this academic year (e.g., for $\$ 30,000$, please enter 30000). \$
33. How much are you paid per course at this institution (e.g., for $\$ 3,000$, please enter 3000)?

34. What percentage of your current year's income comes from:
(e.g., for $45 \%$, please enter 45-total for all responses must equal 100\%)

Base salary from this institution
Other income from this institution Income from another academic institution Non-academic income

35. Please enter the four-digit year that each of the following occurred (e.g., 1944, 2001, etc.).

Year of birth
Year of highest degree now held
Year of appointment at present institution
If tenured, year tenure was awarded
fer mop
36. Please select the most appropriate general area and disciplinary field for the following:

Major of highest degree held
Department of current faculty appointment
37. How many children do you have in the following age ranges?
(Responses: 0, 1, 2, 3, 4+)
Under 18 years old
18 years or older
38. How would you characterize your political views?

Far Left
Liberal
Middle of the Road
Conservative
Far Right
39. Are you currently: (Mark one)

Single
Married
Unmarried, living with partner
Divorced
Widowed
Separated
40. Your sex:

Male
Female
41. Is English your native language?

Yes No
42. Are you: (Mark all that apply)

White/Caucasian
African American/Black
American Indian/Alaska Native
Asian American/Asian
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
Mexican American/Chicano
Puerto Rican
Other Latino
Other
43. Do you give the Higher Education Research Institute (HERI) permission to retain your contact information (i.e., your email address and name) for possible follow-up research? HERI maintains strict standards of confidentiality and will not release your identifying information.

Yes No
If "Yes," please confirm your email address:
44 to 63. Local Optional Questions (20 total)
(Responses: A, B, C, D, E)

## General Area

 (Major/Department)> 1=Agriculture/natural resources/related 2=Architecture and related services
> 3=Area/ethnic/cultural/gender studies
> 4=Arts (visual and performing)
> $5=$ Biological and biomedical sciences
> 6=Business/management/marketing/related
> 7=Communication/journalism/comm. tech
> 8=Computer/info sciences/support tech
> $9=$ Construction trades
> 10=Education
> 11=Engineering technologies/technicians
> 12=English language and literature/letters
> 13=Family/consumer sciences, human sciences
> 14=Foreign languages/literature/linguistics
> 15=Health professions/clinical sciences
> 16=Legal professions and studies

17=Library science
18=Mathematics and statistics
19=Mechanical/repair technologies/techs 20=Multi/interdisciplinary studies
21=Parks/recreation/leisure/fitness studies 22=Precision production
$23=$ Personal and culinary services
24=Philosophy, religion \& theology
25=Physical sciences
26=Psychology
27=Public administration/social services
28=Science technologies/technicians 29=Security \& protective services
$30=$ Social sciences (except psych) and history
31=Transportation \& materials moving
32=Other

Specific Discipline
(Major/Department)

0101=Agriculture and related sciences
0102=Natural resources and conservation
0103=Agriculture/natural resources/related, other

0201=Architecture and related services
0301=Area/ethnic/cultural/gender studies
0401=Art history, criticism, and conservation
0402=Design \& applied arts
0403=Drama/theatre arts and stagecraft
0404=Fine and studio art
0405=Music, general
0406=Music history, literature, and theory
0407=Commercial and advertising art
0408=Dance
0409=Film, video, and photographic arts
0410=Visual and performing arts, other
0501=Biochem/biophysics/molecular biology
0502=Botany/plant biology
0503=Genetics
0504=Microbiological sciences \& immunology
0505=Physiology, pathology \& related sciences
0506=Zoology/animal biology
0507=Biological \& biomedical sciences, other
0601=Accounting and related services
0602=Business admin/management/operations
0603=Business operations support/assistance
0604=Finance/financial management services
0605=Human resources management and svcs
0606=Marketing
0607=Management information systems/ services
0608=Business/mgt/marketing/related, other
0701=Communication/journalism/related prgms
0702=Communication technologies/technicians and support svcs
0703=Communication/journalism/comm. tech, other

0801=Computer/info tech administration/mgmt
0802=Computer programming
0803=Computer science
0804=Computer software and media applications
0805=Computer systems analysis
0806=Computer systems networking/telecom
0807=Data entry/microcomputer applications
0808=Data processing
0809=Information science/studies
0810=Computer/info sci/support svcs, other
0901=Construction trades
1001=Curriculum and instruction
1002=Educational administration/supervision
1003=Educational/instructional media design
1004=Special education and teaching
1005=Student counseling/personnel services
1006=Early childhood education and teaching
1007=Elementary education and teaching
1008=Secondary education and teaching
1009=Adult and continuing education/teaching
$1010=$ Teacher ed: specific levels, other
1011=Teacher ed: specific subject areas
1012=Bilingual \& multicultural education
1013=Ed assessment
1014=Higher education
1015=Education, other
1101=Biomedical/medical engineering
1102=Chemical engineering
1103=Civil engineering
1104=Computer engineering
1105=Electrical/electronics/comms engineering
1106=Engineering technologies/technicians
1107=Environmental/environmental health eng
1108=Mechanical engineering
1109=Engineering, other
1201=English language and literature/letters

1301=Family/consumer sciences, human
1401=Foreign languages/literature/linguistics
1501=Alternative/complementary medicine/sys
1502=Chiropractic
1503=Clinical/medical lab science/allied
1504=Dental support services/allied
1505=Dentistry
1506=Health \& medical administrative services
services
1508=Allied health diagnostic, intervention,
treatment professions
1509=Medicine, including psychiatry
1511=Nursing
1512=Optometry
1513=Osteopathic medicine/osteopathy
1514=Pharmacy/pharmaceutical sciences/admin
1516=Public health
1517=Rehabilitation \& therapeutic professions
1518=Veterinary medicine
1601=Law
1602=Legal support services
1603=Legal professions and studies, other
1701=Library science
1801=Mathematics
1802=Statistics
1803=Mathematics and statistics, other
1901=Mechanical/repair technologies/techs
2001=Multi/interdisciplinary studies
2101=Parks, recreation and leisure studies
2102=Health and physical education/fitness
2103=Parks/recreation/leisure/fitness studies,
other

2401=Philosophy
2402=Religion/religious studies
$2403=$ Theology and religious vocations
2404=Philosophy, religion \& theology, other
2501=Astronomy \& astrophysics
2502=Atmospheric sciences and meteorology
2503=Chemistry
2504=Geological \& earth sciences/geosciences
2505=Physics
2506=Physical sciences, other
2601=Behavioral psychology
2602=Clinical psychology
2603=Education/school psychology
2604=Psychology, other
2701=Public administration
2702=Social work
2703=Public administration \& social svcs other
2801=Science technologies/technicians
2901=Corrections
2902=Criminal justice
2903=Fire protection
2904=Police science
2905=Security and protective services, other
3001=Anthropology (except psychology)
3002=Archeology
3003=Criminology
3004=Demography \& population studies
3005=Economics
3006=Geography \& cartography
3007=History
3008=International relations \& affairs
3009=Political science and government
3010=Sociology
3011=Urban studies/affairs
3012=Social sciences, other
3101=Transportation and materials moving
3201=Other

## APPENDIX C

## Institutions Participating in the 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey

## 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey—Survey Participants

For list of participating institutions since 1989, visit www.heri.ucla.edu

| Public Universities |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| East Carolina University | NC |
| Florida International University* | FL |
| Miami University-Oxford* | OH |
| South Dakota State University* | SD |
| Texas A \& M University-Corpus Christi* | TX |
| Texas Southern University | TX |
| The University of Montana* | MT |
| University of Cincinnati-Main Campus* | OH |
| University of Colorado at Boulder | CO |
| University of Idaho* | ID |
| University of Nebraska at Omaha* | NE |
| University of North Dakota | ND |
| University of Northern Colorado* | CO |
| University of Oklahoma Norman Campus* | OK |
| University of Rhode Island* | RI |
| Cleveland State University** | OH |
| Florida State University** | FL |
| North Dakota State University-Main Campus** | ND |
| Northern Arizona University** | AZ |
| Oakland University** | MI |
| Ohio State University-Main Campus** | OH |
| Purdue University-Main Campus** | IN |
| Southern Illinois University Carbondale** | IL |
| The University of Alabama** | AL |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio** | TX |
| University of Arkansas at Little Rock** | AR |
| University of California-Los Angeles** | CA |
| University of California-Santa Cruz** | CA |
| University of Connecticut-Storrs** | CT |
| University of Louisiana at Lafayette** | LA |
| University of Massachusetts-Boston** | MA |
| University of Missouri-Kansas City** | MO |
| University of North Carolina at Charlotte** | NC |
| University of Oregon** | OR |
| University of Vermont** | VT |
| Utah State University** | UT |
| Wayne State University** | MI |

Private Universities
Adelphi University* ..... NY
American University* ..... DC
Benedictine University* ..... IL
Brigham Young University* ..... UT
Catholic University of America* ..... DC
Drexel University* ..... PA
Duquesne University* ..... PA
Immaculata University* ..... PA
Northeastern University* ..... MA
Pace University-New York* ..... NY
Pepperdine University* ..... CA
Polytechnic Institute of New York University ..... NY
Regent University* ..... VA
St. John's University-New York* ..... NY
Syracuse University ..... NY
Tufts University* ..... MA
University of the Pacific ..... CA
Wake Forest University ..... NC
Widener University-Main Campus* ..... PA
Anderson University** ..... IN
Biola University** ..... CA
Boston College** ..... MA
Carnegie Mellon University** ..... PA
Clark University** ..... MA
Edgewood College** ..... WI
Howard University** ..... DC
Johns Hopkins University** ..... MD
Loyola University Chicago** ..... IL
Marquette University** ..... WI
Massachusetts Institute of Technology** ..... MA
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute** ..... NY
Rice University** ..... TX
Saint John Fisher College** ..... NY
Saint Mary's University of Minnesota** ..... MN
Seton Hall University** ..... NJ
Southern Methodist University** ..... TX
University of Chicago** ..... IL
University of Pennsylvania** ..... PA
University of San Francisco** ..... CA
University of Southern California** ..... CA
University of St. Thomas-St. Paul** ..... MN

For list of participating institutions since 1989, visit www.heri.ucla.edu

| Public 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| California State University-Fresno* | CA |
| California State University-Fullerton* | CA |
| Christopher Newport University* | VA |
| Coppin State University* | MD |
| Dickinson State University* | ND |
| Eastern Kentucky University* | KY |
| Fort Hays State University* | KS |
| Georgia College \& State University* | GA |
| Grand Valley State University* | MI |
| Indiana University-Purdue University-Fort Wayne* | IN |
| Indiana University-Southeast* | IN |
| Lander University* | SC |
| Mayville State University* | ND |
| Metropolitan State University* | MN |
| Minot State University | ND |
| Missouri Western State University* | MO |
| Montclair State University | NJ |
| Northeastern Illinois University | IL |
| Pennsylvania State UniversityPenn State Erie-Behrend College* | PA |
| Ramapo College of New Jersey* | NJ |
| Rhode Island College* | RI |
| San Francisco State University | CA |
| San Jose State University | CA |
| Southeast Missouri State University* | MO |
| Southern Illinois University Edwardsville | IL |
| Southern Oregon University* | OR |
| Southern Utah University* | UT |
| SUNY at Geneseo* | NY |
| SUNY College at Old Westbury* | NY |
| SUNY Empire State College* | NY |
| University of Central Missouri* | MO |
| University of North Carolina at Asheville* | NC |
| University of South Carolina-Aiken* | SC |
| University of Wisconsin-Green Bay* | WI |
| University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point* | WI |
| Utah Valley University* | UT |
| Valley City State University* | ND |
| Weber State University* | UT |
| West Texas A \& M University* | TX |
| Western Washington University* | WA |
| Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| California State University-Bakersfield** | CA |
| California State University-Los Angeles** | CA |
| California State University-Northridge** | CA |
| California University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Central Washington University** | WA |
| Clarion University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Clayton State University** | GA |
| College of Charleston** | SC |
| Colorado State University-Pueblo** | CO |
| East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Eastern Connecticut State University** | CT |
| Eastern Michigan University** | MI |


| Public 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Eastern New Mexico University-Main Campus** | NM |
| Florida Gulf Coast University** | FL |
| Fort Lewis College** | CO |
| Frostburg State University** | MD |
| Humboldt State University** | CA |
| Indiana University-Kokomo** | IN |
| Kutztown University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Lincoln University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Lock Haven University** | PA |
| Longwood University** | VA |
| Mansfield University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Millersville University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Minnesota State University-Moorhead** | MN |
| New College of Florida** | FL |
| Norfolk State University** | VA |
| Northeastern State University** | OK |
| Northern Kentucky University** | KY |
| Purdue University-North Central Campus** | IN |
| Radford University** | VA |
| Saginaw Valley State University** | MI |
| Saint Cloud State University** | MN |
| Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Slippery Rock University of Pennsylvania** | PA |
| Sonoma State University** | CA |
| Southern Connecticut State University** | CT |
| SUNY at Purchase College** | NY |
| Texas State University-San Marcos** | TX |
| The Richard Stockton College of New Jersey** | NJ |
| The University of Tennessee at Chattanooga** | TN |
| Truman State University** | MO |
| University of Central Oklahoma** | OK |
| University of Colorado at Colorado Springs** | CO |
| University of Massachusetts-Dartmouth** | MA |
| University of Michigan-Dearborn** | MI |
| University of Michigan-Flint** | MI |
| University of Minnesota-Morris** | MN |
| University of Nebraska at Kearney** | NE |
| University of North Carolina-Wilmington** | NC |
| University of Pittsburgh-Bradford** | PA |
| University of South Carolina-Upstate** | SC |
| University of Southern Indiana** | IN |
| University of West Georgia** | GA |
| University of Wisconsin-Stout** | WI |
| Washburn University** | KS |
| Western Illinois University** | IL |
| Worcester State College** | MA |

[^6]For list of participating institutions since 1989, visit www.heri.ucla.edu

| Private Nonsectarian 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Alaska Pacific University* | AK |
| Aurora University* | IL |
| Bryn Mawr College* | PA |
| Bucknell University* | PA |
| Carleton College* | MN |
| Cazenovia College* | NY |
| Cedar Crest College* | PA |
| Colorado College* | CO |
| Dickinson College* | PA |
| Franklin Pierce University* | NH |
| Goucher College* | MD |
| Hampden-Sydney College | VA |
| Harrisburg University of Science and Technology* | PA |
| Hartwick College* | NY |
| Haverford College* | PA |
| Hawaii Pacific University* | HI |
| Hood College* | MD |
| Illinois Wesleyan University* | IL |
| John Brown University* | AR |
| Juniata College* | PA |
| Kenyon College* | OH |
| McDaniel College* | MD |
| Medaille College* | NY |
| Mills College* | CA |
| Nazareth College* | NY |
| Occidental College* | CA |
| Park University* | MO |
| Philadelphia University | PA |
| Principia College* | IL |
| Rockford College* | IL |
| Smith College* | MA |
| St. Lawrence University* | NY |
| Swarthmore College* | PA |
| The College of Wooster* | OH |
| The University of Tampa* | FL |
| Touro College | NY |
| Trinity College* | CT |
| University of Puget Sound* | WA |
| University of the Sciences in Philadelphia* | PA |
| Ursinus College | PA |
| Vassar College* | NY |
| Westmont College* | CA |
| Wheaton College-Norton* | MA |
| Whitman College* | WA |
| Willamette University* | OR |
| Williams College* | MA |
| Alfred University** | NY |
| Asbury University** | KY |
| Bard College** | NY |
| Bates College** | ME |
| Beloit College** | WI |
| Bentley University** | MA |


| Private Nonsectarian 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Berea College** | KY |
| Berry College** | GA |
| Colgate University** | NY |
| Denison University** | OH |
| Drake University** | IA |
| Drury University** | MO |
| Furman University** | SC |
| Grinnell College** | IA |
| Hamilton College** | NY |
| Hiram College** | OH |
| Kalamazoo College** | MI |
| Lawrence University** | WI |
| Lewis \& Clark College** | OR |
| Long Island University-Brooklyn Campus** | NY |
| Long Island University-C W Post Campus** | NY |
| Marymount Manhattan College** | NY |
| Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences** | MA |
| Metropolitan College of New York** | NY |
| Minneapolis College of Art and Design** | MN |
| Oberlin College** | OH |
| Pacific Northwest College of Art** | OR |
| Pine Manor College** | MA |
| Pomona College** | CA |
| Rider University** | NJ |
| Ripon College** | WI |
| Rollins College** | FL |
| Roosevelt University** | IL |
| Sarah Lawrence College** | NY |
| Scripps College** | CA |
| Stetson University** | FL |
| Suffolk University** | MA |
| Tuskegee University** | AL |
| Union College-Schenectady** | NY |
| Washington and Lee University** | VA |
| Washington College** | MD |
| Webster University** | MO |
| Western New England College** | MA |
| Wheelock College** | MA |
| William Jewell College** | MO |

Berry College** GA
Colgate University** NY
Denison University** OH
Drake University** IA
Drury University** MO
Furman University** SC
Grinnell College** IA
Hamilton College**

Kalamazoo College** MI
Lawrence University** WI
Lewis \& Clark College** OR
Island University-Brooklyn Campus
Marymount Manhattan College** NY
Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and MA
Health Sciences**
Metropolitan College of New York** NY
Minneapolis College of Art and Design** MN
Oberlin College** OH
Pacific Northwest College of Art** OR
Pine Manor College** MA
Pomona College** CA
Rider University** NJ
Ripon College** WI
Rollins College** FL
Roosevelt University** IL
San Lawrence College** N
Stetson University** FL
Suffolk University** MA
Tuskegee University** AL
Union College-Schenectady
Washington College** MD
Webster University** MO
Western New England College** MA
William Jewell College** MO
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## 2010-2011 HERI Faculty Survey—Survey Participants

For list of participating institutions since 1989, visit www.heri.ucla.edu

| Catholic 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cabrini College* | PA |
| Canisius College* | NY |
| College of the Holy Cross* | MA |
| Fairfield University* | CT |
| King's College* | PA |
| Lewis University* | IL |
| Loyola College in Maryland* | MD |
| Loyola Marymount University | CA |
| Madonna University* | MI |
| Marywood University* | PA |
| Mount St. Mary's University* | MD |
| Niagara University* | NY |
| Saint Anselm College* | NH |
| Saint Mary's College* | IN |
| Saint Mary's College of California* | CA |
| Saint Norbert College* | WI |
| Saint Peter's College* | NJ |
| Saint Thomas University* | FL |
| Santa Clara University | CA |
| St. Mary's University | TX |
| University of Detroit Mercy | MI |
| University of Mary* | ND |
| University of Scranton | PA |
| Villanova University* | PA |
| Walsh University* | OH |
| Alvernia College** | PA |
| Belmont Abbey College** | NC |
| Benedictine College** | KS |
| Christian Brothers University** | TN |
| College of Saint Benedict** | MN |
| Creighton University** | NE |
| Divine Word College** | IA |
| Fontbonne University** | MO |
| Georgian Court University** | NJ |
| Gwynedd Mercy College** | PA |
| Loyola University New Orleans** | LA |
| Marymount University** | VA |
| Mount Aloysius College** | PA |
| Neumann University** | PA |
| Notre Dame of Maryland University** | MD |
| Ohio Dominican University** | OH |
| Regis College** | MA |
| Saint Joseph's University** | PA |
| Saint Leo University** | FL |
| Saint Mary-of-the-Woods College** | IN |
| Saint Vincent College** | PA |
| Saint Xavier University** | IL |
| Spring Hill College** | AL |
| The College of Saint Scholastica** | MN |
| University of Portland** | OR |
| University of St. Francis** | IL |
| Ursuline College** | OH |
| Viterbo University** | WI |


| Other Religious 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abilene Christian University* | TX |
| Agnes Scott College* | GA |
| Albright College* | PA |
| Alma College* | MI |
| Augustana College* | IL |
| Austin College* | TX |
| Azusa Pacific University* | CA |
| Bethel University* | MN |
| Bridgewater College* | VA |
| Brigham Young University-Hawaii* | HI |
| Calvin College* | MI |
| Carroll University* | WI |
| Central College* | IA |
| Chapman University* | CA |
| Coe College* | IA |
| Concordia University-Wisconsin* | WI |
| DePauw University | IN |
| Dordt College* | IA |
| Earlham College* | IN |
| Eckerd College* | FL |
| Elon University* | NC |
| Florida Memorial University* | FL |
| George Fox University* | OR |
| Gettysburg College* | PA |
| Grand View University* | IA |
| Greensboro College* | NC |
| Gustavus Adolphus College* | MN |
| Hamline University* | MN |
| Hendrix College* | AR |
| Hope College* | MI |
| Illinois College* | IL |
| Iowa Wesleyan College* | IA |
| Judson University* | IL |
| Lakeland College* | WI |
| Lebanon Valley College* | PA |
| Linfield College* | OR |
| Luther College* | IA |
| Lycoming College* | PA |
| Macalester College* | MN |
| Malone University* | OH |
| Martin Luther College* | MN |
| Mount Vernon Nazarene University | OH |
| Nebraska Wesleyan University* | NE |
| Nyack College* | NY |
| Oklahoma City University | OK |
| Point Loma Nazarene University* | CA |
| Roberts Wesleyan College* | NY |
| Seattle Pacific University* | WA |
| Sewanee: The University of the South* | TN |
| Simpson College* | IA |
| Simpson University* | CA |
| Southern Nazarene University* | OK |
| St. Olaf College* | MN |
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| Other Religious 4-year Colleges |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Susquehanna University* | PA |
| Taylor University* | IN |
| Trinity University* | TX |
| Union University | TN |
| University of Indianapolis* | IN |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor* | TX |
| Valparaiso University | IN |
| Vanguard University of Southern California* | CA |
| Whitworth University* | WA |
| Wittenberg University* | OH |
| Albion College** | MI |
| Allegheny College** | PA |
| Anderson University** | SC |
| Augsburg College** | MN |
| Augustana College** | SD |
| Barton College** | NC |
| Benedict College** | SC |
| Bethel College** | IN |
| Bethune-Cookman University** | FL |
| Birmingham Southern College** | AL |
| Bryan College** | TN |
| Buena Vista University** | IA |
| California Baptist University** | CA |
| Centre College** | KY |
| Concordia University-Saint Paul** | MN |
| Dakota Wesleyan University** | SD |
| Defiance College** | OH |
| East Texas Baptist University** | TX |
| Eastern Mennonite University** | VA |
| Elmhurst College** | IL |
| Florida Southern College** | FL |
| Geneva College** | PA |
| Goshen College** | IN |
| Greenville College** | IL |
| Huntington University** | IN |
| La Sierra University** | CA |
| Lee University** | TN |
| Lindsey Wilson College** | KY |
| Lyon College** | AR |
| Manchester College** | IN |
| Maryville College** | TN |
| McPherson College** | KS |
| Mercer University-Macon** | GA |
| MidAmerica Nazarene University** | KS |
| Moravian College and Moravian Theological Seminary** | PA |
| Morningside College** | IA |
| North Greenville University** | SC |
| North Park University** | IL |
| Northwest University** | WA |
| Northwestern College** | MN |
| Ohio Northern University** | OH |
| Palm Beach Atlantic University-West Palm Beach** | FL |
| Peace College** | NC |

Other Religious 4-year Colleges
Presbyterian College** ..... SC
Queens University of Charlotte** ..... NC
Rhodes College** ..... TN
Roanoke College** ..... VA
Shenandoah University** ..... VA
Southwestern University** ..... TX
St. Andrew's Presbyterian College** ..... NC
Texas Lutheran University** ..... TX
The University of Findlay** ..... OH
Trinity Christian College** ..... IL
University of Dubuque** ..... IA
University of Mobile** ..... AL
Valley Forge Christian College** ..... PA
Virginia Wesleyan College** ..... VA
Wagner College** ..... NY
Wartburg College** ..... IA
Wesleyan College** ..... GA
Wilson College** ..... PA
2-year Colleges
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Bismarck State College } & \text { ND } \\ \text { Carl Albert State College } & \text { OK }\end{array}$
OK
Dakota College at Bottineau ..... ND
Illinois Valley Community College ..... IL
Lake Region State College ..... ND
North Dakota State College of Science ..... ND
South Texas College ..... TX
Williston State College ..... ND
Other InstitutionsAmerican University of BeirutHoly Family UniversityPA
Patrick Henry College ..... VA
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## APPENDIX D

The Precision of the Normative Data and Their Comparisons

## THE PRECISION OF THE NORMATIVE DATA AND THEIR COMPARISONS

Sample surveys commonly raise questions about the data precision, which is typically reported as the accuracy of a percentage "plus or minus $x$ percentage points." This figure, which is known as a confidence interval, can be estimated for items of interest if one knows the response percentage and its standard error.

Given the Cooperative Institutional Research Program's (CIRP) large normative sample, the calculated standard error associated with any particular response percentage will be small (as will its confidence interval). It is important to note, however, that traditional methods of calculating standard error assume conditions that (as is the case with most real sample survey data) do not apply here. Moreover, there are other possible sources of error that should be considered when comparing data across normative groups, across related item categories, and over time. In reference to the precision of the CIRP data, these concerns include:

1) Traditional methods of calculating standard error assume that the individuals were selected through simple random sampling. Given the complex stratified design of CIRP surveys, where whole institutions participate, it is likely that the actual standard errors will be somewhat larger than the standard error estimates produced through traditional computational methods. In addition, while every effort has been made to maximize the comparability of the institutional sample from year to year (repeat participation runs
about $90 \%$ ), comparability is reduced by non-repeat participation and year-to-year variation in the quality of data collected by continuing institutional participants. While the CIRP stratification and weighting procedures are designed to minimize this institutional form of "response bias," an unknown amount of non-random variation is introduced into the results.
2) The wording of some questions in the survey instrument, the text and number of response options, and their order of presentation have changed over the years. We have found that even small changes can affect order and context significantly. The exact wording and order of items on the survey instrument (see Appendix B) should be examined carefully prior to making comparisons across survey years.
3) Substantive changes in the institutional stratification scheme were made in 1968, 1971, 1975, 2001, and 2009. These changes resulted in a revision of the weights applied to individual institutions. Stratification cell assignments of a few institutions may also change from time to time, but the scale of these changes and their effect on the national normative results are likely to be small in comparison to other sources of bias.

Since it is impractical to report statistical indicators for every percentage in every CIRP comparison group, it is important for those who are interested to be able to estimate the precision
of the data. Toward this end, Table D1 provides estimates of standard errors for comparison groups of various sizes and for different percentages ${ }^{1}$ that can be used to derive confidence interval estimates.

For example, suppose the item we are interested in has a response percentage of 15.7 among students at all nonsectarian four-year colleges (a normative group that is 39,525 in size). First, we choose the column that is closest to the observed percentage of 15.7 - in this case, " $15 \% .{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ Next, we select the row closest to the unweighted sample size of 39,525 -in this case " 40,000 ." Consulting Table D1, we find the estimated standard error would be 0.179 .

To calculate the confidence interval at the $95 \%$ probability level, we multiply the estimated standard error by the critical value of $t$ for the unweighted sample size (which, for all CIRP comparison groups, will be equal to 1.96 at the 0.05 level of probability). ${ }^{3}$ In this example, we would multiply the estimated standard error of 0.179 by 1.96 , which yields 0.350 . If we round this figure to a single decimal point we would then estimate our confidence interval to be 15.7 $\pm 0.4$. In practical terms, this confidence interval means that if we were to replicate this survey using the same size sample, we would expect that the resulting percentage would fall between $15.3 \%$ and $16.1 \% 95$ times out of 100 .

Table D1. Estimated Standard Errors of Percentages for Comparison Groups of Various Sizes

| Unweighted size of comparison groups | Percentage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% | 15\% | 20\% | 25\% | 30\% | 35\% | 40\% | 45\% | 50\% |
| 500 | . 445 | . 975 | 1.342 | 1.597 | 1.789 | 1.936 | 2.049 | 2.133 | 2.191 | 2.225 | 2.236 |
| 1,000 | . 315 | . 689 | . 949 | 1.129 | 1.265 | 1.369 | 1.449 | 1.508 | 1.549 | 1.573 | 1.581 |
| 5,000 | . 141 | . 308 | . 424 | . 505 | . 566 | . 612 | . 648 | . 675 | . 693 | . 704 | . 707 |
| 10,000 | . 099 | . 218 | . 300 | . 357 | . 400 | . 433 | . 458 | . 477 | . 490 | . 497 | . 500 |
| 20,000 | . 070 | . 154 | . 212 | . 252 | . 283 | . 306 | . 324 | . 337 | . 346 | . 352 | . 354 |
| 40,000 | . 050 | . 109 | . 150 | . 179 | . 200 | . 217 | . 229 | . 238 | . 245 | . 249 | . 250 |
| 55,000 | . 042 | . 093 | . 128 | . 152 | . 171 | . 185 | . 195 | . 203 | . 209 | . 212 | . 213 |
| 70,000 | . 038 | . 082 | . 113 | . 135 | . 151 | . 164 | . 173 | . 180 | . 185 | . 188 | . 189 |
| 90,000 | . 033 | . 073 | . 100 | . 119 | . 133 | . 144 | . 153 | . 159 | . 163 | . 166 | . 167 |
| 110,000 | . 030 | . 066 | . 090 | . 108 | . 121 | . 131 | . 138 | . 144 | . 148 | . 150 | . 151 |
| 130,000 | . 028 | . 060 | . 083 | . 099 | . 111 | . 120 | . 127 | . 132 | . 136 | . 138 | . 139 |
| 240,000 | . 020 | . 044 | . 061 | . 073 | . 082 | . 088 | . 094 | . 097 | . 100 | . 102 | . 102 |

Note: Assumes simple random sampling.

[^10]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although surveys were also received from academic administrators and other types of respondents, only those who spend at least some of their time teaching undergraduates are included in the results reported here.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See data pertaining to FTUG faculty, by institution type, for all 25 survey item responses related to sources of stress (p. 30).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.heri.ucla.edu/PDFs/constructs/ FAC2010Appendix.pdf.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ See p. 39 for tables by rank.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Universities faced a less stringent requirement because the IPEDS survey does not distinguish between undergraduate and graduate faculty. Since the Faculty Survey focuses on undergraduate faculty, total faculty counts for universities are inflated.
    ${ }^{2}$ Invitations to participate in the survey were also sent to an additional 2,131 science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) faculty. Responses from this group are not included in the norms because they were not randomly-selected.

[^5]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the event that an institution did not report the distribution of its faculty across different ranks, the within-institution weight was based on gender alone.

[^6]:    *Active participants and in normative sample **Supplemental sample and in normative sample

[^7]:    *Active participants and in normative sample **Supplemental sample and in normative sample

[^8]:    *Active participants and in normative sample **Supplemental sample and in normative sample

[^9]:    *Active participants and in normative sample **Supplemental sample and in normative sample

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Calculated by $\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{x} \%(100-\mathrm{x} \%)}{\mathrm{N}}}$ where x is the percentage of interest and N is the population count from Table A1 (see
    Appendix A).
    ${ }^{2}$ Since the distribution of the standard errors is symmetrical around the $50 \%$ mid-point, for percentages over 50 simply subtract the percentage from 100 and use the result to select the appropriate column. For example, if the percentage we were interested in was $59,100-59 \%$ yields 41 , so we would use the column labeled " $40 \%$."
    ${ }^{3}$ To calculate the confidence interval at the $99 \%$ probability level the critical $t$ value is 2.56 .

